PREVALENCE OF WORK RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG BANK STAFF IN JIMMA CITY, SOUTHWEST ETHIOPIA

BY: GULUMA ETANA (B.Sc)

NOVEMBER 2019 JIMMA UNIVERSITY JIMMA, ETHIOPIA



INSTITUTE OF HEALTH, FACULTY OF MEDICAL SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES, ANATOMY UNIT

PREVALENCE OF WORK RELATED MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS AMONG BANK STAFF IN JIMMA CITY, SOUTHWEST ETHIOPIA

BY: GULUMA ETANA (B.Sc)

A THESIS RESEARCH SUBMITTED TO JIMMA UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF HEALTH, DEPARTMENT OF BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES, IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR MASTERS OF SCIENCE IN CLINICAL ANATOMY

ADVISORS:

PRINCIPAL ADVISOR: Mr. ASFAW GERBI (B.Sc, M.Sc, Ass. Prof)

CO-ADVISOR: Mr. MENGISTU AYELE (B.Sc, M.Sc)

NOVEMBER 2019 JIMMA, ETHIOPIA

ABSTRACT

Background: The musculoskeletal system is composed of two systems; the skeletal and muscular systems. It contains bones, muscles, joints, ligaments, and tendons. The work-related musculoskeletal disorder results from work-related exposures and is one of the most important public health problems throughout the world. It not only affects the health of workers but also creates a burden on the health system, on the economic and social costs. Hence, assessment of work-related musculoskeletal disorders and identification of risk factors could help to design prevention strategies in the future.

Objectives: To assess the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorder and associated factors among bank staff in Jimma city, Jimma, Ethiopia.

Methods: Institution based cross-sectional study was conducted from July 20 to August 20, 2019, among Bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia. Data we recollected by pre-tested interviewer-administered standardized Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaires. Data were entered into Epi Data version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 20. Logistic regression was conducted and an adjusted odds ratio (AOR) at a 95% confidence interval was calculated to see the association between dependent and independent variables. Independent variables with a p-value < 0.05 in the final multiple logistic regressions were considered as statistically significant.

Results: Data were collected from 335 professional Bank staff in Jimma city. The overall prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders during the last 12-months was 245(73.1%). The most affected body parts were lower back 181(54%), neck 152(45.4%), upper back 143(42.7%) and shoulder 127(37.9%) while the least affected body parts were wrist, knee, ankle/feet, elbows and hips in47(14%), 46(13.7%), 38(11.3%), 30(9%) and 28(8.4%) respectively. It was found that work experience [AOR: 2.16, 95% CI: 1.05-4.43], alcohol consumption [AOR: 3.44, 95% CI: 1.29-9.18], awkward posture [AOR: 4.09, 95% CI:2.20-7.61], working in the same position for two or more hours [AOR: 2.02, 95% CI: 1.05-3.89] and job stress [AOR: 3.20,95% CI: 1.67-6.15] were significant predictors for work-related musculoskeletal disorder.

Conclusions and recommendations: The prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorder was common among bank staff. Work experience, alcohol consumption, awkward posture, prolonged working in the same position and job stress were independent predictors for a work-related musculoskeletal disorder. Preventive strategies such as using ergonomic guidelines and giving awareness on the effect of bad posture, avoid working in the same position for a long period and taking a break were recommended.

Keywords: Work -related musculoskeletal disorders, risk factors, bank staff, Jimma city, Ethiopia

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First, I would like to thank my advisors Mr. Asfaw Gerbi (B.Sc, M.Sc, Asst. prof.) and Mr. Mengistu Ayele (B.Sc, M.Sc) for their unreserved and constructive inputs for the development of this research paper.

I would also like to thank, Jimma University Institute of Health, Department of Biomedical Sciences for giving this opportunity to carry out this study. Also, my gratitude goes to Wollega University for sponsoring me to pursue my Masters study.

Finally, I would like to thank data collectors, supervisors and study participants who took their time to respond to our questionnaire and managements of each bank that permits this study to be conducted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
ACRONYMS	vii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background of the Study	1
1.2. Statement of the Problem	3
1.4. Significance of the Study	4
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Over View of Musculoskeletal Disorders	5
2.2. Burden of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorder	5
2.3. Prevalence of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Bank Workers	6
2.4. Factors Associated With Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders	7
2.4.1. Socio demographic factors	7
2.4.2. Individual level factors	8
2.4.3. Ergonomic risk factors	8
2.4.4. Psychosocial factors	9
2.4.5. Environmental factors	9
2.5. Conceptual Framework	9
3. OBJECTIVES	11
3.1. General Objective	11
3.2. Specific Objectives	11
4. METHODOLOGY	12
4.1. Study Area and Period	
4.2. Study Design	
4.3. Population	
4.3.1. Source Population	
4.3.2. Study population	
4.4. Eligibility Criteria	
4.4.1. Inclusion criteria	
4.2.2. Exclusion criteria	
4.5. Sample Size Determination and Sampling Technique	13

4.5.1. Sample size determination	13
4.5.2. Sampling techniques	13
4.6. Data collection tools and Procedures	14
4.7. Study variables	17
4.7.1. Dependent variable	17
4.7.2. Independent variables	17
4.8. Operational definitions of terms	18
4.9. Statistical analysis	19
4.10. Data quality assurance	19
4.11. Ethical Consideration	20
5. RESULTS	21
5.1. Socio demographic characteristics of the respondents	21
5.2. Individual characteristics of study participants	22
5.3. Prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorder among bank staff	22
5.4. Ergonomic risk factors of the bank staff	24
5.5. Working environment and psychosocial characteristics of the bank staff	25
5.6. Factors associated with work related musculoskeletal disorder	25
6. DISCUSSION	29
6.1. Limitations of the study	31
7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	32
7.1. Conclusion	32
7.2. Recommendations	32
REFERENCES	33
ANNEXES	41
Annex 1: Information sheet	41
Annex 2: Informed consent form	43
Annex 3: Questionnaire- English Version	44
Annex 4: Questionnaire- Afan Oromo Version	51
Annex 5: Questionnaire-Amharic version	59

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Sample size proportional allocation based on size in five selected banks and their branches in Jimma City, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019	.15
Table 2:	Socio-demographic characteristics of the bank staff in Jimma citySouth- west Ethiopia, 2019.	21
Table 3:	Individual characteristics of the bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019.	22
Table 4:	Prevalence of WRMSDs by specific body parts in the last 12 months among bank staff in Jimma City, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019.	23
Table 5:	Ergonomic risk factors of the bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019	24
Table 6:	Working environment and psychosocial factors of bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019	25
Table 7:	Bivariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated with WRMSD among bank staff in Jimma city, southwest Ethiopia, 2019	27

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Conceptual Framework showing factors associated with work-related musculoskeleta	1
	disorder10	
Figure 2 :	Schematic presentation of sampling procedure for selection of study participant in fiv	/e
	banks in Jimma city, southwest Ethiopia, 2019	

ACRONYMS

AB	Awash Bank
AOR	Adjusted Odds Ratio
BMI	Body Mass Index
CBE	Commercial Bank of Ethiopia
СВО	Cooperative Bank of Oromia
COR	Crude Odds Ratio
CI	Confidence Interval
CSA	Central Statistical Agency
DALYs	Disability Adjusted Life Years
DB	Dashen Bank
ETB	Ethiopian Birr
GNP	Gross National Product
LBP	Low Back Pain
MSDs	Musculoskeletal Disorders
MSS	Musculoskeletal Symptoms
OIB	Oromia International Bank
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
SRS	Simple Random Sampling
USA	United State of America
WMCs	Work-Related Musculoskeletal Complaints
WRMSD	Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorder

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of the Study

The musculoskeletal system composed of two systems the skeletal and muscular systems. The skeletal system consists of bones, cartilages, and ligaments tightly joined to form a strong, flexible framework for the body. It may be divided into two functional parts the axial skeleton (head, neck, and trunk) and the appendicular skeleton (consists of bones of the limbs, including those forming the pectoral and pelvic girdles). The muscular system consists of all the muscles of the body. It consists of three types of muscles based on their location (soma or viscera), appearance under a microscope (striated or non-striated) and whether they are voluntary or involuntary. These are including skeletal striated muscle (voluntary somatic muscle), cardiac striated muscle (involuntary visceral muscle) and smooth muscle (non-striated involuntary visceral muscle) (1).

Generally, a musculoskeletal system consists of bone, muscle, joint, ligament, and tendon. The bones provide rigidity while protecting and supporting most of the soft tissues which provide leverage through actions of muscles, permitting the movement of the body. Bones are also connected to each other forming joints allowing various degrees of freedom, based on their structure. Some joints are stabilized by fibrous tissues while others are stabilized by tough but flexible ligaments. Muscles are attached to bones by strong and flexible yet inextensible tendons which are inserted into bones (2).

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) defined as a wide range of inflammatory and degenerative conditions affecting the muscles, tendons, ligaments, joints, peripheral nerves, and supporting blood vessels. These include clinical syndromes such as tendon inflammations and related conditions (tenosynovitis, epicondylitis, bursitis), nerve compression disorders (carpal tunnel syndrome, sciatica), and osteoarthritis (3). Musculoskeletal injury resulting from a work-related event is termed work-related musculoskeletal disorder (WRMSD) and it is an effect of overexertion which occurs when workers are repeatedly exposed to forceful and prolonged activities in awkward postures or unsympathetic environment (4). MSDs are usually characterized by musculoskeletal symptoms (MSS) of pain, paraesthesia, stiffness, swelling, redness, weakness, tingling and numbness (5). WRMSD can affect the neck, shoulders, arms,

elbows, wrists, hands, back, legs and feet. Body regions most commonly affected are the low back, neck, shoulder, forearm, and hand (6,7).

