ASSESSMENT OF INFECTION CONTROL PRACTICE IN JIMMA UNIVERSITY SPECIALIZED HOSPITAL.

BY: - ABDU TAHIR (DENTAL INTERN)

ARESEARCH PAPER SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DENTISTRY, SPR, IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF DENTAL MEDICINE (DMD)

JUNE, 2013

JIMMA, ETHIOPIA

JIMMA UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND MEDICAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF DENTISTRY

BY: - ABDU TAHIR (DENTAL INTERN)

ASSESSMENT OF INFECTION CONTROL PRACTICE IN JIMMA UNIVERSITY SPECIALIZED HOSPITAL.

ADVISOR: - DR. DEREJE WORKU(DMD)

JUNE, 2013

JIMMA, ETHIOPIA

ABSTRACT

Background:- Hospital acquired infections often have serious consequences for the individual or the hospital community at large. However nosocomial infection can be prevented and controlled among staff and patient through careful and systematic attention to infection control guidelines and procedures. Control of communicable disease has been achieved in the developed countries through

education, regulations, and public health measures such as health care infection control and safety (IC&S).

Objective:- to assesses basic infection control practice in Jimma University specialized Hospital .

Methods:- Across sectional study with convenient sampling technique was conducted to achieve the goal of the study. self administer questioners was distributed for health care workers (Nurses, Doctors, health officers, anesthesia & midwifery) about general practice of infection control in Jimma University Specialized hospital.

Results and discussion:- the data was cleaned and analyzed manually and using on line contingency table, the association was determined, result was displayed using tables. From the table most of the respondents were good on some infection control mechanism , while, poor on some other infection control mechanism.

Conclusion& recommendation:- the study shows that although most of the health care workers under studied recognized the importance of cross infection control theoretically, they have not changed it into practice accordingly, for such problem ,it is better for Jimma university specialized Hospital administrators to give progressive seminars both theoretically and practically on infection control practice for all health care workers in the Hospital and supervising them accordingly

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to extend my special thanks to my advisor Dr. Dereje Worku for his dedicated advise and feedback he provide me in developing of proposal

Also, I would like to forward my special thanks to my mother w/o Zehra and my father Tahir that gave me un forgettable support to reach at this stage.

Finally, Above all I would like to thank the almighty GOD.

Table of contents

Contents	Page
Abstract	I
Acknolowdement	
Table of contents	
List of table	V
Abbreviation	VI
Chapter one	
1.1-Introduction	1
1.1.1-Background	1
1.1.2-Statement of the problem	ns3
1.1.3-significance of the study.	7
Chapter two	
2.1-Litrature review	8

Chapter three

3.1-Objetives15										
3.1.1-General objectives15										
3.1.2-specific objectives15										
Chapter four										
METHODOLOGY										
4.1-Study area and period16										
4.1.1-Study area16										
4.1.2-Study period16										
4.2-Study design16										
4.3-Population16										
4.3.1-Source population16										
4.3.2-Study population16										
4.4-Sample size and sampling technique16										
4.5-Variables16										
4.5.1-Independent variables16										
4.5.2-Dependent variable17										
4.6-Data collection technique17										
4.7-Data quality control17										
4.8-Data analysis and interpretation17										
4.9-Ethical consideration17										
4.10-Dissimination of result18										
4.11-Limitation18										
4.12-Operational definition18										
Chapter Five										
Result20										

LIST OF TABLES

Table: 1 Number of respondents with their sex and profession......20.

 Table 2: Number of health workers by their age, monthly income and year of their services......20.

Table 5:Methods of sterilization performed by respondents......28

ABBREVIATION

CDC:-center for diseases control and prevention

HBV:-hepatitis b virus

HCAI: - health care associated infection

HIV: - Human immune deficiency syndrome virus

HO:-health-officers

ICP: - Infection control program

ICSP: - infection control and surveillance program

ICU: - intensive care unit

IFIC: - International federation for infection control

JUSH-- Jimma University specialized Hospital

M.LAB:-Medical laboratory

MOH: - ministry of health

OPIM:-other potentially infectious materials

OSHA: - occupational safety and health administration

PPE: - Personal protective equipment

SENIC: - Study on the efficacy of nosocomial infection control

SPR – student research program

UK- united Kingdom

USA- United state of America

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Back ground

Infection control is the discipline which concerned with preventing nosocomial or health care associated infection, a practical (rather than academic) sub-discipline of epidemiology. Health care associated infections (HAIs) cause substantial morbidity and mortality every year on all over the world's health care setting. Health care associated infection reduction is a key goal of the world commission on safety and quality in health care (1). Unless the infections organisms are controlled either by prevention of spread of microorganisms or killing and removing of microorganisms, all people receiving health and medical care, whether in a hospital or any other clinic are at risk of becoming infected. Infection control measures are most effective when standard precaution (healthcare) are applied, because, undiagnost infection is common.

Nosocomial (Hospital-aquired infections) are the significant problem through out the world and are increasing too. For example, nosocomial infection rates range from as low as 1% in few countries in Europe and the Americas to more than 40% in developing countries(2).

In view of the serous of consequences, infection control and prevention of infection should have a high priority and depend up on the education of staff in proper procedure as well as the provision of a clean environment and sterile equipment (3).

The modern Infection control and prevention programs all around the world appear to be loosely based on seminal work undertaken in the US in the late 1970s. Modern drivers impacting infection control programs are similar globally and largely include government lead initiatives and recommendation from formal professional bodies or self appointed groups of experts. The role and function of the infection control professional require refinement yet in the interim this role appears to make a reasonable contribution to infection reduction activity and outcome (4).

Infection control in health care continous to be the subject of intensive research & debate. For example the Australian commission on safety and Quality in heath care (the commission) recognizes the importance of reducing HCAI, as one of its priority programs. Major commission initiatives to date relating to this priority include specific recommendations regarding HAI surveillance and the development and implementation of a national hand hygiene initiative. Implementing safe and realistic infection control practices requires the full compliance of the whole health personnel. These procedures should be regularly monitored during clinical sessions and discussed at practices meetings. Further more, national surveys and workshops of infection control programs, undertaken by the commission have shown that there is disparity in skills and resources between experienced and beginning Infection control practices, and between larger metropolitan hospitals and rural centers. Little information is available regarding the skills and resources of Infection control practitioners, in the private sector, in aged care and in residential health care setting (5, 6).

Generally, most of the infections can be prevented with readily available, relatively in expensive strategies by : adhering to recommended infection presentation practices, especially hand hygiene and wearing gloves, paying attention to well established processes for documentation and clearing solid instruments and other items, followed by either sterilization or high level disinfection and improving safety in operating rooms and other high risk area where the most serious and frequent injuries and exposures to agents occur.

1.2 Statement of the problem

At the global level, rapid changes in the pattern of general infectious disease have been observed during past decades. A dramatic reduction in the level of infectious diseases with inpatient (Nosocomial infection) has been observed in most industrialized countries. There is general agreement that the various use of infection control and prevention methods have been the main reason for the decline of Nosocomial infections changes with appropriate managements of inpatients and out patients in different hospitals are other possible contributing factors for the decline of cross- infection prevalence in those countries. In contrary to what is observed in many western countries, data from developing countries indicate that the cross-contamination among patients, and patients and health workers is raising. The reasons for this increasing are complex, but may be ascribed, negligence of health workers during patients management due to limited numbers of health professionals who trained on Infection control program (ICP), and in addition that community based infection prevention and health care Associated infection control promotion have not been implemented(7)

The methods like decontamination, disinfection and sterilization are the basic components of any infection control program in hospital and other health sector services. These methods are effective in prevention & control of cross- infection among health workers and patients. Patients also expect that any reusable instruments or devices used for diagnosis of their illness or for treatment has under gone process to eliminate any risks for cross infection. However, Many failures of adequate reprocessing have been reported in many studies (8).

In 1968, Spaulding, proposed three categories of potential Infection risk to serve as the basis for selecting the prevention practice or processes to use sterilization of medical instruments like gloves and other items, when caring for patients. This causation has used the test of time and still serves a good basis for setting priorities for any infection prevention program (9).