Factors such as ergonomic (awkward postures, repetition of the same movements, same posture, working hours), psychosocial (social relation, workload, job satisfaction, and job stress), individual (BMI, physical activity, smoking /drinking, systemic illness, and so on) and sociodemographic factors (age and gender) contribute to WRMSDs among workers all over the world, which will be leading cause of disability and will have a serious societal and public health implications by 2020 (8–14). Activities such as repetitive movement, awkward postures, and the same posture are the primary risk factors for the development and persistence of musculoskeletal symptoms in workers (15).

The modernization in different offices including banks has led to the introduction of information technology tools in the workplace. Computer usage has been linked to an increased risk of musculoskeletal disorders. The banking sector uses a computer for data collection, processing and programming, hence they are one of the major occupations with the potential musculoskeletal hazard (16,17). Bank workers are prone to developing MSDs because of their job often involves prolonged sitting, awkward postures, physically demanding and stressful, long working hours, a repetitive task in front of computers while typing and using a mouse without having adequate rest and recovery time (18).

According to National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), in the USA there were 522,528 MSD cases, 75% of those were due to overexertion and another 11.5% were due to repetitive motion disorders (19). It also reported that the direct costs of MSDs and carpal tunnel syndrome(CTS) in the USA were \$1.5 billion and \$0.1 billion respectively in 2007 while the indirect costs were\$1.1 billion and \$0.1 billion respectively (20).

WRMSDs still occupy a top place in the record of occupational diseases in Europe and remain one of the priorities on the agenda in the occupational health and safety field between 2013 and 2020. Moreover, considerable variation was reported even among the European nations with regard to the types and magnitude of MSD complaints. For instance, back pain was reported more than twice as common in Portugal 63.8% as Ireland 25.7%, while prevalence rates of neck/upper limb pain ranged from 26.6% in Ireland to 67.7% in Finland (21,22). In Asian populations, a high annual prevalence of WRMSDs in at least one body region is varied from 40 % to 95 % (23). In India, the occupation-specific prevalence of MSD found to be as high as 90% (24).

In many African countries, WRMSD was a problem with the prevalence of any musculoskeletal disease ranging from 15% to 93.6% (25). Of the 8–9 million South Africans in employment, about 792 000 consult health services at least once a year due to disease or injury related to or aggravated by their work (26). Another report from South Africa showed that the incidence of work-related back and neck pain and carpal tunnel syndrome is between 15-60% indicating that a high proportion of the working population is at risk of developing one or more work-related musculoskeletal disorders (27).

The banking industry is growing at an alarming rate in Ethiopia hence the commercial banks opened 500 new branches in 2017/18 alone which increased the total number of branches to 4,757 from 4,257 a year ago (28). Even though the banking sectors growing in number, there is limited data source regarding WRMSD among bank workers. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the magnitude of WRMSDs (neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, hip/thigh, knee/leg, ankle/foot, and wrist /hand pain) and associated risk factors among bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia.

1.2. Statement of the Problem

Work-related musculoskeletal disorder is one of the most important public health problems and affect all persons irrespective of age and sex, and are most prevalent across a wide range of occupations (16). Globally, MSD is the largest single cause of work-related illness, accounting for over 33% of all newly reported occupational illnesses in the general population (29).

About 56% of the Brazilian bank workers evaluated had MSD symptoms affecting the upper limbs (30). In Turkey, the bank employees experienced upper extremity work-related musculoskeletal complaints anywhere in upper body area are 61.1% and the most common complaint is reported in the left neck (66.5%) and left shoulder (28.5%) areas (12). In India bank workers suffering from MSD reported the problem in the low-back pain 40.4%, upper back 39.5%, neck 38.6%, hand/wrist 36.8%, and shoulder 15.2% (15).

In developing countries, the prevalence of WRMSD is high because of the poor working environment and lack of ergonomic knowledge (18). In African countries, a few existed data sources showed that a high prevalence of WRMSD among bank workers as reported in Nigeria 71.68% and in Ghana 83.5% suffered MSD in at least one region of the body (16,17). In Rwanda, the prevalence of back pain among the bank staff was found to be 45.8% (31).

In Ethiopia, WRMSD is a problem with high prevalence as reported among nurses, garment workers, hairdressers, and office workers (13,32–34). However, information about WRMSD among bank workers is infinitesimal in Ethiopia. We were able to cite only one quantitative study conducted in Mekelle, North Ethiopia which showed that about 50% and 30% of the bank workers were occasionally and frequently seeking leave because of low back pain respectively (35). This may cause a loss of productivity and efficiency because workers are one of the stakeholders to achieve sustainable development of the country. Therefore, it is clear that there is a huge literature gap in the magnitude of WRMSDs and associated risk factors among bank workers in Ethiopia focusing on nine specific body parts. By considering this gap of information, this study was aimed to assess the prevalence of WRMSDs and associated risk factors among bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia.

1.4. Significance of the Study

Nowadays, WRMSD is one of the most common complaints of workers but it is given the least attention. There is a paucity of knowledge on the magnitude of WRMSDs and potential risk factors in Ethiopia, specifically among bank workers focusing on specific body parts.

Therefore, this study will provide information about the magnitude of WRMSDs in this area. It will identify the risk factor of MSD as its understanding helps in designing and taking appropriate preventive and control methods of MSD such as ergonomic training and proper education about the effect of bad posture at least in the study area by local bank managers for their staff. Besides, the affected study subjects will be advised to visit physiotherapeutic treatment to subside the pain and prevention of another episode. It will help to modify the workplace design and adjust the working environment. It serves as input information for governmental and non-governmental organizations working on occupational health and safety. It can also serve as baseline information to undertake further studies in similar settings.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Over View of Musculoskeletal Disorders

Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) are the major causes of work-related disabilities and lost-time illnesses and injuries in both developed and industrially developing countries (36). In developed countries, MSDs affect about 20–40% of the adult population while in industrially developing countries, the rate of MSDs is expected to be very high due to poor working environment and absence of effective work injury prevention program (37).

Work-related musculoskeletal disorders are a cause of concern not only because of the health effects on individual workers but also because of the economic impact on businesses and the social costs (38). For instance, according to the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, the cost of WRMDs estimated between 0.5% and 2% of Gross National Product (GNP) in European countries. In France in 2006, MSDs have to lead to seven million workdays lost, about 710 million EUR of enterprise contributions (39). In the USA, WRMSDs account for 34% lost workdays; direct costs for worker compensations are estimated to be \$20 billion, whereas indirect costs can be five times more than the direct costs (40).

2.2. Burden of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorder

About 20 million people are experiencing various WRMSDs around the world. These are a group of painful disorders of muscles, tendons, and nerves, which occurs as a result of frequent or repetitive activities with awkward postures (41). The global prevalence of WRMSD ranges from 14% to as high as 42% (42). The 2010 global burden of disease study estimated that low back pain(LBP) was among the top 10diseases and injuries that accounted for the highest number of disabilities adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide (43). Globally, there is a lifetime incidence of 40% of LBP (44), affecting as much as 80% of people in the developed countries (45).

According to the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, MSDs are the most common work-related problem in Europe. Almost 24 % of the EU-25 workers report suffering from a backache and 22 % complain about muscular pains. Both conditions are more prevalent in the new Member States, 39 % and 36 % respectively (46). In Great Britain, about 469,000 workers

suffering from work related musculoskeletal disorders (new or longstanding) in 2017/18. Among these the prevalence of WRMSDs were; upper limb or neck 197,000(42%), back 186,000(40%), and lower limbs 86,000(18%). About 6.6 million working days lost due to work-related musculoskeletal disorders in 2017/18 (47). WRMSDs have a huge impact on work-related absence and a high proportion of days lost is due to MSDs (48). For instance, in the United States, Canada, Finland, Sweden, and England MSD cause more work absenteeism and disabilities than any other group of diseases (49,50).

A report from a systematic review done in Africa indicated that the average lifetime prevalence of LBP among the adolescents was 36% and among adults was 62 % (51). Another study carried out in Sub -Saharan Africa Nigeria revealed that the 12-months period and point prevalence rates of WRMSDs were 64.4% and 48.2% respectively (52). The study conducted in Egypt among computer office workers showed that the prevalence of WRMSDs as lower back pain (78%), neck complaints (68.1%) and upper musculoskeletal complaints (61.5%) (53).

In Ethiopia, study done among garment workers in Addis Ababa indicates that, one year prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders was 65.4% (32). Similarly, an institutional based cross-sectional study conducted in Jimma Zone among nurses indicated that from the 301 respondents, the prevalence of WRMSD at anybody site was 183 (60.8%) and the highest report 124 (67.8%) was seen in the lower back which was followed by the neck 44 (24%) and knees 43 (23.6%)during the last 12 months (33). Another cross-sectional study conducted in Ethiopia among hair dressers who were working in Addis Ababa indicated that out of 609 respondents, the prevalence of WRMSDs was 76.6%. The most commonly affected body parts were, the lower back 71.5%, shoulder 51.6%, and hand or wrist 46.6% (13). The study done in North Showa Zone showed that about 74.92% office workers suffered MSD in upper body area (34).

2.3. Prevalence of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Bank Workers

A cross-sectional survey study carried out in India aimed to determine the prevalence of workrelated musculoskeletal disorders among bankers showed that, the prevalence rate of pain was highest in lower back (50%), followed by neck pain (48.9%), shoulder (36.7%), leg (35.6%),upper back(15.6%) and least in arm (only 12.2%) (54). According to cross-sectional study investigated among bank staff in Iraq, 48% of the staff had experienced pain at least once during the past year in one of their nine musculoskeletal body regions with the highest frequency in neck (48%), lower back (44%), and upper back (36%) (55).

A descriptive cross-sectional study done in Pakistan showed that the prevalence of neck pain in bankers was 42.4% (56). According to cross-sectional study implemented in Bangladesh, 12 months the prevalence of MSS among bank workers were neck 58.4%, upper back 30.2%, lower back 60.4%, shoulders 56.6%, elbows 6.6%, wrist 17.9%, hip 3.8%, knee 16%, and ankle 5.7% (18). Also, the prevalence of computer-related MSDs among bankers of Dhaka city found to be 75.2%, 48%, 30.8%, 22.5%, 20.5%, 19%, 11.2%, 10.8%, 8.5%, 6%, 5.2% and 4.5% of the respondents complained the neck pain, back pain, shoulder pain, upper back pain, finger pain, wrist pain, scapula pain, knee pain, elbow pain, leg pain, ankle pain, toe pain respectively (57). In the case of Korea, female bank tellers affected by WRMSDs were 51.4% for the shoulder, 38.3% for the lower back, 38.0% for the neck, 31.2% for the upper back, 21.7% for the wrist, and 13.6% for the fingers (58). A cross-sectional study conducted among bank office workers in Kuwait showed that 80% of workers suffered from at least one attack of a MSD in the previous year, while 57% suffered from attacks during the previous week. The most affected body parts were the neck (53.5%), lower back (51.1%), shoulders (49.2%) and upper back (38.4%) (7).

The study done among Nigerian bank workers reported that body parts with the highest prevalence of WRMSDs were Neck 66.8%, and shoulder 60.1%, followed by hand 32.6%, upper arm 32.0%, lower arm 31.5%, wrist 28.1%, and elbow 22.5% (59). The study conducted in South Africa showed that the prevalence of MSD among bank workers estimated any pain at 76.7%. Low back pain was the most prevalent pain at 55.5% followed by neck at 52.9% and shoulder pain at 36.6%, wrist/hand at 27.1%, knee at 26.3% and elbow pain was the least prevalent pain at 15.7% (60).

2.4. Factors Associated With Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders

2.4.1. Socio demographic factors

According to previous study, the annual prevalence of WMSD in the female sex is slightly higher than that of the male (16). A cross sectional study done in Sweden indicated that the prevalence rate among construction workers increased by age from 18% among the youngest

ones to 35.7% in ages 55–59 years (61). In Finland, a higher rate of sick leave due to disorders of the upper limbs was found for new workers compared with experienced ones, especially in the high workload group (62).

2.4.2. Individual level factors

A cross-sectional study conducted among office workers in Indonesia showed that MSDs were related to BMI (Body Mass Index) (63). Also, a cross-sectional study done among hairdressers in Ethiopia indicated that hairdressers whose BMI was overweight had 2.25 times more odds of having self-reported work-related musculoskeletal disorder as compared to hairdressers who had normal BMI (13).

A previous cross sectional study implemented among garment workers in Ethiopia revealed that association of WRMD with doing exercise, medical history of illness, alcohol drink and recreation activities. The odds of musculoskeletal disorders among those workers not having physical exercise is about 3 times that of workers having physical exercise. And also, workers who have medical history of systemic illness had 3 times higher chance to develop disorders as compared to those without illness. Alcohol drinking habit was another factors show more than 4 times higher odds of having pain than non-drinkers (32). Smoking was also shown to be a significant predictor for MSDoccurring during the previous 12 months (7).

2.4.3. Ergonomic risk factors

The direct path from physical factors to job task and the tools/equipment suggest that job task factors such as; the number of working days, working hours, duration of computer use, the type of task, type of position assumed, the number of breaks and different type of computer equipment and tools will increase in the awkward posture, work pace, repetitiveness, and force. The increases in some working days/ hours and duration of computer use cause prolonged in sitting posture, which increases the muscular load and muscle activity around the facet joint which leads to the joint compression which in turn influences the musculoskeletal symptoms (12). According to systemic review done in Sweden, forces applied to the computer mouse and keyboard may be a risk factor for musculoskeletal symptoms (64).

According to study done among bankers in Nigeria, overwork(61.11%), not enough rest breaks during the day(60.49%), working many days in a week(58.64%), inadequate training in injury prevention (58.02%), working in the same position (54.94%) among others were the most prevalent risk factors identified (17). Another study also indicated that back pain among bank staff associated with sitting in back bent, back twisted, and having no break off (31).

2.4.4. Psychosocial factors

Psychosocial risk factors are non-biomechanical risk factors related with work. Psychosocial factors cannot be seen as risk factors that, by themselves, led to the development of WRMSD but in combination with physical risk factors, they can increase the risk of injuries, which has been confirmed by experience. This includes work pace, autonomy, monotony, work/rest cycle, task demands, social support from colleagues and management and job uncertainty (14). According to a review done in Netherland, monotonous work, high perceived work load, low control on the job and lack of social support by colleagues were positively associated with musculoskeletal disorders (65). Evidence from previous study indicated that WRMSD associated with job stress and job satisfaction. Workers stressful by their job had 6 times more odds to develop WRMSD than with no stress. In the same way, those worker who didn't satisfied by their job had more than 8 times more likely to have WRMSD compared to those satisfied by their job (32).

2.4.5. Environmental factors

According to literature review done in Malaysia, environmental factors such as temperature, noise and lighting had shown a significant relationship in the development of musculoskeletal disorders (11). Similarly, another study showed that WRMSD related to uncomfortable temperature and height nonadjustable chair (13).

2.5. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework is adapted after reviewing different kinds of literatures done on workrelated musculoskeletal disorders among bank workers (7,11,12,15,16,31,54). This conceptual framework showed that independent factors such as socio-demographic, individual factors, ergonomic factors, working environment and psychosocial factors associated with work-related musculoskeletal disorder among bank staff (**Figure 1**).



Figure 1: Conceptual Framework showing factors associated with work-related musculoskeletal disorder

3. OBJECTIVES

3.1. General Objective

To assess the prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders and associated risk factors among bank staff in Jimma city, southwest Ethiopia.

3.2. Specific Objectives

- To determine the prevalence of the work-related musculoskeletal disorders among bank staff in Jimma city
- To identify the factors associated with work-related musculoskeletal disorders among bank staff in Jimma city

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1. Study Area and Period

The study was conducted in Jimma city which is located 350 Km to the Southwest of Addis Ababa. The geographical coordinates of the city are approximately 7°41′ N latitude and 36° 50′ E longitude. The city is located at an average altitude of 1,780 meters above sea level. It lies in the climatic zone locally known as "Woyna Daga" (1,500–2,400m above sea level). The city is generally characterized by a warm climate with a mean annual temperature ranging from 14 to 30°c. The annual rainfall ranges from 1138-1690 mm. The maximum precipitation occurs during the three months from June through August, with minimum rainfall occurring in December and January. According to the Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency (CSA) on population projection values of 2015, the total population of Jimma city is 177,900 and it is the 9th most populous city in Ethiopia next to Dese. Jimma city houses about 16 banks during the study. The study was conducted from July 20 to August 20, 2019.

4.2. Study Design

An institution-based cross-sectional study was conducted among bank staff in Jimma city

4.3. Population

4.3.1. Source Population

All bank staffs in Jimma City

4.3.2. Study population

All bank staffs in the banks selected by simple random sampling and who fulfilled the inclusion criteria

4.4. Eligibility Criteria

4.4.1. Inclusion criteria

• Clerical staff have worked for at least one year

4.2.2. Exclusion criteria

- Individual who had a history of accidents affecting the musculoskeletal system
- Spinal surgery and major surgery in any part of the body
- Pregnant and current ill
- Individual with congenital anomalies such as spine and limb anomaly

4.5. Sample Size Determination and Sampling Technique

4.5.1. Sample size determination

The sample size was calculated by using single population proportion formula with 71.68% of the bankers reported work-related musculoskeletal disorder in at least one region of the body in the previous one year as study report from Nigeria, with 5% margin of error (d) and 95% confidence interval (CI)(17).

$$n = (\underline{Z\alpha/2})^{2} p (1-p)$$

$$d^{2}$$

$$n = (\underline{1.96})^{2} * 0.7168(1-0.7168) = 312$$

$$(0.05)^{2}$$

Where

- n= sample size required for the study
- $Z \alpha/2$ = Confidence level at 95% = 1.96, using level of significance of α =0.05.
- P = prevalence of musculoskeletal disorder among bankers
- d = margin of error to be tolerated = 5%

By adding 10% of non-respondent which is 31, the final sample size required for this study was 312 + 31 = 343

4.5.2. Sampling techniques

For the selection of banks, first, we listed all the banks there in Jimma city and operating during the study period. Then, from 16 banks, five banks were randomly selected by using simple

random sampling (SRS) technique, lottery method depending upon the resource we have. These selected banks include a Commercial Bank of Ethiopia, a Cooperative Bank of Oromia, Awash Bank, Dashen Bank, and Oromia International Bank. Then the sample (343) was proportionally allocated to selected banks as a Commercial Bank of Ethiopia (186), a Cooperative Bank of Oromia (35), Awash Bank (50), Dashen Bank (47), and Oromia international Bank (25). The proportionally allocated sample, again proportionally allocated to respective branches of each bank (see **Table 1**). For the selection of study participants, the staff registrations were used as the sampling frame. Then, the lottery method of a simple random sampling technique was applied in each selected bank with their respective branches according to its proportion (**Table 1 and Figure 2**).