Since Bio safety is concern in all health sector services any health professional has to give attentions during management of their patients. confronted with a high biological risk both for patients and professionals in health and dental care and due to the constant development of new technologies, information, equipment, material and behavioral attitudes in this area, health organizations such as the center for Disease control (CDC), the American dental Association (ADA), the National sanitary department (ANVISA),

And the ministry of health (MOH) among other has developed guidelines to prevent, minimize or eliminate any threat to life or heath during treatment. These guide lines, given the peculiarities of health care activities, should be followed by the professional and his team before ,during and after care for all patients and for all types of treatments. This includes all instruments and equipment used, regardless of the confirmed or presumed diagnosis, being infectious or not (10)

Specifically, the environment in dentistry practices and clinic is far from ideal like that of surgical department. However, the adoption of infection control measures is an effective way to reduce

occupational risk and the transmission of pathogens, mainly through saliva, blood, air or water. These measures essentially include (I) cleaning, disinfection and sterilization, (II) the use of personal equipment protection, (III) immunization; (IV) prevention and correct handling in occupational accidents which involve exposure to blood born and bodily fluids diseases and other infections caused by various microorganisms such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis ,Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C viruses staphy loccci, streptococci, Herpes simplex virus. Human immune deficiency virus (HIV), mumps, influenza and Rubella and (V) antisepsis (10,11).

Still written reports have showed that the most common biosafety problem is not related to available technology to eliminate or minimize risks but rather the behavior of professionals. The individual practitioner must ensure that all members of the health workers team should understand and practice these infection control procedures routinely.

In addition, every practice must have a written infection control policy, which is tailored to the routines of the individual practice and regularly updated. The policy should be kept readily available so that staff can refer to it when necessary. (10).

Actually, about 10% of hospital inpatients has a nosocomial infection at any time. These infections estimated to cause approximately 500 deaths a year in UK (more than from road accidents or suicides) As well contribute to a further 15.000.such infection cost and estimated extra 300 to 3, 500 pounds per case, mainly through early recovery and between 22.000 and 400,000 pounds for control of an outbreak 1995, prices, up to a third of hospital acquired infections are thought to be prevalence. The prevalence of infection acquired in family practitioners or other health unit is unknown. There are many examples of disasters associated with failure of manufactures of health care providers to insure or with failure of disinfections of patients or of sterilization procedure (12).

Based on studies conducted in USA 27 million surgical procedures are performed in each year. Surgical site infection.(SSI) are the most common Nosocomial infections which is 14-16% among hospitalized patients. Among surgical patches SSIs are the most common microorganisms isolated from SSIO were S-aurous, coagulase negative staphylococci enterococcus and Escherichia coli among the source of pathogens due to poor infection control inadequate sterilization of equipment (13).

Furthermore the blood borne diseases such as hepatitis "B" and "C" with HIV and other pathogenic microorganism like cytomegalovirus, herpes simples viruses (1&) micro bacterium tuberculosis, staphylococcus streptococcus and other viruses and bacteria's colonize and infect the oral cavity and respiratory tract. These microorganisms are mainly affect health care workers due to poor infection control mechanisms. For example in the Middle East and Africa the percentage of HIV carries ranged

from 20%30% and it is believed that in the UK general health practitioner treat as many as 250 carriers each day, and in many cases these carriers are not identified (14).

The study conducted in Ethiopia Addis Ababa from 1006 patients admitted in Tikuer Ambesa Hospital between April 1983 and January 1984 tells us, nosocomial infection were detect in 165 (16.4%) patients. Among these with infection was (16.4% patients among these without infection was (59%) Approximately 90% of the nosocomial pathoge isolates were gram negative bacteria of which 84% were caused by enterobacterias and they were mostly resistant to commonly used antibiotics. In another study conducted in the some other Hospital from 700 patients students for the incidence of nosocomial infection the overall hospital infection rate was 17% with wound infection of 47% Over 80% of the bacterial isolates were gram negative bacteria and the majority of which were multiple resistant to the commonly used anti-microbial drugs (9,15). • Hospital and other health care facilities acquired infection remains an important problem to date.

Dealing effectively with Nosocomial infections in health care institutions requires the identification of cases, their etiology and development with implementation of control measures.

Many studies have been done in developed countries on infection control practice but only a few have been done in developing countries like Ethiopia. Improperly processed surgical instruments are one of the major categories that results for the transmission of wide range of infectious diseases. As a result it is necessary to do research on this area.

This research will determine the infection control practice and also provide base line information for planning future research on this area.

The results will be of significant to health planners and local policy makers to consider implication of improper infection control practice on health workers and community at large.

CHAPTER TWO

2.1 Literature review

Infection control and prevention of nosocomial Infection in the hospitals are the duties every health professionals have to follow. To fulfill this availability of clean ,necessary sterile equipment's,

instruments and dressing isolation facilities and safe disposal of infected material are must. To do this sterilization, disinfections and decontamination are the main components of infection control practices. These the above components are very important to prevent cross contamination and occupational exposures to blood borne diseases like hepatitis B and C and HIV infection particularly dental health care workers, are at risk of such diseases and other transmittable disease. Many studies provided their evidences and guide lines to show the influence of infection control problems with important solutions, some developed countries with a few in under developed. (16).

For the hospitals and health care services their must be guide lines and policy to minimize or control the cross contamination from patients to health personnel or vice versa. Many studies provided their evidences and criterion for ICSPs (2).

For example in 1974, the center for disease control and prevention (CDC) initiated the ten year study of the efficacy of Nosocomial infection control, (The SENIC project in USA). The SENIC study had three objectives: 1. Determine the extent of nosocomial infection in U.S.A hospitals; 2. report on the implementation of the new ICSPs in U.S.A. hospitals; and 3. establish whether the above programs were effective in reducing the risks of hospitalized patients acquiring nosocomial infections. The SENIC study hypothesized that nosocomial infection rates could only be reduced if an ICSP had four components which were:

 surveillance; 2. control including policy development, education and review of clinical practice; 3. an infection control nurse (ICN) to collect and analyze surveillance data in addition to having overall responsibility for coordinating the control program; and 4. Active involvement of a physician or microbiologist in the program. The SENIC definition of surveillance activity included measurement of the infection rate, consideration of risk factors and provision of feed back to clinical staff. In contrast, control activities were those functions that were known to reduce the risk of infection including aseptic technique, appropriate cleaning, sterilization and disinfection of used equipment and instrument. The SENIC study was conducted in three stages. Phase 1; the preliminary screening questionnaire involved mailing a survey to 6586 U.S.A. hospitals to establish to what extent they had adopted the above four components of an ICSP. The response rate to phase1 was 86% Results from this phase indicated that most (87%) of respondents had a systematic approach to collecting and analyzing surveillance data. Most hospitals reported surveillance findings and 62% used their results to provide feedback and education to nursing staff.

Results relating to control suggested that most hospitals had written policies for implementing specific patients –care practices although the proportion of hospitals monitoring compliance with recommended practices ranged from 56% to 80%. Less than half (42%) of the responding hospitals had an ICN that spent more than 20 hours per week exclusively on infection surveillance or control activities. Most (64%)

responding hospitals had a physician or microbiologist who had an interest in IC and served as head of the ICSP. Few (16%) heads of ICSP were trained in either infectious diseases or microbiology. The time they allocated to IC was minimal (17).

From the study population of 6586 hospitals, the samples for phase 2, the Hospital interview survey, and phase 3, the medical record survey were selected. These hospital were stratified according to number of beds and medical school offices as investigators believed these two variables were the best predictors of nosocomial infection rates. Phase 2 involved dispatching a group of 58 trained interviewers to a sample

of 433 hospitals. The inter viewers who were also CDC staff members, undertook standardized interviews, usually in pairs, during October 1976 and July 1977 to obtain specific infection about the hospital's ICSP. Data was obtained by interviewing twelve of the staff members in each hospital who were considered to have duties that would impact upon infection surveillance. Areas examined were: isolation practices monitoring of the environment, nurses reports of patient care and etc (5). Phase 3, the medical records survey involved 338 sample hospitals. In each hospital a randomly selected sample

of medical records of approximately 500 patients admitted as adult general medical and surgical patients during 1970 and 500 of the same type of patients admitted during the period April 1975 to march 1976 was reviewed. Phase 3 involved 169,518 patients in 1970 and 169,526 patients in 1975-1976. The CDC employed and managed medical record analysts who reviewed each record for specific demographic and clinical data relating to nosocomial urinary tract, surgical wounds, and pneumonia or bacteremia infections. The phase 3, determined that the overall USA nosocomial infection rate was 5,7 infections per 100 admissions to acute care facilities. The number of nosocomial infections in U.S.A was calculated to be 2.1 million annually (6) . In addition phase 3 estimated the actual number of infections that were being prevented in each hospital by the ICSP and theorized the number that could be prevented if all hospitals had implemented those activities which had previously been demonstrated to be effective. The results of the third phase of the SENIC study confirmed the original hypothesis that ICSP could reduce infection rates. Investigators reported that an effective ICSP could reduce Infections by 32%. In addition to the above findings SENIC investigators found that most hospitals lacked an effective ICSP and therefore in 1975 only 6% of U.S.A nosocomial infections were actually being prevented (18).

In 2000, a study of 115 ICPs from just Queensland published a year later reported that ICPs were desirous of moving away surveillance based activity and adopting more strategic management approaches to their work including clinical monitoring and risk management. No Australian and few international studies have examined possible differences in the organization, roles and/or needs of rural and non rural ICPs (19)

Stevenson and colleagues reported a review of ICPS from small, rural hospitals in the west of the United states (US) finding that despite their ineligibility to participate in the CDC's NNIS system; almost every hospital ICSP had adopted NNIS HAI surveillance methodologies. The proportions of time allocated to

various traditional ICSP activities reported by the study group were similar to those reported by Murphy's Australian study surveillance was again the activity for which ICPs allocated most of their time(20).

Developing valid and "ideal" ICSP, models that can be applied by ICP, has been undertaken in several countries and regions. For example in 2004 the Canadian health department developed such a model making recommendations for hypothetical organizations in both the acute and long term care sectors. Canada health sectors proposed staffing ratios of 3 full time equivalent (FTE) ICPs per 500 acute care beds and 1FTE ICP per 150-250 long –term care beds recommended activities for both sectors included surveillance education outbreak management, policy development and occupational health (21).

The effective prevention monitoring and control of infection are important ways controlling the transmission of in factious diseases. For example in 2002, the Victorian government published a comprehensive guideline defining the expected infrastructure and activities for effective prevention, monitoring and control of infection.

The guideline recommended that an ICC be in place with multidisciplinary input and that the infection control service have sufficient resources and clear lines of responsibility including links with an infectious disease service. The ICT model is out lined including one ICP per 250 acute beds, (5).

The long overlooked issue of clinical governance in relation to infection prevention was highlighted in the British governments land mark publication "Winning Ways" in 2003. "Wining Ways" recognize for the first time that support of senior administration and appropriate local infrastructure and systems were critical in improving the behaviors of clinical staff (22).

The guide lines for Infection control practices was in 2004 a major Australian initiative of the national infection control Guidelines for the prevention of Transmission of Infectious Diseases in the Health care setting. This document cited findings from the SENIC study that up to one third of HCAI could potentially be eliminated if an effective ICSP was in place. In a generic prescription targeting infection prevention programs for countries with immature systems of infection control and prevention, the International federation for Infection control (IFIC) recommended the following program elements; a yearly work plan, an ICC an ICT, an IC officer, an ICN an IC link nurse system and an IC manual. The IFIC model includes and builds upon all of the previously Cited ICSP recommendations by also recommending antibiotics steward ship participation in development of tender documents supporting and participating in research and reviewing and assessing infection risks associated with new equipment and devices (5,6,23).

The study was done in Benin city, Nigeria in 2000. On this study a total of 180 randomly selected medical and dental practitioners in both Non-government and government public hospitals and clinic in Benin city, Nigeria.

According to the study of 180 practitioners surveyed, 98.1% of them sterilized their equipments. The others practitioners were used autoclave about 46%, a combination of autoclave and cold stylization 25.7% and other ,methods used by 25% practitioners. The practitioners who wash their hands before and after examine patients, according to the study constituted 72.6% and 94.7% respectively. Those who screened blood before transfusing were 79.5% while 4.5% and 19.5% reused needle and syringes respectively. Although 77.9% of were immunized against hepatitis B virus. Respondents who did not regularly wear face masks and eye goggles during operative procedure constituted 77.90% and 64.6% respectively. Similarly 40.7% of practitioners did not wear gloves when examining patients according to the study (24).

The study has conducted in 1993 among eight countries to assess the dentist and as a whole the health workers knowledge, attitudes and practices about dental and health care infection control and safety. These countries were India, Pakistan , Thailand, Philippines, Taiwan. China, south Korea and the united states of American (USA). According to the result of the study knowledge and practice of infection control among the Asian health workers lagged behind the levels of US health workers. The availability and affordability of equipments and materials were some of the reasons for the practices of infection control and safety attitude towards universal/standard precautions in controlling blood borne pathogens was comparable between groups surveyed. Tested knowledge and practice of infection control was acceptable, but the attitude and understanding of universal/standard precautions was ambiguous among both Asian and USA health /dental professionals practitioners (14).

The three most common infection control mechanisms in the hospitals are decontamination disinfection and sterilization. In addition to this many studies has done to ensure the effectiveness of sterilization technique against bacteria (7). For instance, study in Jimma university specialized Hospital, about sterilization techniques, shows its experimental evidence. According to this study, among 75 sampled equipments taken from 2 autoclaves, 3 days heat oven and 2 chemical solution basin (40% formalin solution and 1% sodium hypochlorite), 7(9.25%) of them were found to show bacterial growth. That were found from one dry heat oven (in which 3 (30%) put of 10 samples) and from 1% sodium hypochlorite (in which 4 (40%) out of 10 samples) were positive for bacterial growth. This study concluded and recommended autoclaving is the method of choice for sterilization of surgical equipments. Dry heat oven is effective, but it should be closely monitored and maintained. The effectiveness of chemical sterilization is significantly influenced by the chemical dilution storage and the amount of degree of clearing of the sterilize instruments (15).

CHAPTER THREE

3. OBJECTIVES

3.1 General objectives

To determine infection control practice by health workers in Jimma University specialized hospital.

3.2 specific objectives

 Image: To determine infection control practice performed by health workers

- To determine proper use of PPE by health workers
- 2 To determine decontamination methods used by health workers
- 2 To determine whether any standard infection control is available or not.
 - To determine level of personal hygiene among health workers
- Image: To determine whether staff training on infection control is given or not.
 - To determine sterilization methods used by health workers

To determine precaution taken before, during and after patient examination and sterilization.

•

CHAPTER FOUR

4. Methodology

4.1 Study area and period

4.1.1 Study area

The study was conducted at Jimma University specialized Hospital which is located in the Jimma town 352 km southwest of Addis Ababa. It was established in 1930 EC by Italian invaders for the service of

their soldier. Currently it became the only teaching referral hospital in south western part of the country. It provides services for approximately 9000 inpatient and 80,000 outpatient attendances a year coming to hospital from the catchment population of about 15000 million people.

4.1.2 Study period

The study was conducted from April 7 to April 21 2013 G.C

4.2 study design

Cross-sectional study design was implemented by using self-reported questionnaires.

4.3 population

4.3.1. Source population

- All health workers in JUSH.

4.3.2. Study population

All health care workers in JUSH who were presented during data collection period.

4.4 Sample size and sampling technique

Convenience sampling method was used. All health care workers who were found at the time of data collection were used as a sample size.

4.5 Variables

4.5.1 Independent variables

? Sex

2 Age

Profession

?	Monthly	income

? Year of service

4.5.2 Dependent variables

- Sterilization methods
- Disinfection methods
 - Personal hygiene
- Precautions taken during patient examination.
 - Time at which instrument sterilize

4.6 Data collection technique

Self-reported questions consisting of sterilization methods, personal hygiene, disinfection methods and personal demography like sex, age, profession and year of experience was included and distributed for respondents.

4.7 Ethical consideration

An official letter was written from Jimma University SRP which describes the objectives of study to JUSH for permission and support during data collection. The significance of the study was clearly informed to respondents and the sample was collected from those who were voluntary. The information obtained from them was kept confidential.

4.8 Data quality control

To ensure the validity and completeness of the data, the structured questionnaire was tested by advisors before data collection. The principal investigator was responsible for supervision during each day data collection to insure the quality of the data by checking filled questionnaire for their completeness and consistency and the obtained data was kept for analysis.

4.9 Data analysis and interpretation

Before analyzing the collected data, responses was arranged according to their contents and purposes of the study. Respondents were grouped according to their sex, age, profession and year of experiences. Finally, the data was analyzed descriptively depending on the quality and quantity of data.

Chi-square test was performed and P-value was considered insignificant to consider association. P-Value <0.05 was considered as showing significant association using on line contingency table by internet.

4.10 Dissemination of result

The result of the study was disseminated to department of dentistry and CBE through hard copies.

4.11 Limitation of the study

-some respondents don't give direct answer to the question.

-inadequate references regarding infection control practice.

-inadequate computer availability.

4.11 OPERATIONAL DEFINITION

Antiseptic- A chemical that is applied to skin or mucus membrane and used to prevent infection by in habiting growth of microorganism

Blood born diseases- Diseases that can be transmitted through blood contamination from individuals to individual

Cleaning- processes that physically removes all visible dust, soil blood or other body fluids from inanimate objects as well as removing sufficient number of microorganisms

Decontamination- use of physical or chemical means to remove, inactivates, or destroys pathogens one surface

Disinfectant- chemical used on non-vital objects kill surface vegetable organism but not necessary their spores.