4.6. Data collection tools and Procedures

The staff was interviewed by using modified Standardized Nordic musculoskeletal questionnaires which developed from a project funded by the Nordic council of ministers. The questionnaire was adapted by conducting pilot testing the tool. The questionnaires are not meant to provide a basis for clinical diagnosis but screening of the MSDs may serve as a diagnostic tool for analyzing the work environment, workstation and tool design (66). The questionnaire was used as an instrument for gathering neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, hip/thigh, knee/leg, ankle/foot, and wrist /hand musculoskeletal symptoms and it is available in English. WRMSDs in the past twelve months were asked for each one of 9 body parts (neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, hip/thigh, knee/leg, ankle/foot, and wrist /hand). Responses to any of the questions are either a "Yes" or a "No". The questionnaire also used for assessing associated factors, which include socio-demographic characteristics, individual factors, psychosocial, environmental factors and ergonomics factors.

Major banks and their branches studied	Number of eligible staff	Number of sampled staff
1. Commercial Bank of Ethiopia(CBE)	375	186
Jimma district	90	45
Jimma main branch	64	32
Hirmata branch	46	23
Mentina branch	21	10
Shanan Gibe branch	16	8
Becho Bore branch	11	6
Ginjo Guduru branch	22	11
Abba Jifar branch	21	10
Jiren branch	21	10
Ferenji-Arada branch	16	8
Bishishe branch	16	8
Awetu branch	15	7
Sedecha branch	16	8
2. Cooperative Bank of Oromia (CBO)	70	35
Hirmata branch	8	4
Abba Jifar branch	12	6
Awetu branch	9	5
Jiren branch	10	5
Shanan Gibe branch	19	9
Jimma district	12	6
3. Dashen Bank (DB)	95	47
Jimma district	33	16
Jimma branch	25	12
Jimma Menahira branch	8	4
Ferenji-Arada branch	7	4
Abba Jifar branch	22	11
4. Awash bank (AB)	101	50
Hirmata branch	19	9
Jimma branch	40	20
Jiren branch	14	7
Abba Jifar branch	16	8
Shanan Gibe branch	12	6
5. Oromia International Bank (OIB)	51	25
Abbar Jifar branch	24	12
Gibe branch	15	7
Shanan Gibe branch	12	6
Overall	692	343

 Table 1: Sample size proportional allocation based on size in five selected banks and their branches in Jimma City, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019.



Figure 2: Schematic presentation of sampling procedure for selection of study participant in five banks in Jimma city, southwest Ethiopia, 2019.CBE, Commercial Bank of Ethiopia; CBO, Cooperative Bank of Oromia; AB, Awash Bank; OIB, Oromia International Bank; DB, Dashen Bank.

Job satisfaction was assessed using a Likert scale to identify the level of satisfaction in their work. The tool consists of ten items; each item with responses in five options (1-very dissatisfied, 2-dissatisfied, 3-neutral, 4-satisfied and 5-very satisfied). This part was computed according to the Macdonald's workplace job satisfaction scale. The workers were classified into two categories by using the demarcation threshold as yes (32-50) and no (10-31) (67). Workers' job stress was assessed by using Marlin Company and the American Institute of Stress scale calculation. It contains five options (never, rarely, sometimes, often and very often) with eight items. The workers were categorized into 'Yes' if add score (16-40) and 'No' if add score (≤ 15) (68).

Data were collected by face to face interviews from study participants using a structured questionnaire after getting ethical clearance from Jimma University and informed written consent taken from the study participants. Two BSc health officers and one nurse were recruited for data collection. One BSc health officer was involved in data collection as a supervisor. Data collectors were took a two days training before the actual data collection time about all aspects of data collection tools, questioning techniques, and ethical issues.

4.7. Study variables

4.7.1. Dependent variable

• Work-related musculoskeletal disorder: measured as "Yes" for the bank staff who reported at least one disorder in any part of neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, elbows, wrist/hand, hip/thigh, knees and ankle/feet, and "No" for bank staff those who don't have pain, ache, and discomfort in the last 12 months.

4.7.2. Independent variables

- Socio-demographic variables: age, sex, marital status, educational status, work experience, and monthly salary.
- Individual factor: systemic illness history, BMI, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, hand dominance, and ergonomic training
- Working environment and Ergonomics factor: Job designation, type offsetting chair, thermal condition of the workplace, bending or twisting in an awkward way, working in

the same position(sitting or standing), repetitive work, working hours(total working hours per day and week), and break times.

• **Psychosocial factors:** job satisfaction, job stress, workload, and relation with other colleagues.

4.8. Operational definitions of terms

Alcohol consumption: an employee who drinks at least five drinks per week for men and two drinks per week for women for at least one year (69).

Awkward postures (AP): working with the neck bent more than 30 degrees without support, working with a bent wrist, working with the back bent without support, squatting and kneeling for two or more hours (70).

Working in the same position (SP): Sitting or standing in a restricted space for two or more hours without changing positions (70).

Cigarette Smoking: It is the practice of smoking cigarette by an employee for at least one sticks of cigarette per day (71).

Ergonomics is defined as fitting the task, tools, materials and equipment in the workplace to the worker (72).

Job Satisfaction: A score measured using the job satisfaction scale as 'yes' if add score 32 - 50 and 'no' if adding score 10 - 31 (67).

Job stress: A score measured using the workplace stress scale as 'yes' if the total score is 16 to 40 and 'no' if the total score is lower than or equal 15 (73).

Physical exercise: Performing any kind of physical exercise at least two times per week for30 minutes (74).

Repetitive work: Work involving repeating the same motion with little or no variation every few seconds for two or more hours (70).

Systemic illnesses: Systemic conditions such as acromegaly, diabetes mellitus, hyper or hypothyroidism, renal failure, and rheumatoid arthritis (75).

Work-related musculoskeletal disorder is perceived pain, ache or discomfort for at least 2-3 workdays in last 12 months in any part of body region (neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, hip/thigh, knee/leg and ankle/foot and wrist/hand) caused by workplace exposures (76).

Adjustable chair: Chairs have wheels or castors suitable for the floor surface; and can be corrected according to individuals' height.

Un-Comfortable thermal condition: at least two or more "yes" responses in the thermal comfort factor question that may be a risk of thermal discomfort.

4.9. Statistical analysis

Data were checked for completeness by the principal investigator and supervisor daily during data collection. The collected data also rechecked, edited, coded and entered to Epi Data version 3.1 and then exported to the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 for analysis.

Descriptive analysis such as frequency distribution, mean, and cross-tabulation was conducted. Outcome variable and independent variables were entered into a binary logistic regression to explore association using the crude odds ratio (COR) with 95% C.I.

Finally, to determine the independent factors associated with WRMSD, a multivariate logistic regression was done. Variables with a P-value < 0.25 in the bivariate analysis were taken in the multivariate analysis. Multicollinearity was checked by Variable inflation factors and tolerance. The adjusted odds ratio (AOR) was computed with a 95% CI to see the strength of association. The covariates were entered into multiple logistic regression by the default enter method. Model fitting was checked by using Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test. Statistical significance was set at a p-value of < 0.05 in the multiple logistic regressions.

4.10. Data quality assurance

The questionnaire was prepared in English then translated into Amharic and Afaan Oromo then finally, retranslated back to English by independent translators to check for consistency. Two days training was given for data collectors and supervisor. A pre-test was conducted on 5% of the sample size at the Agaro branch of Commercial Bank of Ethiopia to identify potential problems in data collection tools and modification of the questionnaire. Depending upon the pretest result, a required modification was made to data collection tool. Regular supervision and support were given for data collectors by the supervisor and principal investigator. Data were

checked for completeness and consistency by the supervisor and principal investigator on a daily bases during data collection time.

4.11. Ethical Consideration

The ethical approval of the study was obtained from the Ethical Review Board of Jimma University (Ref. No: RPSCMF/0129/19). An official letter was written to selected banks present in Jimma city. The purpose of the study was explained to study participants. Data collection was started after permissions obtained from the bank manager and written informed consent taken from the study participants. Confidentiality of the information was assured and the privacy of the respondents was maintained hence the name of respondents did not include in the questionnaire.

5. RESULTS

5.1. Socio demographic characteristics of the respondents

Three hundred forty-three (343) bank staff were planned to participate in this study, 335 were included in the analysis making with a response rate of 98%. Eight bank staffs were refused to participate because of workload. Out of the total study participants, 255(76.1%) were males and 80(23.9%) were females. Of the study participants, 156(46.6%) belong to the 20-29 age group and the mean age was 31 ± 5.27 . The majority of study participants, 196(58.5%) were married. Regarding the educational status of study participants, 228(68%) were bachelors. One hundred sixty-five (49%) study participants' monthly salary was 5000-10,000Ethiopian Birr (ETB). Concerning the work experience, the majority of the study participants 173(51.6%) was served from 1-5 years. The mean of work experience was 6 ± 3.61 with the minimum and maximum 1 and 18 respectively (**Table 2**).

Category of Varia	bles (N=335)	Number	Percent
Sex	Male	255	76.1
	Female	80	23.9
Age (years)	20-29	156	46.6
	30-39	152	45.4
	≥40	27	8
Marital status	Married	196	58.5
	Single	117	34.9
	Divorced	9	2.7
	Cohabited	6	1.8
	Widowed	7	2.1
Educational level	Bachelor's degree	228	68
completed	Master's degree	94	28.1
	Other	13	3.9
Monthly	<5000	28	8.4
salary(ETB)	5000-10,000	165	49.3
	≥11,000	142	42.4
Work experience	1-5	173	51.6
in year	≥6	162	48.4

 Table 2: Socio-demographic characteristics of the bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019.

5.2. Individual characteristics of study participants

Regarding body mass index, out of the study participants, 250(74.6%) staff was ranging from 18.50-24.99. The result showed that 142(42.4%) staff was doing physical exercise at least two times per week. Only 4(1.2%) of study participants smoke a cigarette. Regarding alcohol consumption, 71(21.2) of study participants consume alcohol. Only 12(3.6%) of study participants had a previous history of systemic illness. Of study participants, the majority of the 327(97.6) dominate hand was right-hand. Regarding ergonomic knowledge, only 32(9.6%) of study participants trained on an ergonomic issue (**Table 3**).