Germicide- An agent that destroys microorganism especially pathogenic microorganisms.

Hand hygiene – General term that applies to hand washing, antiseptic hand wash, antiseptic hand rub.

HO-health officer

M.lab-medical laboratory.

Nonsocial infection- Hospital acquired infections

Standard infection control – is a means to reduce the risk of transmission of blood born and other pathogens from both recognized and unrecognized source.

Sterilization- complete destruction of all microorganisms including their spores that is capable of causing infection

Surveillance:- act of infection investigation using CDC definition

Chapter 5

RESULTS

5.1. Socio-demographic results

Table 1.Number of the respondents with their sex and professions

Professions of the

male	Female	Total number	
NO	% NO	% NO	%
Doctors 25	83.3 5	16.7 30	25
Health officers 12	70.6 5	29.4 17	14.2
Nurses 15	35.7 27	64.2 42	35
Midwifery 3	42.8 4	57.1 7	5.8
Medical laboratory	15 88.2	2 11.8	17 14.2
Anesthesia 5	71.4 2	28.6 7	5.8
Total 75	62.5 45	37.5 120	100

Respondents Number of respondents in each profession

Table1.Shows 42 (35%) of the total respondents were nurses, of which 15(35.7%) weremalesand27(64.2%)werefemals,30(25%)ofthetotalrespondentsweredoctorswith25(83.3%) and 5(16.7%) of them were males and females respectively. Health officer and Medicallaboratoryeachofthemaccounts17(14.2%)ofthetotalrespondents;ofwhich12(70.6%)malesand5(2 9.4%)femalesofHOand15(88.2%)malesand2(11.2%)femalesofmedicallaboratory.Similarly,7(5.8%)oftotalr espondentswereanesthesiaandmidwiferyrespectively..5(71.4%) of the anesthesia were males and 2(28.6%) of them were females.3(42.8%) and 4(57.1%)of the midwifery were males and. Totally,75(62.5%)of the total respondents were males and 45(37.5%) were females. Most of the females were nurses 27(22.5%), doctors and health officers 5(4.2%) respectively of the total number of the respondents in the hospital.

Table2Number of health workers by their age, Monthly income and year of their service in Jimmauniversity specialized hospital, May,15to25,2013

Of respondents

		(variables)			Number of respondents with their sex											
						Male Fe		Fer	emale Total							
			No		%		No		%		No		%			
	1	Age(in year) <20)	0		0		0		0		0		0	
			20-29	33		52.4	4	30		47.	6	63		52.	5	
			30-39	25		71.4	4	10		28.	6	35		29.	2	
			40-49	10		71.4	4	4		28.	6	14		11.	6	
			>50	7		87.5	5	1		12.	5	8		6.6		
			Total	75		62.5	5	45		37.	5	12(D	100)	
2		Monthly incom	e(birr)	<1(000	0		0		0		0		0		0
		100	0-2000		20		41.	6	28		58.	3	48		40	
		200)1-3001		30		75		10		25		40		33	.3
			>3001	25		78.1	1	7		21.	8	32		26.	6	
			Total	75		62.5	5	45		37.	5	120	C	100)	
	3	Year of serv	vice 0-2	<u>.</u>	16		53.	3	14		46.	6	30		25	
			2-5	35		77.8	8	10		22.	2	45		37.	5	
			5-10	20		52.6	5	18		47.	4	38		31.	6	
			>10	4		57.1	1	3		42.	8	7		5.8		
			Total	75		62.5	5	45		37.	5	120)	100)	

Table 2 : Shows socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents such as age, monthly income andyear of service.

The age of most respondents range from 20-29 years which were 63(52.5%) of the total respondents,0f which 33(52.4%) and 30(47.6%) were males and females respectively.35(29.2%) of the total respondents were in the age group of 30-39 years with 25(71.4%) males and 10(28.6%) were females. Out of the age

group between 40-49 which were 14(11.6%) Of the total respondents 10(71.4%) males and 4(28.6%) were females. A few of the respondents were in the age group of >50 years with 8(6.6%) of the total respondents of them 7(87.5%) and 1(12.5%) were males and females respectively. There were no respondents <20 years of age.

Concerning income classification of respondents most of them had income between 1000-2000 and 2001-3000 birr per month ,which accounts about 48(40%) and 40(33.3%) respectively. The other respondents 32(26.6%) have had income>3001 birr per month. There were no respondents who were earn income <1000 birr per month.

Concerning year of service, most of the total respondents 45(37.5%) had between 2-5 years of survice,35(77.8)and10(22.2%) were males and females respectively.38(31.6%) of the total respondents have had between 5-10 years of survices,20(52.6%)and18(47.4%)were males and females respectively. The others respondents 30(25%) and 7(5.8%) have been working between 0-2 and >10 years respectively.

Table3.Reasponses of health care workers on basic infection control methods, jimma university specialized hospital,2013.

S.

No. Questions on infection

control method Respons

Es Number of respondents with their profession and sex

Doctors Health

Officer Nurses Midwifery

Medical lab Anesthesia Total Sex

M F

			No No	% %	N0 No	% %	No No	% %	No No	% %	No	%
1	Prese	nce of st	andard	infectior	n contro	l guideli	ne Yes	10	33.3	7	41.2	10
	23.8	5	71.4	7	41.2	4	57.1	43	35.8	20	26.6	23
						51	1					
		No	20	66.6	10	58.8	32	76.2	2	28.6	10	58.8
			3	42.8	77	64.2	55	73.3	22	48.8		
2	If ves	in what	form it	is availal	bleBook	/manua	l 10	33.3	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	3	43	13	11	10	13.3	3	7
Chart	0	0	3	18	0	0	0	0	5	29.4	0	0
				8	7	6	8	2	4.4			
		Writte	en0	0	4	24	10	24	5	71	2	12
			1	14.2	22	18.3	4	5.3	18	40		
		Verba	al O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		3	Staff t	raining p	orogram	m on inf	fection c	ontrol p	rocedure	e Yes		
	0	0	0	0	22	52.4	5	71.4	10	59	0	0
				37	30.8	20	27	17	38			
		No	30	100	17	100	20	48	2	29	7	41
			7	100	83	69.2	55	73	28	62		
4	Rubbi	ng hand	s with a	ntiseptic	before	aseptic	procedu	re Yes	20	67	10	59
	32	76.2	7	100	15	88.2	7	100	91	76	51	68
						40	89					
		No	10	33.3	7	41.2	10	24	0	0	2	12
			0	0	29	24	24	32	5	11		
5	Prepa	ring sur	gical site	es from c	entre ou	utward v	with anti	septic	Yes	30	100	17
	100	42	100	7	100	17	100	7	100	120	100	75
					10	0 45	5 10	00				
		No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

6	Wash	ing han	ds befor	e patient	ts exam	ination	Yes	12	40	8	47.1	12
	28.6	7	100	0	0	4	57.1	43	35.8	16	21.3	27
						60	0					
		No	18	60	9	53	30	71.4	0	0	17	100
			3	43	77	64.2	59	78.6	18	40		
7	Wash	ing han	ds after	patient e	examina	tion	Yes	30	100	17	100	42
	100	7	100	17	100	7	100	120	100	75	100	45
	100											
		No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0		0		0		0		
8	Wearir	ng face	mask du	ring proc	cedure	Yes	15	50	12	70.6	12	28.6
	7	100	0	0	0	0	46	38.3	30	40	16	35.6
		No	15	50	5	29.4	30	71.4	0	0	17	100
			7	100	74	61.6	45	60	29	64.4		
9	Weari	ing glov	e during	patient	examina	ation	Yes	20	66.6	5	29.4	42
-	100	7	100	17	100	7	100	98	81.6	56	74.6	42
						93	.3					
		No	10	33.3	12	70.6	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	22	18.3	19	25.3	3	6.7		
10	Wear	ing gog	gles duri	ing patie	nt exan	nination/	procedu	ure Yes	12	40	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	10	9	12
						3	6.7					
		No	18	60	17	100	42	100	7	100	17	100
			7	100	108	90	66	88	42	93.3		
11	Chang	ing glov	es betwo	een patie	ents	Yes	30	100	17	100	42	100
	7	100	0	0	7	100	103	85.8	60	80	43	95.6
		No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	100
			0	0	17	14.2	- 15	20	2	4.4	_,	
12	Scrub	hing ha	nds with	coon ho	foro do	ving	Yes	25	83.3	4	23.5	32
12	76.2	7	100 100	0 0	0	4	57.1	25 72	60 60	4 45	23.5 60	52 27
	70.2	,	100	U	U	4 60		72	00	75	00	21
		No	5	16.6	13	76.4	10	23.8	0	0	17	100
			3	42.8	48	40	30	40	18	40	±7	100
			-		-	-		-	-	-		

13	Washi	ng hand	s using a	alcohol b	efore an	d after p	patient	examina	tion	Yes	20	66.6
	7	41.2	22	52.4	7	100	5	29.4	7	100	68	56.6
					39	52	29	64.4				
		No	10	33.3	10	58.8	20	47.6	0	0	12	70.6
			0	0	52	43.3	36	48	16	35.6		
14	Disin	fecting	the surfa	ace in th	e onerati	ng roon	n hetwe	een patie	ntsYes	25	83.3	2
- ·	11.8	32	76.2	7	100 100	0	0	7	100	73	60.8	41
54.6 32 71.1												
		No	5	16.6	15	88.2	10	23.8	0	0	17	100
		110	0	0	47	39.2	34	45.3	13	28.8	-,	100
15	Licing	anticant	tio for clu	in nrong	ration	Vec	20	100	1 5	88.2	40	100
15	4	57.1	tic for sk 0	n prepa 0	7	Yes 100	30 98	100 81,6	15 60	88.2 80	42 38	100 84.4
	-			0				·				
		No	0	0	2	11.8	0	0	3	42.8	17	100
			0	0	22	18.3	15	20	7	15.6		
16	Reus	ing glov	es that a	are wash	ed Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	C) () () (0 0	C)	0 0	0 0) () ()
		No	30	100	17	100	42	100	7	100	17	100
			7	100	120	100	75	62.5	45	37.5		
17	Facing	injury f	rom surg	gical inst	ruments	Yes	10	33.3	2	11.8	15	35.7
	1	14.2	2	11.8	0	0	30	25	24	32	6	13.3
		No	20	66.6	15	88.2	27	64.2	6	85.7	15	88.2
		NO	20 7	100.0	90	88.2 75	27 51	68	39	86.6	13	00.2
		_										. –
18	Wear 100	ing gow 42	n approj 100	priately 7	during pa 100	itient ex 17	aminat 100	tion 7	Yes 100	30 120	100 100	17 75
	100	42	100	/	100 62.			, 7.5	100	120	100	75
		No	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
19	Reus	-	that are		hed	Yes	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		No	30	100	17	100	42	100	7	100	17	100
			7	100	120	100	75	62.5	45	37.5		

Table3. shows basic infection control method performed by respondents. Acording to the table, 77(64.2%) Of total respondents said that there was no any standard infection control guidelines with 55(73.3%) and 22(48.8%) were males and females respectively, which includeddoctors20(66.6%), Ho10(58.8%), Nurse32(76.2%), mw2(28.6%), M. lab10(58.8%) and Anesthesia3(42.8%) while, 43(35.8%) of the total respondents said that there is infection control guideline with 20(26.6%) weremales and 23(51.1%) werefemales, which, included Doctors10(33.3%), Ho7(41.2%), Nurses10(

23.8%),M.wifery5(71.4%)andAnesthesia4(57.1%),writtenform 22(18%) is the most common followed by manual13(11%) and chart8(7%) respectively.

83(69.2%) Of the total respondents were said that there was no staff training program on infection control procedure of which were Doctors30(100%),HO17(100%),Nurses20(48%), Midwifery2(29%) M.laboratory7(41%) andAnesthesia7(100%) while,37(30.8%) of total respondents were said that there was training program.

About 91(76%) of the total respondents were rub their hands vigorously with antiseptic before aseptic procedure with 51(68%) and40(89%) Males and Females respectively, which included Doctors 20(27%),HO10%59%), Nurses32(76.2%) Midwifery7(100%), M,laboratory15(88.2%) and Anesthesia7(100%) while,29(24%) of total respondents were not, with 24(32%) and 5(11%) were males and females respectively.

All of 120(100%) of respondents prepare surgical site from centre outwardwithantisepticwhichwereincludedDoctors30(100%),HO17(100%),Nurses 42(100%),Midwifery 7(100),M.laboratory 17(100%) and Anesthesia 7(100%).About 77(64.2%)of total respondents were not wash their hands before patient examination with 59(78,6%) and 18(40%) were males and females respectively, which included Doctors18(60%), Ho9(53%), Nurses30(71.4%), Midwifery0(0%), M.laboratory17(100%) and Anesthesia3(43%) while,43(35.8%) of the total respondents were did with 16(21.3%) and 27(60%) were males and females respectively. All of 120(100%) the respondents were wash their hands after patients examination which included Doctors 30(100%),Ho17(100%),Nurses 42(100%),Midwifery 7(100%),M.laboratory 17(100%) and Anesthesia 7(100%).

About 74(61.6%) of the total respondents were not wear facemask duringtheprocedurewith45(60%)and29(64.4%)weremalesandfemalesrespectivel,whichincludedDoctors1 5(50%),Ho5(29.4%),Nurses30(71.4%),M.laboratory17(100%) and Anesthesia7(100%) while,46(38.3%)of the total respondents were did with 30(40%) and 16(35.6%) were males and females respectively, which included Doctors15(50%), Ho12(70.6%) Nurses12(28.6%) and Midwifery7(100%).

About 98(81.6%) Of the total respondents wear gloves during patient examination with 56(74.6%) and 42(93.3%) were males and females respectively, which included Doctors20(66.6%), Ho5(29.4%), Nurses42(100%), Midwifery7(100%), M.laboratory17(100%) and Anesthesia7(100%) while 22(18.3%) of the total respondents were not, with 19(25.3%) and 3(6.7%) were males and females respectively, which included Doctors10(33.3%) and Ho12(70.6%).

On the other hand about 108(90%) of the total respondents were not wear goggles during patient examination with 66(88%) and 42(93.3%) were males and females respectively,only,12(10%) of the total respondents were did, with 9(12%) and3(6.7%) were males and females respectively which included Doctors12(40%) only. Totally 103(85.5%) Of the total respondents were changed gloves between patients while17(14.2%) of the respondents had not.Generally,60(80%) males and 43(95.6%) females were changed their gloves between patients while15(20%) and 2(4.4%) males and females respectively had not. About 72(60%) of the total respondents were washed their hands before gloving with 45(60%) and 27(60%) males and females respectively, which included Doctor 25(83.3%), Ho4(23.5%), Nurse32(76.2%) Midwifery7(100%) and Anesthesia 4(57.1%),while,48(40%) of the total respondents were not washed their hands before gloving with 30(40%) and 18(40%) were males and females respectively,which,included Doctors5(16.6%), Ho13(76.4%), Nurses10(23.8%), M.lab17(100%)and Anesthesia3(42.8%).

About 68(56.6%) of the total respondents were scrubbed their hands with soap before gloving of which 39(52%) and 29(64.4%) were males and females respectively, which, included Doctor20(66.6%), Ho7(41.2%), Nurses22(52.4%), Midwifery7(100%), M.laboratory5(29.4%) and Anesthesia7(100%), while,52(43.3%) Of the total respondents with 36948%) and 16(35.6%) males and females respectively were not,which,included Doctors10(33.3%),Ho10(58.8%), Nurse20(47.6%) and M.laboratory12(70.6%).

Similarly, about 73(60.8%) of the total respondents were washed their hands using alcohol before and after patient examination of them 41(54.6%) males and 32(71.1%) were females which included Doctors25(83.3%), Ho2(11.8%), Nurses32(76.2%), Midwifery7(100%) and
Anesthesia7(100%), while, 47(39.2%) of the total respondents were not, with 34(45.3%) and 13(28,8%) were males and females respectively, which included Doctors5(16.6%), Ho15(88.2%),
Nurses10(23.8%) and M.laboratory17(100%). About 98(81.6%) of the total respondents were scrubbed their hands with disinfectants before gloving ,of them60(80%) and 38(84.4%) were males and females respectively which included Doctors30(100%), Ho15(88.2%), Nurses42(100%) M idwifery4(57.1%) and Anesthesia7(100%) while ,only,22(18.3%) of the total respondents had not with 15(20%) and 7(15.6%) were males and females respectively.

All of the respondents 120(100%) were disinfected the surface in the operating room between patients which included Doctors,Ho,Nurses,Midwifery,M.laboratory and Anesthesia each of them were 100%.All of the respondents 120(100%) Of them were not reusedthewashedandunwashedgloves,whichincludedDoctors,Ho,Nurses,Midwifery,M.lab and Anesthesia with each of them 100%.