Category of Variable	S	Number	Percent
	<18.50	27	8.1
BMI status	18.50-24.99	250	74.6
(kg/m2)	≥25.00	58	17.3
Doing physical	Yes	142	42.4
exercise	No	193	57.6
Smoking	Yes	4	1.2
	No	331	98.8
Alcohol consumption	Yes	71	21.2
	No	264	78.8
History of systemic	Yes	12	3.6
illness	No	323	96.4
Dominate hand	Right	327	97.6
	Left	8	2.4
Ergonomic training	Yes	32	9.6
	No	303	90.4

Table 3: Individual characteristics of the bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia,2019(N=335)

5.3. Prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorder among bank staff

Out of 335 bank staff, 245(73.1%) were reported they had pain or discomfort in any part of the neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, elbows, hips/thigh, knees, hand/wrist and ankle/feet in the previous 12 months. The most affected body parts were lower back 181(54%), neck 152(45.4%), upper back 143(42.7%) and shoulder 127(37.9%). The least affected body parts

were wrist, knee, ankle/feet, elbows and hips 47(14%), 46(13.7%), 38(11.3%), 30(9%) and 28(8.4%) respectively (**Table 4**).

Affected body par	rts	Number	Percent
Neck	No	183	54.6
	Yes	152	45.4
Shoulder	No	208	62.1
	Yes	127	37.9
	Both	52	15.5
	Right	65	19.4
	Left	10	3
Upper back	No	192	57.3
	Yes	143	42.7
Elbows	No	305	91
	Yes	30	9
	Both	6	1.8
	Right	18	5.4
	Left	6	1.8
Lower back	No	154	46
	Yes	181	54
Wrist/hand	No	288	86
	Yes	47	14
	Both	16	4.8
	Right	25	7.5
	Left	6	1.6
Hips/thigh	No	305	91
	Yes	28	8.4
	Both	9	2.7
	Right	11	3.3
	Left	8	2.4
Knees	No	289	86.3
	Yes	46	13.7
	Both	18	5.4
	Right	23	6.9
	Left	5	1.5
Ankle/feet	No	297	88.7
	Yes	38	11.3
	Both	10	3
	Right	22	6.6
	Left	6	1.8

Table 4: Prevalence of the WRMSDs by specific body parts in the last 12 months amongbank staff in Jimma City, Southwest, Ethiopia, 2019.

5.4. Ergonomic risk factors of the bank staff

Regarding job designation, out of all study participants, 284(84.8%) duties were customer service. The majority of the respondents 273 (81.5%) and 262(78.2%) were working less than or equal to 8 and 48 hours per day and week respectively. Regarding body posture, the majority of study participants 226(67.5%) were performed their task bending or twisting in an awkward way for two or more hours. Of study participants, 221(66%) of the bank staff perform a task in the same position for two or more hours. Regarding repetitive work, 175(52.2%) of respondents task requires repetitive motion (during typing, counting). None of the study participants had time designed for break excluding lunchtime. Concerning type of the equipment, the majority of bank staff 303 (90.4%) were used an adjustable type of sitting chair (**Table 5**).

Category of Variables		Number	Percent
Job designation	Manager	26	7.8
	Customer service	284	84.8
	Others	25	7.5
Total working hours per	≤8	273	81.5
day	<u>≥8</u>	62	18.5
Total working hours per	≤48	262	78.2
week	>48	73	21.8
Awkward posture	Yes	226	67.5
	No	109	32.5
Working in the	Yes	221	66
same position	No	114	34
Repetitive work	Yes	175	52.2
	No	160	47.8
Break	Yes		
	No	335	100
Type of sitting chair	Adjustable	303	90.4
	Fixed	32	9.6

Table 5: Ergonomic risk factors of the bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia,2019(N=335)

5.5. Working environment and psychosocial characteristics of the bank staff

Regarding the working environment, 231(69%) of respondents were working in a comfortable thermal condition. The study participants were asked about their relationship with other colleague and 249(74.3%) of them reported that they had a good work relationship with their colleagues. Concerning doing high loaded work, 167(49.9%) and 98(29.3%) of respondents were doing high loaded work sometimes and always respectively. A 184(54.9%) of respondents were satisfied in their work and one hundred fifty-one (45.1%) of them not satisfied in their work. Of the total study participants, 154(46%) had job stress and 181(54%) were not stressed (**Table 6**).

Category of Variables		Number	Percent
Relationship with	Good	249	74.3
other colleague	Fair	55	16.4
	Poor	31	9.3
Doing high loaded	Never	70	20.8
work	Sometimes	167	49.9
	Always	98	29.3
Thermal condition	Comfortable	231	69
	Non comfortable	104	31
Job satisfaction	Yes	184	54.9
	No	151	45.1
Job stress	Yes	154	46
	No	181	54

Table 6: Working environment and psychosocial factors of bank staff in Jimma city, Southwest Ethiopia, 2019(N=335)

5.6. Factors associated with work related musculoskeletal disorder

Factors such as socio-demographic characteristics, individual factors, ergonomic factors, working environment, and psychosocial factors were entered into bivariate analysis and crude odds ratio with 95%CI was computed. These factors were sex of respondents, age, monthly salary, educational status, work experience, BMI, habit of doing physical exercise, alcohol consumption, ergonomic training, job designation, total working hours per day, total working hours per week, awkward posture, same position, repeated motion, type of sitting chair, relationship with other colleague, doing high loaded work, workplace thermal condition, job satisfaction and job stress (**Table 7**).

Variables with p-value <0.25 in bivariate analysis were taken into multivariate logistic regression analysis and adjusted odds ratios were computed. In multivariate analysis, work experience, alcohol consumption, awkward posture, working in the same position for two or more hours and job stress had shown significant association independently with a work-related musculoskeletal disorder.

Bank staff with \geq 6-year work experience were 2.16 times more likely to develop WRMSD compared to staff served less than 6years[AOR:2.16, 95% CI: 1.05-4.43]. Regarding alcohol consumption, bank staff those had alcohol drinking behavior were 3.44 more likely to develop WRMSD when compared to staff those not drinking alcohol [AOR: 3.44, 95% CI: 1.29-9.18]. Likewise, bank staff performing task bending or twisting in an awkward way were 4.09 times more likely to be injured by WRMSD compared to those work in neutral posture[AOR:4.09, 95% CI: 2.20-7.61]. Similarly, bank staff those work in the same position for two or more hours (sitting or standing position)were 2.02 more likely to develop WRMSD compared to those work in the variable position [AOR: 2.02, 95% CI: 1.05-3.89]. Regarding job stress, bank staff had job stress were 3.2 times more likely at risk of developing WRMSD compared to no stressed staff[AOR: 3.20, 95% CI: 1.67-6.15] (Table 7).

VariablesCategory of ResponsesNoYesCOR (95%CI)p- valueAOR(95%CI)P-ValuSexMale7018511Female20601.135(0.6-2.02)0.66
Sex Male 70 185 1 1 Female 20 60 1.135(0.6-2.02) 0.66
Female 20 60 1.135(0.6-2.02) 0.66
Age 20-29 54 102 1 1
30-39 30 122 1.15(1.28-3.61) 0.004 1.88(0.91-3.89) 0.089
≥ 40 6 21 1.85(0.71-4.87) 0.21 1.90(0.49-7.29) 0.349
Educational Masters 20 74 1 1
status Bachelors 65 163 0.68(0.38-1.20) 0.18 0.93(0.42-2.09) 0.865
Other 5 8 0.43(0.13-1.47) 0.17 1.17(0.22-6.37) 0.854
Monthly salary <5000 10 18 0.55(0.23-1.29) 0.17 0.84(0.23-3.01) 0.783
in ETB 5000- 47 118 0.76(0.45-1.27) 0.29 1.19(0.51-2.41) 0.790
10,000
>10,000 33 109 1
Work 1-5 63 110 1 1
experience ≥ 6 27 135 2.86(1.71-4.79) 0.00 2.16(1.05-4.43) 0.037
BMI status 18.50-24.99 72 178 1 1
<18.50 10 17 0.69(0.30,1.57) 0.37 0.72(0.26-2.01) 0.534
≥ 25.00 8 50 2.53(1.14-5.59) 0.22 1.89(0.74-4.79) 0.183
Doing physical Yes 44 98 1 1
exercise No 46 147 1.44(0.88-2.33) 0.15 1.43(0.77-2.65) 0.253
Alcohol Yes 6 65 5.06(2.11-12.1) 0.00 3.44(1.29-9.18) 0.013
consumption No 84 180 1 1
Ergonomic Yes 8 24 1 1
training No 82 221 0.89(0.39-2.08) 0.80
Job designation Manager 11 15 1 1
Customer 73 211 2.12(0.93-4.82) 0.07 2.35(0.75-7.32) 0.141
service
Others 6 19 2.32(0.69-7.73) 0.17 3.92(0.80-9.21) 0.092
Total working ≤8 80 193 1 1
hours per day > 8 10 52 2 15(1 04-4 45) 0 04 2 80(0 65-2 12) 0 167
Total working $<\!\!48$ 73 182 1 1
hours per week >48 17 63 1.49(0.82-2.71) 0.19 0.54(0.15-1.92) 0.339
Awkward Yes 37 189 $4.83(2.89-8.09)$ 0.00 $4.09(2.20-7.61)$ <0.001
posture No 53 56 1 1
Working in the Yes $41 \ 180 \ 3\ 31(2\ 00-5\ 47) \ 0\ 00 \ 2\ 02(1\ 05-3\ 89) \ 0\ 036*$
same position No $49 65 1 1$
Repeated Yes 33 142 2 38(1 45-3 91) 0.001 1 49(0 78-2 86) 0.232
motion No $57 \ 103 \ 1$ 1