About 90(75%) of the total respondents were not faced injury from surgical instruments which of them were 51(68%) and39(86.6%) males and females respectively, which, included Dotors20(66.6), Ho15(88.2), nurse27(64.2%), Midwifery6(85.7%), M.laboratory15(88.2%) and Anesthesia7(100%), while, 30(25%) of the total respondents were faced injury from surgical instruments with 24(32%) and 6(13.3%) were males and females respectivily, which included Doctors10(33.3%), Ho2(11.8%), Nurses15(35.7%), Midwifery1(14.2%) and M.laboratory2(11.8%).

Table4.precaution taken before, durig and after patient examination by healthcare worker in Jimma university specialized hospital, 2013

s.no.	Precaution taken by resp	oondents	Positive responses of respondents with their profession and sex								
	Doctors HO	Nurse Mi	idwifery	Med lab	Anesthesia	Total Sex					
					Μ	F					
	NO %	NO %	NO	% NO	% NO	% NO					
	%	NO	% r	NO % N	0 %						
1	Wearing properly whit	e gown 30	100	17 100	42 100	7 100					
	17 100	7 100	0 120	100 75	62.5 45	37.5					
2	Washing gown timely	30 10	00 17	100 42	100 7	100 17					
	100 7	100	120 10	00 75 10	0 45 37	.5					
3	Wearing gloves during	patient exan	nination	20 66.6	5 29.4	42 100					
	7 100 17	100 7	100	98 81.6	56 74.6	42 93.3					
4	Washing hands befor	e and after g	loving 25	83.3 12	71 30	71.4 6					
	86 9 53	3 5	71.4 8	37 72.5 57	7 76 30	0 67					
5	Changing gloves betw	veen examina	ation 30	100 17	100 42	100 7					
	100 0 0	7	100 10	03 85.8 60	80 43	95.6					

6	Disinfecting the surfaces in the operator room between patients25										2	11.8
	32	76.2	7	100	0	0	7	100	73	60.8	41	54.6
						32	71.1					
7	Weari	ng a pro	otective	face shi	eld durin	g opera	tion	15	50	12	70.6	12
	28.6	7	100	0	0	0	0	46	38.3	30	40	16
	35.6											
8	Sterili	zing ins	trument	s before	e use	30	100	17	100	42	100	7
	100) 17	10	07	10	0 12	0 10	0 75	62	.5 45	37	.5
9	Washin	g hand	s before	patient	s examin	ation	12	40	8	47.1	12	28.6
	7	100	0	0	4	57.1	43	35.8	16	21.3	27	60
10	Wash	ing han	ds using	alcohol	before a	nd afte	r patient	t examin	ation	20	66.6	7
	41.2	22	52.4	7	100	5	29.4	7	100	68	56.6	39
					52	29	64	.4				
	X2-83											

df-35

p-value-0.000

Table 4.Shows precaution taken by respondents before, during and after patient examination and treatment. According to the table all of the respondents 120(100%) were worn their white gown properly, washed gown timely and sterilized instruments before they used which included Doctors ,Ho, Nurses, Midwifery, M.lab and Anesthesia. In a similar way all of the respondents except M.lab were changed their gloves between patient examination which included Doctors, Ho, Nurses, Midwifery, and Anesthesia.

About 98(81.6%) of the respondents were worn the gloves during patient examination of them 56(74.6%) were males and 42(93.3%) were

females, which included Doctors 20(66.6%), Ho5(29.4%), Nurses 42(100%), Midwifery 7(100%), M.lab 17(100%)
and Anesthesia 7(100%). On the other hand 87(72.5%) of the total respondents were washed their hands before and after gloving, 57(76%) and 30(67%) of them were males and females
respectively, which included Doctors 25(83.3%), Ho12(71%), Nurses 30(71.4%), Midwifery 6(86%), M.lab 9(53%) and Anesthesia 5(71.4%). About 73(60.8%) of the total respondents were disinfected the surfaces in the operator room between patients with 41(54.6%) and 32(71.1%) of them were males and females
respectively, which included Doctors 25(83.3%), Ho 2(11.8%), Nurses 32(76.2%), Midwifery 7(100%) and Anesthesia 7(100%). Only 46(38.3%) of the total respondents were worn a protective face shield during

procedure,30(40%) and 16(35.6%) of them were males and females respectively, which included Doctors 15(50%),Ho 12(70.6%),Nurses 12(28.6%)and Midwifery 7(100%).On the other hand 68(56.6%) of the total respondents were washed their hands using alcohol before and after patient examination, of them 39(52%) and 29(64.4%) were males and females respectively, which included Doctors 20(66.6%),Ho 7(41.2%),Nurses 22(52.4%),Midwifery 7(100%),M.lab 5(29.4%) and Anesthesia 7(100).It is statistically significant p-0.000 which is p-<0.05.

Table5.Methods of sterilization performed by respondents as in the Jimma university specialized hospital,2013

Method of st	erilization Positive resp	onse of responde	nts with their p	profession)
Doctors HO	Nurses Midwiferv	M Laboratory	Anesthesia	Total	n-valı

Docto	ors HO	Nurs	es Mid	wifery	M.L	aboratory	Ane	esthesia	Total	p-val	ue
NO	%	NO	%	-		NO X2 -14		NO	%	NO	%

df-15

				p-v	value-0.	472					
Autoclave	18	60	5	29.4 43	35 72	83.3 60	3 3	43	8	47	3
Dry heat	5	17	3	17.6 6	5 2 14	4.8 12	2	29	2	11.8	1
Chemical va	por 2	6.6	2	11.8 29	3 12	7.1 10	1	14.2	2	11.8	2
Irradiation	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
Combination	of any	2	6.6	1 1	6 6	2 9	4.8 7.5	1	14.2	2	11.8
Total 27	90	11	64.7	42	100 107	7 89	100	14	82.4	7	100

Table 5. Shows method of sterilization performed by respondents. According to the table 107(89%) of the total respondents had sterilized instruments before, out of them 72(60%) used Autoclave as sterilizing technique with Doctors 18(60%),Ho 5(29.4%),Nurses 35(83.3%),Midwifery 3(43%),M.lab 8(47%) and Anesthesia 3(43%).Similarly,14(12%) of them used dry heat as sterilizing technique with Doctors 5(17%),Ho 3(17.6%),Nurses 2(4.8%),Midwifery 2(29%),M.lab2(11.8%) and Anesthesia 1(6%).Among respondents who had sterilized instruments before 12(10%) of them used chemical vapor as sterilized technique with Doctors 2(6.6%),Ho 2(11.8%),Nurses 3(7.1%), Midwifery 1(14.2%),M.lab 2(11.8%) and Anesthesia 2(29%),while, 9(7.5%) of them used combination of any and neither of them had used irradiation as sterilized technique before. It is not statistically significant since p-0.472 which is p>0.05.

Table 6.precuation taken before and after sterilization by respondents in Jimma university specialized hospital,2013.G.C.

Precaution taken

Before and after

	Steriliza	tion	Positive	Positive responses of respondents with their profession							
	Doctors	Но	Nurses	Midwife	ery	M.lab	Anesthe	esia	Total	p-value	
No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
					No	%					

X2-6.68

df-35

p-value-1.000

Washing instruments w	ith scrub	brush	before s	steriliz	atio	n	27	90	10	58.8	42
100	7	100	10	58.	8	7	100) 103	8 85.8		
Immersing instruments i	n antisep	otic bef	ore ster	ilizatio	on 2	6	87	9	53	40	95.2
4	57	9	5	3	5		71.4	93	77.5		
Disinfecting equipment	before	steriliza	ation	27		90	10	58.8	3 42	100	7
	100	10	58.8	7		100	103	8 85.	8		
Warping or packing instr	uments l	before	autoclav	/e	2	6	87	11	64.7	40	95.2
7	10	09	5	3	6	:	86	99	82.5		
			Usin	g steri	lized	ł					
Instruments within the	session	27	90	11	(64.7	35	83.3	5	71.4	11
			65	5	-	71.4	94				
				78.	3						
Changing water in filter	after st	erilizati	ion	20		67	9	53	40	95.2	4
	57	10	58.8	4		57	87	72.	5		
Disinfecting instrument	s that ca	an't be	sterilize	d 25		83.3	10	58.8	3 35	83.3	5
	71.4	12	71	3		42.8	90	75			
Taking any training abo	ut sterili	zation	techniqu	ue15		50	7	41.2	2 28	67	4
	57	7	41.2	3		42.8	64	53.	3		

Table 6. Shows precaution taken before and after sterilization by respondents. According to the table 103(85.8%) of the total respondents who had sterilized instruments, washed instruments with scrub brush before sterilization, while, 93(77.5%) of the respondents had immersed instruments in antiseptic before sterilization.