Table 7: Bivariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated with WRMSD amongbank staff in Jimma city, southwest Ethiopia, 2019
	Category of	WRMSD		Bivariate analysis		Multivariate analysis		
Variables	Responses	No	Yes	COR(95%CI)	p- value	AOR (95%CI)	P-Value	
Type of sitting	Adjustable	77	226	1	1			
chair	Fixed	13	19	0.49(0.24-1.06)	0.69			
Relationship	Good	70	179	1	1			
with other	Fair	13	42	1.26(0.64-2.49)	0.5			
coneague	Poor	7	24	1.34(0.55-3.25)	0.52			
Doing high	Never	31	39	1	1			
loaded work	Sometimes	44	123	2.22(1.24-3.98)	0.007	1.39(0.66-2.92)	0.380	
	Always	15	83	4.39(2.13-9.08)	0.00	1.99(0.81-4.94)	0.135	
Thermal	Comfortable	66	165	1	1			
condition	Non	24	80	1.33(0.78-2.28)	0.29			
	comfortable							
Job satisfaction	Yes	52	132	1	1			
	No	38	113	1.17(0.72-1,91)	0.53			
Job stress	Yes	19	135	4.59(2.61-8.07)	0.00	3.20(1.67-6.15)	<0.001*	
	No	71	110	1	1	```'		

Table 7. Cont.

COR= crude odds ratio, *significant association in multivariate analysis at p value<0.05,

AOR=adjusted odds ratio, 1= reference, CI= confidence interval, Hosmer and Lemeshow test =

0.605, WRMSD = work-related musculoskeletal disorder

6. DISCUSSION

In the present study, the overall prevalence of the work-related musculoskeletal disorder among the bank workers in the last 12-months preceding data collection was 73.1%. The most affected body parts were lower back (54%), neck (45.4%), upper back (42.7%) and shoulder (37.9%). This result is slightly higher than the study done in Nigeria (71.68%) (17). But it is lower relative to the study done in Ghana (83.5%) were lower back (64.8%), upper back (61.7%), neck (47.4%) and shoulder (37.4%) were the most commonly affected body parts in the last 12 months (16). This study result also lower relative to the study done in Kuwait which showed that (80%) of bank workers were affected at least by one MSD in the last 12 months (7). The possible explanation for this disparity might be due to the difference in sample size, study setting, and workload.

Regarding specific body parts, the prevalence of MSD in the lower back (54%) and upper back (42.7%) was higher compared to the study done in Iran that showed 44% in the lower back and 36% in the upper back (55). This disparity could be due to the difference in the working environment. However, the prevalence of neck(45.4%), lower back(54%), shoulder(37.9), wrist/hand(14%) and knee(13.7%)in our study was lower compared to the study done in Bangladesh reported that neck (58.4%), lower back(60.4%), shoulders(56.6%), wrist(17.9%), and knee(16%) (18). The prevalence of elbows (9%), hips (8.4%) and ankle/feet(11.3%) in our study were lower compared to the study done in Kuwait revealed that elbows (11.5%), hips (13.3%) and ankle/feet (16.8%) (7). The plausible explanation for this difference could be due to differences in assessment tools, study design, and sample size.

When compared to the studies done among other professionals in Ethiopia, the 12-month prevalence of WRMSD in this study was lower than the study done among hairdressers professionals (76.5%) and the most affected body parts were lower back 71.5%, shoulder 51.6%, and hand or wrist 46.6% (13). But, the prevalence of WRMSD in the present study was higher than the study done among garment industry professionals (65.4%) and nurses (60.8%) (32,33). The prevalence of low back pain in this study was lower relative to the study done among full-time taxi drivers in Ethiopia (64.2%) (77). This difference could be due to the difference in sample size, working hours, workload, and nature of task they accomplish day to day.

The present study found that bank staffs who had \geq 6years of work experience were 2.16 times more likely to develop WRMSD compared to lower work experience [AOR: 2.16, 95% CI: 1.05-4.43]. This result is in line with the study done in Iran showing that longer job duration associated with WRMSD (78). In the same way, another study also showed that the proportion of days of sick leave due to MSD among experienced workers was high compared to new or less experienced workers (61).This might be a long work duration have enough exposure for risk factors as compared to a low period of experience. This means work-related musculoskeletal disorder by its nature is cumulative trauma or repetitive strain.

Regarding individual characteristics, bank staff who had alcohol drinking behavior were 3 times more likely to complain WRMSD compared to non-drinking staff[AOR: 3.44, 95% CI: 1.29-9.18]. This result is in line with previous studies done in Ethiopia (69), Kuwait (7), Ghana (16), and India (79) that showed a significant association of alcohol drinking habit with self-reported WRMSD. The plausible explanation might be due to the negative effect of alcohol on the normal physiology of the body and defense mechanisms (69).

Concerning ergonomic risk factors, awkward posture and working in the same position for two or more hours had shown a significant association with a work-related musculoskeletal disorder. The odds of developing work-related musculoskeletal disorders in staff exposed to the awkward posture was 4 times more compared to staff working not exposed to awkward posture[AOR: 4.09, 95% CI: 2.20-7.61]. This is in line with the study done in India showed that working in bad posture was the predictive factor for WRMSD (54) and the study was done in Ethiopia among bank workers showed that bad posture was the leading cause of low back pain (35). This could be explained as muscles and joints involved in an activity and the amount of force generated is determined by the body posture because as the backbends, twisting or bending of the shoulders, wrists, hips, and the knees can increase the stress on the joints, muscles, nerves and cause fatigue, leading to injuries (40).

In the same way, bank staff working in the same body position for two or more hours were 2.02 more susceptible to WRMSD than their counterpart[AOR: 2.02, 95% CI: 1.05-3.89]. This result was supported by the study done in India reported that prolonged sitting associated with musculoskeletal disorder (80). The possible suggestion for this finding is our body not designed to remain static but to move about. Moreover, a prolonged working in the same position

increases the muscular load and muscle activity around the facet joint which leads to the joint compression which in turn influences the musculoskeletal symptoms (12)

In our study, statistical significance did not found between WRMSD and working hours per day or week. Likewise, another study reported that shorter or longer hours spent at work did not influence the occurrence of MSDs among bank workers (81). The possible explanation is most of the banks in Ethiopia work 8 and 48 hours per day and week respectively which is the normal working hour in this country.

Regarding psychosocial risk factors, job stress had shown a significant association with a workrelated musculoskeletal disorder. Bank staff those had job stress were 3.2 more likely to develop WRMSD compared to none stressed staff [AOR: 3.20, 95% CI: 1.67-6.15]. Similarly, Agnestifa et al (63) reported that job stress associated with self-reported WRMSD. In the same way, the study done in India and Malaysia showed that psychosocial stress associated with WRMSD (81,82). It could be explained that stress causes changes in the human body that are usually centered on the nervous system and endocrine system. As a result, the human body's internal environment is constantly changing, and the body's adaptive mechanisms continually function for adjustments. Intensive and extensive stress increase muscle tension and decrease micro pauses in muscle activity results in musculoskeletal disorders (16).

6.1. Limitations of the study

- Being self-reported, there might be over or underestimation of the prevalence of workrelated musculoskeletal disorder
- Lack of similar study with similar topic and methodology in the local study context
- Because of its cross-sectional nature, it is difficult to drive causal relationship

7. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1. Conclusion

The prevalence of the work-related musculoskeletal disorder among bank staff in Jimma city, southwest Ethiopia was high (73.1%). The four most affected body parts were lower back, neck, upper back and shoulder while wrist, knee, ankle/feet, elbows, and hips were the least affected body parts. Work experience, alcohol consumption behavior, awkward posture, working in the same position for two or more hours and job stress were significant predictors and contribute to the high prevalence of the work-related musculoskeletal disorder among bank staff.

7.2. Recommendations

Depending upon the finding from this study, the following recommendations were forwarded

To Jimma Zone Health Office Bureau

Should promote health and safety practice for workers

To Banking Industries in Ethiopia

- Should use ergonomic guideline and give awareness on ergonomic issues such as training the workers about musculoskeletal injury risk factors at the workplace
- Break time should be designed for staff within working hours hence it is important for recovery and reduces fatigue

To Bank Staff

- Should focus on proper body posture while performing their task
- Should not spend a long period working in the same position(in sitting or standing)
- > Try to reduce harmful behaviors such as alcohol consumption habits
- > Should practice physical exercise at least two times per week for muscular strength

Future Direction

Further studies should be with different design like longitudinal study and mixed assessment tools for stronger evidence.

REFERENCES

- Keith L. Moore, Arthur F. Dalley, Anne M. R. Agur. Clinically Oriented Anatomy. 7th ed.Lippincott Williams & Wilkins;2014. p19-29.
- 2. Soni S. Review of HumanMusculoskeletal System 2016;(3):501–4.
- Punnett L, Wegman DH. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders: The epidemiologic evidence and the debate. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2004;14(1):13–23.
- Sirajudeen MS, Alaidarous M, Waly M, Alqahtani M. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among faculty members of college of Applied Medical Sciences, Majmaah University, Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study. Int J Health Sci. 2018;12(4):18–25.
- Zakaria D, Robertson J, MacDermid J, Hartford K, Koval J. Work-related cumulative trauma disorders of the upper extremity: Navigating the epidemiologic literature. Am J Ind Med. 2002;42(3):258–69.
- Aini NA Huda BZ. Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Symptoms and Its Associated Risk Factors Among Bus Drivers. Int J Public Heal Clin Sci. 2015;2(1):34–45.
- Akrouf QAS, Crawford JO, Al-Shatti AS, Kamel MI. Musculoskeletal disorders among bank office workers in Kuwait. East Mediterr Heal J. 2010;16(1):94–100.
- Shettar D, Sherkhane MS. Assessment of risk factors for the development of musculoskeletal disorders among working women. Int J Community Med Public Heal. 2017 Feb 22;4(3):718.
- Murray CJ., Lopez AD. Global Burden of Disease and Injur Y Series the Global Burden of Disease. Oms. 1996;1–46.
- Weiser S. Musculoskeletal Disorders in the Workplace. Musculoskelet Disord Work Princ Pract. 2007;13–8.
- Vinothini P, Halim I, Umar Rz, Too Yw. A Future Framework for Musculoskeletal disorders Symptoms among Computer Office Workers. International Journal of physiotherapy. 2018; 1; 5(6):167-77.

- Ozvurmaz S, Mandiracioglu A. Prevalence of Upper Extremity Musculoskeletal Complaints and Its Relationship with Risk Factors for Bank Employees Working with Computer. Ulutas Med J. 2017;3(2):39.
- Bedru W, TeferaY, Wakuma S. Self-Reported Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders and Determinant Factors of Female Beauty Salon Hair Dressers, In Addis Ababa, Ethhiopia, 2016.
- Nunes IL. Bush PM. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders assessment and prevention. 2012.
- Moom RK, Singh LP, Singh H. Impact Of Personal And Work Related Factors on Prevalence Of Musculoskeletal Disorder- A Case Study Among Bank Employees In Punjab (India): 2014.
- K Abledu Jubilant.Godfred K Abledu. Multiple Logistic Regression Analysis of Predictors of Musculoskeletal Disorders and Disability among Bank Workers in Kumasi, Ghana. J Ergon. 2013;2(4):10–3.
- M Maduagwu S, Maijindadi RD, Duniya KI, Oyeyemi AA, Saidu IA, Aremu BJ. Prevalence and Patterns of Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders among Bankers in Maiduguri, Northeast Nigeria. Occup Med Heal Aff. 2014;2(3).
- Nazmus Sakib. Prevalence of Self-Reported Musculoskeletal Symptoms and Associated Risk Factors among the Bank Workers in Some Selected Banks in Bangladesh. 2015.
- 19. Waters TR. National efforts to identify research issues related to prevention of workrelated musculoskeletal disorders. J Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2004;14(1):7–12.
- Bhattacharya A. Costs of occupational musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) in the United States. Int J Ind Ergon. 2014;44(3):448–54.
- Agency E, W. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work Priorities for occupational safety and health research in Europe: 2013-2020. 2013.
- 22. Farioli A, Mattioli S, Quaglieri A, Curti S, Violante FS, Coggon D. Musculoskeletal pain

in Europe: The role of personal, occupational, and social risk factors. Scand J Work Environ Heal. 2014;40(1):36–46.

- Amin NA, Nordin RB, Noah R, Oxley JA, Fatt QK. Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Female Nursing Personnel: Prevalence and Impact. Int J Collab Res Intern Med Public Heal. 2016;8(3):294–315.
- Kumar S, Fernandes J. an Evaluative Commentary on Physical Therapy Interventions in Headache. Physiotherapy, the Journal of Indian Association of Physiotherapists.2017;8– 11.
- 25. Wanyonyi NEN, Frantz J. Prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders in Africa: a systematic review. Physiotherapy. 2015;101:e1604–5.
- Kielkowski D, Bradshaw D, Rees D, Bradshaw D. Burden of occupational morbidity in South Africa : two large field surveys of. S Afr J Sci. 2004; 399–403.
- R.M. C, van RDJ, J.S. P. Common work-related musculoskeletal strains and injuries. South African Fam Pract. 2011;53(3):240–6.
- 28. National Bank of Ethiopia. Annual Report, 2017/18.
- Bodhare T, Bele S, Valsangkar S. An epidemiological study of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among construction workers in Karimnagar, Andhra Pradesh. Indian J Community Med. 2012;36(4):304.
- Lacerda EM, Nácul LC, Augusto LGDS, Olinto MTA, Rocha DC, Wanderley DC. Prevalence and associations of symptoms of upper extremities, repetitive strain injuries (RSI) and "RSI-like condition". A cross sectional study of bank workers in Northeast Brazil. BMC Public Health. 2005;5:1–10.
- Kanyenyeri L, Asiimwe B, Mochama M, Nyiligira J, Habtu M. Prevalence of back pain and associated factors among Bank Staff in Selected Banks in Kigali, Rwanda: A Cross Sectional Study. Heal Sci J. 2017;11(3):1–7.
- 32. Girma Zenebe, Abera Kumie, Meaza Gezu. Assessing The prevalence of work

musculoskeletal disorders and assocaiated factors among workers in selected garments in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 2016.

- Regassa TM, Lema TB, Garmomsa GN. Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders and Associated Factors among Nurses Working in Jimma Zone Public Hospitals, South West Ethiopia. Occup Med Heal Aff. 2018;6(3).
- Yigremew T.Tewodros Abir, Solomon Wogderess. Assessing The Existence of Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorder And Their Influences on Office Workers In Some Selected Woredas ofNorth Showa Zone. 2017;7(2):46–57.
- Singh K, Gebrekidan HG. Associated Factors of Low Back Pain Among The Commercial Bank of Ethiopia: Workers in Mekelle, North Ethiopia. J Med Sci Technol. 2014;3(2):17– 21.
- Lakshmi DKPYV. A Review on Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders of the Workers Working in Different Workstations. Int J Sci Res. 2015;4(3):2454–6.
- Rolander B, Bellner A-L. Experience of musculo-skeletal disorders, intensity of pain, and general conditions in work -- The case of employees in non-private dental clinics in a county in southern Sweden. Work. 2001;17(1):65–73.
- Valipour Noroozi M, Hajibabaei M, Saki A, Memari Z. Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Office Workers. Jundishapur J Heal Sci. 2015;7(1):1–5.
- 39. European Agency for Safety and Health at Work. OSH in figures: Work-related musculoskeletal disorders in the EU. 2010.
- 40. Korhan O, Memon AA. Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders. 2019.
- Vinod S, Arun B. Prevalence of Various Work Related Musculoskeletal Disorders in Software Professionals. Indian J Med Heal Sci. 2016;2(1):9–13.
- 42. Sharma R. Epidemiology of Musculoskeletal Conditions in India. ICMR task force Proj. 2012;114.
- 43. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M, et al. Years lived with

disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012;380(9859):2163–96.

- 44. Hoy D, Bain C, Williams G, March L, Brooks P, Blyth F, et al. A systematic review of the global prevalence of low back pain. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(6):2028–37.
- 45. Johnson O, Edward E. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Low Back Pain among Workers in a Health Facility in South–South Nigeria. Br J Med Med Res. 2015;11(8):1–8.
- OSHA. Introduction to work related musculoskeletal disorders. Eur Agency Saf Heal Work. 2007;1681(2123):4–8.
- 47. Health and Safety Executive. Health and Safety Executive Work related stress depression or anxiety statistics in Great Britain, 2018; 1–10.
- Luan HD, Hai NT, Xanh PT, Giang HT, Van Thuc P, Hong NM, et al. Musculoskeletal Disorders: Prevalence and Associated Factors among District Hospital Nurses in Haiphong, Vietnam. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018:1–9.
- Côté JN, Ngomo S, Stock S, Messing K, et al. Prevention Quebec Research on Workrelated Musculoskeletal Disorders: Deeper Understanding for Better Prevention. 2019;68(4).
- 50. Woolf AD, Pfleger B. Burden of major musculoskeletal conditions. 2010;81(3).
- Louw QA, Morris LD, Grimmer-Somers K. The Prevalence of low back pain in Africa: A systematic review. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2007;8:1–14.
- Adedoyin RA. Nijerya ' da Bir Eğitim Hastanesinde Sağlık Çalışanları Arasında İş ile İlişkili Kas İskelet Bozuklukları. 2012;11(5):583–8.
- AS E, NN K, HM GE-D, AM R. Musculoskeletal Health Disorders Associated With Computer Use Among Minia University Employees. Egypt J Occup Med. 2018;42(3):399–410.
- Sharma DM, Attrey DP. the Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Bankers. Indian J Appl Res. 2018;8(4):5–6.

- 55. Darvishi E, Meimanatabadi M. The Rate of Subjective Mental Workload and its Correlation with Musculoskeletal Disorders in Bank Staff in Kurdistan, Iran. Procedia Manuf. 2015;3:37–42.
- Boota M, Shaban S, Munawar A, Ahmed A. Prevalence of neck pain in bankers of lahore. 2018;2:30–6.
- Amin MR, Hossain SM, Eusufzai SZ, Barua SK, Jamayet N Bin. The Prevalence of Computer Related Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Bankers of Dhaka City. Chattagram Maa-O-Shishu Hosp Med Coll J. 2016;15(1):40–4.
- 58. Yun MH, Lee YG, Eoh HJ, Lim SH. Results of a survey on the awareness and severity assessment of upper-limb work-related musculoskeletal disorders among female bank tellers in Korea. Int J Ind Ergon. 2001;27(5):347–57.
- Tella BA, Akodu AK, Fasuba OO. The Prevalence of Neck and Upper Extremity Repetitive Stress Injury among Bank Workers in Lagos, Nigeria. The Internet Journal of Rheumatology. 2011; 6(2):1-6.
- Nyantumbu-Mkhize B. Musculoskeletal Disorders And Associated Factors In Nurses, Bank And Postal Workers In South Africa. Natl Inst Occup Helath. 2017; 146
- Häkkänen M, Viikari-Juntura E, Martikainen R. Job experience, work load, and risk of musculoskeletal disorders. Occup Environ Med. 2001;58(2):129–35.
- Holmström E, Engholm G. Musculoskeletal disorders in relation to age and occupation in Swedish construction workers. Am J Ind Med. 2003;44(4):377–84.
- Dinar A, Susilowati IH, Azwar A, Indriyani K, Wirawan M. Analysis of Ergonomic Risk Factors in Relation to Musculoskeletal Disorder Symptoms in Office Workers. KnE Life Sci. 2018;4(5):16.
- 64. Wahlström J. Ergonomics, musculoskeletal disorders and computer work. Occup Med (Chic III). 2005;55(3):168–76.
- 65. Claes Möller, Lars Ödkvist B, Larsby, Richard Tham TL and LB. Norwegian National Institute of Occupational Health Danish National Research Centre for the Working

Environment Finnish Institute of Occupational Health Psychosocial factors at work and musculoskeletal disease Published by: the Scandinavian Journal of Wo. Scand J Work Environ Health. 1993;19:297.