In similar way 103(85.8%) of the respondents had disinfected equipment before sterilization while,99(82.5%) of the respondents had warped or packed instruments before autoclave.

On the other hand 94(78.3%) of the respondents had used sterilized instruments within session, similarly, 87(72.5%) of the respondents had changed water in filter after sterilization.

About 90(75%) of the respondents had disinfected instruments that can't be sterilized, while, 64(53.3%) of them had taken any training about sterilization technique. It is not statistically significant since p-1.000 which is p>0.05.

CHAPTER- SIX

6.0. Discussion

This study showed poor on some infection control mechanisms and good on other mechanisms(according to standard isolation precautions).

Hand washing, wearing gown, face mask wearing, gloving and goggling are some essential parts of infection control in health care practice. Thus even before the advent of AIDS, health care workers worldwide have a culture of washing their hands before and after examining patients.

A close study of compliance with this aspect of infection control was under taken by B.D.O sahib in Benin city, Nigeria (18) found that 72.6% and 94.7% of health care workers wash their hands before and after patients examination respectively. While in this study 35.8% and 100% of respondents had washed their hands before and after patients examination respectively. Washing hands before examination is poor with relative to literature, this is because of most of health care workers not concerned to wash their hands specially before examination.

Previously the wearing of gowns and gloves before examining patients was practiced regularly as an essential part of cross infection control. Interestingly however, 100% of respondents in this study wear gowns before examine patients. Similarly 81.6% of the respondents in this study wear gloves before examination. The study done in Benin city Nigeria shows also 59.3% of health care workers wear gloves before examination of patients which was less than the present study. The result also shows,10%, 60% and 38.8% of respondents had wear goggles, scrubbed hands with soap and disinfectants and wear face mask respectively. The same study in Benin city Nigeria in 2000(18) shows 22.1% and 35.4% of respondents wear goggles respectively. Relatively less number of respondents wear eye goggles in this study.

According to this study autoclave is the mostly used sterilization technique followed by dry heat oven technique, as the evidence a similar study has done in Jimma University specialized Hospital and in municipality of sao Paulo, Brazil, concluded that autoclave is the method of choice for sterilization of surgical instruments. Similarly, most of the health care workers in this study used autoclave. Next to the autoclave, dry heat oven and chemical vapor were used. Small number of respondents under study used combination of any method of sterilization while no respondents used irradiation method (21,26).This is may be due to irradiation method not available in the hospitals and chemical vapor sterilization not effective as others sterilization methods.

Generally, 89% of respondents had sterilized instruments before use which is less than the study done in Benin city Nigeria in 2000 on 180 respondents surveyed, 98.1% of them sterilized their equipments(18). Most of the health care workers who sterilized instruments in this study have done precautions before sterilization which was also similar with literature.

Totally low adherence of respondents to ward practice of some infection control mechanisms may be due to less availability and affordability of equipments or may be attributed by too carelessness of the respondents under study.

CHAPTER SEVEN

7. Conclusion and Recommendation

7.1. Conclusion- the study shows that although most of the health care workers under studied recognized the importance of cross infection control theoretically, they have not changed it into practice accordingly. So still most of the health care workers in Jimma university specialized Hospital are at high risk of infection exposure, particularly nurses, m.lab & midwifery are exposed for different infection. This may be due to carelessness and low awareness.100% of health care workers taken as sample size at

Jimma university specialized hospital were accepted infection control practice theoretically but they didn't change it in to practice accordingly. Most of the health care workers in Jimma University specialized Hospital used personal protective equipments very well. The most common sterilization methods in the hospital was autoclave. Sterilization was the most effective methods to control infection transmission in relation to others methods like decontamination and disinfection.

7.2. Recommendation:-

- 1 Staff training program on infection control practice should be given regularly and supervising them accordingly.
 - 2 Supplying the facilities that allow compliance with infection control practices.

3 I also like to recommend Jimma university specialized Hospital administrators to give progressive seminars both theoretically and practically on infection control practice for all health care workers in the Hospital.

- 4 Health care workers in Jimma university specialized hospital should change their practice toward infection control.
 - 5 Lastly, few studies done on this topic and I invite researchers to do further study on it.

ANNEX I

References

1 Center for Disease Control: Guidelines for prevention of transmission of HIV and HBV to health-care and public safety workers. MMWR, 1985;34:561

2. Guide lines for infection in dental health care setting 2003, by William Gkhear DDs and Dolores M.malvitat, Dr,ZP.H

3 OSHA. Final standard for occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens: December 6, 1991. 29 CFR 1910;1030

4 Goudeau A. Epidemiology and eradication strategy for hepatitis B in Europe. Vaccine, 1990;8(Suppl):S113-S16;discussion, S134-S38.

5 Ate health quality and continuity branch department of human services 2000

6 National Health and Medical research council and Australian National council on AIDS.Infection control in the healthcare setting.1996

7 Alvarado oJ, The science of hand hygiene as elf study monograph, university of Wisconsin medical school and sic- health communication:, march;2000.

8 Centers for disease control (ACIP) protection against viral hepatitis mmwR. 39 (RR-2) : 1-26,1990

9 Godeboun, habte G.Kron vall Hospital acquired infection among obstetric and gynecology patients . Abstract No PM (0) 205130

10 Bra2 J infect Dis Vol:15 no.1 salvador Jan/Feb.2011.

11 Infection control practice among dental professional in shiraf.dentistry school, Iram, by Mehrdal Askarin MD, MPH ojar Assadian MD,)

12 G.L Ridgway and others impluication of European directive ormedical devices lancet, Vol 1351. No 9119 page 1831-1832

13 www.Complab nymc, edc/curriculum/can prev, med/nosocomias infections htm,

14 Gamers and the hospital advisory committee (HICPAC) 1996

15 Effectiveness of infection control techniques on surgical instrument on Jimma University specialized Hospital, in 2004 by Tesfaye Demle.

16 Sample infection control assessment survey data formeight counties reghunath put faith, Tay D.shuman

17 Garner GS, Guideline for isolation precuation in hospitals. the hospital infection control practice , advisor commite; 1996 :17;53-80

18 Garner GS ,Favero . infection control: CDC Guideline for hand weshing and hospital environmental control.MS 1986; 7:231-243.

19 Philpott,Howard GN, hospital infection control. policies and practical procedures. london,sauders : casewell MM ;199

20 Cross infection control methods adopted by medical and dental practitioners in Benin city Nigeria B.D.O sahib e offer of kooki

21 World Health organization.Practical Guidelines for infection control in Healthcare.No41.New Delhi:WPRO Regional publication;,2004.

22 Young JG ReichertM.Sterilization Technology fOr theHealthcare Facility.2ndedn.NewYork,USA:Aspenpublisher;1997.

23 Doctor HV D ahiruT.Utilization of non skilledattendents in northern Nigeria.Arough terrian to the health relatedMDGS.Afr JReprod Health 2010;14-21.

24 Jantarsi separating et al 2005 kananitay a senate teals 2005 Lela chittreecheur et al 2005

25 PittetD,AllegranziB,Storr J,etal.Infectioc control

asamajorworldhealthorganizationpriorityfordevelopingcountries.JHospInfect2008;68:285-92.

26 Effectiveness of infection control techniques on surgical instrument on Jimma University specialized Hospital, in 2004 by Tesfaye Demle.

27 Phipps W. 2002 . Risk of m,edical sharps insures among Chinese nurses. AMJ. Infect control 30(5) : 277-282.

28 Dare Fo, Bako AU, Ezechi oc. Puerperal sepsis:a preventable postpartum complication. TropDoct1998;28:92-5

29 OSHA. Final standared for occupational exponsure to blood borne pathogens :December 6, 1991. 29 CFR 1910-1030

30 Center for disease control Guidelines for prevention of transmission of HIV and HBV to health care and public self by workers mmwR 1985-34: 561

Questionnaire

Jimma University College of public health and medical sciences department of dentistry questionnaires prepared for health care workers for data collation on assessment of infection control in JUSH.

	Part 1	Demography		
1.	Age	2 .Sex: Ma	ile Fema	ale
3. Profession: Doctor	Health officer Anesthetisi	Midwifery Clear		s Lab technician
4. Monthly	income:<1000 , 1	000-2000	2001-3000	>3001
5. Ye	ar of service:0.2	2-5 5	5-10	> 10

Note:- If the question is not related with your duties please jump it . But if concerns you put "" or " X" in the box in front of your answer.

Part II Questions for basic infection control methods

- 1. Is there any standard infection control guidelines ?Yes No
 - 2. If yes ,to no. one, in what form it is available?
 - Book/manual Chart Written Verbal
- 3. Is there staff training program on infection control procedure?

Yes No

4.	C	Do you vigor	ously rub your hands with antiseptic before aseptic procedure	e? Yes	No
	5.	Do you p	prepare surgical sites from center outward with antiseptic? Y	es	No
		6.	Do you wash hands before patients' examination? Yes	No	
		7.	Do you wash hands after patients' examination? Yes	No	

8. Do you wear face mask during the procedure? Yes No
9. Do you wear glove during patients' examination? Yes No
11.Do you change gloves between patients? Yes No
12.Do you wash hands before gloving? Yes No
13.Do you scrub hands with soap before gloving? Yes No
14.Do you wash your hands using alcohol before and after patient examination? Yes No
15.Do you scrub hands with disinfectants before gloving? Yes No
16.Do you disinfect the surface in the operating b/n patient? Yes No
17.Do you use antiseptic for skin preparation? Yes No
18.Do you reuse gloves that are washed? Yes No
19 Do you reuse gloves that are unwashed? Yes No
20 Did you face injury from surgical instrument? Yes No
21 Do you wear your gown appropriately during patient examination? yes No
22 Do you routinely wash your hand before and after procedure? yes
no
Part III. Questionnaires for methods of sterilizations and precautions taken before and after sterilization.
1. Have you ever sterilized instruments before? Yes No
 If yes, which type of sterilization methods do you use? Autoclave dry heat Irradiation chemical vapor
3. Do you wash instruments with scrub brush before sterilization?Yes No
4. Do you Disinfect equipment before sterilization? Yes No
5. Do you warp or pack instruments before autoclave? Yes No
6. Do you disinfect instrument that can't be sterilized?Yes No
7. Did you take any training about sterilization techniques?

8. Is there any written manual on the wall of the Hospital about decontamination? Yes No

THANK YOU

ANNEX I

References

1 Center for Disease Control: Guidelines for prevention of transmission of HIV and HBV to health-care and public safety workers. MMWR, 1985;34:561

2. Guide lines for infection in dental health care setting 2003, by William Gkhear DDs and Dolores M.malvitat, Dr,ZP.H

3 OSHA. Final standard for occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens: December 6, 1991. 29 CFR 1910;1030

4 Goudeau A. Epidemiology and eradication strategy for hepatitis B in Europe. Vaccine, 1990;8(Suppl):S113-S16;discussion, S134-S38.

5 Ate health quality and continuity branch department of human services 2000

6 National Health and Medical research council and Australian National council on AIDS.Infection control in the healthcare setting.1996

7 Alvarado oJ, The science of hand hygiene as elf study monograph, university of Wisconsin medical school and sic- health communication:, march;2000.

8 Centers for disease control (ACIP) protection against viral hepatitis mmwR. 39 (RR-2) : 1-26,1990

9 Godeboun, habte G.Kron vall Hospital acquired infection among obstetric and gynecology patients . Abstract No PM (0) 205130 10 Bra2 J infect Dis Vol:15 no.1 salvador Jan/Feb.2011.

11 Infection control practice among dental professional in shiraf.dentistry school, Iram, by Mehrdal Askarin MD, MPH ojar Assadian MD,)

12 G.L Ridgway and others impluication of European directive ormedical devices lancet, Vol 1351. No 9119 page 1831-1832

15 www.Complab nymc, edc/curriculum/can prev, med/nosocomias infections htm,

16 Gamers and the hospital advisory committee (HICPAC) 1996

15 Effectiveness of infection control techniques on surgical instrument on Jimma University specialized Hospital, in 2004 by Tesfaye Demle.

16 Sample infection control assessment survey data formeight counties reghunath put faith, Tay D.shuman

17 Garner GS, Guideline for isolation precuation in hospitals. the hospital infection control practice , advisor commite; 1996 :17;53-80

18 Garner GS ,Favero . infection control: CDC Guideline for hand weshing and hospital environmental control.MS 1986; 7:231-243.

19 Philpott,Howard GN, hospital infection control. policies and practical procedures. london,sauders : casewell MM ;199

20 Cross infection control methods adopted by medical and dental practitioners in Benin city Nigeria B.D.O sahib e offer of kooki

21 World Health organization.Practical Guidelines for infection control in Healthcare.No41.New Delhi:WPRO Regional publication;,2004.

22 Young JG ReichertM.Sterilization Technology for theHealthcare Facility.2ndedn.NewYork,USA:Aspenpublisher;1997.

23 Doctor HV D ahiruT.Utilization of non skilledattendents in northern Nigeria.Arough terrian to the health relatedMDGS.Afr JReprod Health 2010;14-21.

24 Jantarsi separating et al 2005 kananitay a senate teals 2005 Lela chittreecheur et al 2005

25 PittetD,AllegranziB,Storr J,etal.Infectioc control asamajorworldhealthorganizationpriorityfordevelopingcountries.JHospInfect2008;68:285-92.

26 Effectiveness of infection control techniques on surgical instrument on Jimma University specialized Hospital, in 2004 by Tesfaye Demle. 27 Phipps W. 2002 . Risk of m, edical sharps insures among Chinese nurses. AMJ. Infect control 30(5) : 277-282.

28 Dare Fo, Bako AU, Ezechi oc. Puerperal sepsis:a preventable postpartum complication. TropDoct1998;28:92-5

29 OSHA. Final standared for occupational exponsure to blood borne pathogens :December 6, 1991. 29 CFR 1910-1030

31 Center for disease control Guidelines for prevention of transmission of HIV and HBV to health care and public self by workers mmwR 1985-34: 561

Questionnaire

Jimma University College of public health and medical sciences department of dentistry questionnaires prepared for health care workers for data collation on assessment of infection control in JUSH.

	Ра	rt 1 Demography		
	1. Age	2 .Sex: Male	Female	
3. Profession: Doct	tor Health officer Anesth	,	Nurses rs	Lab technician
4. Mon	thly income:<1000	, 1000-2000	2001-3000	>3001
5	5. Year of service:0.2	2-5 5-1	.0 > 10	
Note:- If the question is		r duties please jump in front of your ansv		ns you put "" or " X" in
	Part II Questions for	or basic infection co	ntrol methods	
10.	Is there any standard	infection control gu	uidelines ?Yes	No
	11. If yes ,to no	o. one, in what form	it is available?	
	Book/manual	Chart Writte	n Verbal	
12.	Is there staff trainin	g program on infect	ion control proce	dure?
		Yes No		
13. Do you vigorou:	sly rub your hands w	ith antiseptic before	e aseptic procedu	re?Yes No
14. Do you pre	pare surgical sites fr	om center outward	with antiseptic?	Yes No
15. [Do you wash hands b	efore patients' exan	nination? Yes	No
16. I	Do you wash hands a	fter patients' exami	nation? Yes	No
17.	Do you wear face ma	ask during the proce	edure? Yes	No
18.	Do you wear glove dı	uring patients' exam	ination? Yes	No
10.Dc	o you wear goggles d	uring patients' exam	nination?Yes	No
11	1.Do you change glov	es between patient	s? Yes N	lo
	12.Do you wash ha	nds before gloving?	Yes No	
13.0	Do you scrub hands v	vith soap before glo	ving? Yes	No

14.Do you wash your hands using alcohol before and after patient examination? Yes

15.Do you scrub hands with disinfectants before gloving? Yes No

16.Do you disinfect the surface in the operating b/n patient? Yes No

17.Do you use antiseptic for skin preparation? Yes No

18.Do you reuse gloves that are washed? Yes No

20 Do you reuse gloves that are unwashed? Yes No

20 Did you face injury from surgical instrument? Yes No

21 Do you wear your gown appropriately during patient examination? yes No

22 Do you routinely wash your hand before and after procedure? yes

no

Part III. Questionnaires for methods of sterilizations and precautions taken before and after sterilization.

9).	Have you ever	sterilized instruments be	fore? Yes	No

10.If yes, which type of sterilization methods do you use? Autoclavedry heatIrradiationchemical vapor

11. Do you wash instruments with scrub brush before sterilization?Yes No

12. Do you Disinfect equipment before sterilization? Yes No

13. Do you warp or pack instruments before autoclave? Yes No

14. Do you disinfect instrument that can't be sterilized?Yes No

15. Did you take any training about sterilization techniques?

Yes No

16. Is there any written manual on the wall of the Hospital about decontamination? Yes No