- Kuorinka I, Jonsson B, Kilbom A, Vinterberg H, Biering-Sørensen F, Andersson G, et al. Standardised Nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms. Appl Ergon. 1987;18(3):233–7.
- Macdonald S, MacIntyre P. The generic job satisfaction scale: Scale development and its correlates. Empl Assist Q. 1997;13(2):1–16.
- Attitudes in the American Workplace VII The Seventh Annual Labor Day Survey. Thinking. 2001;1–11.
- Mekonnen TH, Abere G, Olkeba SW. Risk Factors Associated with Upper Extremity Musculoskeletal Disorders among Barbers in Gondar Town, Northwest Ethiopia, 2018: A Cross-Sectional Study. 2019;2019.
- Kunda R. Prevalence of and risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal injuries (WMSIs) amongst underground mine workers in Kitwe, Zambia Co-Supervisor: Ms Farhana Karachi. 2008;211–7.
- 71. Schane RE, Ling PM, Glantz SA. Health effects of light and intermittent smoking: a review. 2010; 121(13):1518-22.
- 72. Clinics OH. Work Related Musculo-Skeletal Disorders : a summary. Facts. 2014;78:1–6.
- Patil S, Tata SH, Patil SN, Mulani H. A Study to Assess the Factors affecting Occupational Stress in Intensive Care Unit Nurses at Tertiary Care Hospital, Karad. 2018;7(7):2016–9.
- World Health Organization. Global Recommendations on Physical Activity For Health. 2010.
- 75. Fernandez JE, Goodman M. Managing Ergonomics in the Workplace. 2012;229–35.
- 76. Aghilinejad M, Choobineh AR, Sadeghi Z, Nouri MK, Ahmadi AB. Prevalence of

musculoskeletal disorders among Iranian steel workers. Iranian red Crescent Medical Journal. 2012; 14(4):198.

- 77. Melaku Erjabo, Samson Wakuma, Ayele Belechew. The Prevalence of Low Back Pain and Associated Risk Factors amongtaxi Drivers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 2015.
- Noroozi MV, Hajibabaei M, Saki A, Memari Z. Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Office Workers. 2015;7(1):1–5.
- 79. Kumar R, Pal L, Moom N. Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Disorder among Computer Bank Office Employees in Punjab (India): A Case Study. 2015;3(Ahfe):6624–31.
- Kaur H, Bindra S, Gourang A, Sinha K. Epidemiological Features of Low Back Pain in Bank Employees of North India. 2014;3(1).
- 81. Sulaiman SK, Kamalanathan P, Ibrahim AA, Nuhu JM. Musculoskeletal disorders and associated disabilities among bank workers. 2015;3(5):1153–8.
- 82. Nasrull M, Rahman A, Masood I. Ergonomic Risk Factors associated with Muscuslokeletal Disorders in Computer Workstation. 2017;12(7):1355–9.

ANNEXES

Annex 1: Information sheet

Dear Participants: Code No:

Hello my name is ------.I am here on behalf of Guluma Etana, post graduate student from Jimma University, Institute of health. I am member of research team on assessment of musculoskeletal disorders and associated factors and requesting you to participate in this study which would require your response to an interview on some related issues.

Title of the study: Prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders and associated factors among bank staff in Jimma city, South West Ethiopia.

Introduction: The musculoskeletal system composed of two systems the skeletal and muscular systems. It contains bone, muscle, joint, ligament, and tendon. Musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) defined as a wide range of inflammatory and degenerative conditions affecting the muscles, tendons, ligaments, joints, peripheral nerves, and supporting blood vessels. Work-related musculoskeletal disorder (WRMSDs) is one of the most important public health problems. Employees in the banking sectors are subject to long hours of static work, bad posture and activities of repetitive computer use which make them prone to WRMSDs.

Objective: The Objective of this study is to assess the prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders and associated factors among bank staff in Jimma city, South West Ethiopia 2019.

Benefit of the study: there is no direct benefit for participating but the study finding will be used to know the magnitude of the problem and important **to improve the working setting for all bank works including you.**

Risk of the study: The study has no any risk for the participants.

Right of the participant: Participating and not participating is your full right and participants can withdraw from the study at any time.

Confidentiality: Your name will not be written in this form and all information given by you will be kept strictly confidential.

Duration of interview: This interview will take about 15 - 20 minutes

Could I have your permission to continue?

- 1. If yes, continue the interview
- 2. If no, skip to the next participant by writing reason for his/her refusal.

Person to Contact: If you want more information and check about this study you can contact principal investigator and his advisor through the following address.

Investigator: Mr. Guluma Etana, mobile: 0917707303

Principal advisor: Mr. Asfaw Gerbi (Ph.D. fellow) JU; mobile: 0924490573

Co-advisor: Mengistu Ayele (MSc); mobile: 0929046717

Annex 2: Informed consent form

Are you voluntary to participate in the study? Yes N

I hereby confirm that I understand the contents of this document and the nature of the research project, and I give my full consent to participating voluntarily in the research project. I understand that I am autonomous to withdraw from the project at any time.

Annex 3: Questionnaire- English Version

Part one: Socio demographic characteristics'

Code _____

Bank name _____

Please read each one carefully and circle the number that best describes you

No	Question	Possible response	Skip
101	Sex	1. Male	
		2. Female	
102	Age		
		in years	
		1. Married2.Single	
103	Marital status	3.Divorced 4. cohabited	
		5.Widowed	
104	Educational status	1. BA 2. MA 3. Other	
105	Monthly salary		
		ETB/month	
106	Work experience		
		in years	

Part two: Questioners to asses work-related musculoskeletal disorders in neck, shoulder, upper back, lower back, hip /thigh, knee/leg, ankle/foot and wrist /hand. The diagram below shows the approximate position of the body parts referred to in the questionnaire.



Circle 1 if your answer is 'yes' or circle 2 if your answer is 'no'

Please this part of questionnaire should be answered, even if you have never had trouble in
any parts of your body

No	Have you at a and pain)in:	ny time in the last 12	2 months had trouble (ache, discomfort
201	Neck		1. Yes 2. No
202	Shoulder	Right	1. Yes 2. No
		Left	1. Yes 2. No
203	Upper back		1. Yes 2. No
204	Elbows	Right	1. Yes 2. No
		Left	1. Yes 2. No
205	Lower back		1. Yes 2. No
206	Wrists/hands	Right	1. Yes 2. No
		Left	1. Yes 2. No
207	Hips/thighs	Right	1. Yes 2. No
		Left	1. Yes 2. No
208	Knees	Right	1. Yes 2. No
		Left	1. Yes 2. No
209	Ankles/feet	Right	1. Yes 2. No
		Left	1. Yes 2. No

Part three: individual factors associated with work-related musculoskeletal disorders

No	Questions /variables	Possible response	Skip
301	How tall are you?	mcm	
302	How much you are weighing in Kg?	kg	
303	Do you have Habit of doing physical exercise at	1.yes2.No	305
	least twice per week for 30 minutes?		
304	If yes for Q303 how often?	1. Two times per week	
		2. \geq Three times per	
		week	
305	Do you smoke cigarette?	1. Yes2. No	307
306	If your answer to Q 305 is "yes" how often	1days/week	
	and How many stick/packet of cigarette does	2cigarette	
	you smoking at that time?		
307	Do you drink alcohol?	1. Yes 2. No —	309
308	If your answer to Q 307 is "yes" how many	drinks/week	
	drinks per week ?		
309	Do you have medical history of systemic illness?	1. Yes 2. No	

310	What is your dominate hand?	1. Right 2. Lift	
311	Training on issue of ergonomics?	1. Yes 2. No	

Part four - ergonomics factor associated with work-related musculoskeletal disorders

Questions to measure physical task (Q401-407)				
No	Question/variables	Possible choice	Skip	
401	Job designation	 Manager Customer service Other 		
401	Total working hours	hrs/dayhrs/week		
402	Does your job involve bending or twisting you is in an awkward way for two or more hours?	1. Yes2. No		
403	Do you work in the same position for 2 hours (Standing, bend over, sitting, kneeling, etc)?	1. Yes2. No		
404	Does your job require repeating motion with less than 30 second?	1. Yes2. No		
405	Do you take breaks during your job per day excluding lunch time?	1. Yes 2. No	⊭ 407	
406	If yes in Q 406, How many time in a day and How long do you take a break each time?	in a dayminutes		
407	Type of sitting chair	1. Adjustable 2. fixed		

Part five: Environmental and Psychosocial factors associated with work-related musculoskeletal disorders

No	Question	Possible answer		Skip	
501	How do you define your relationship with	1. Good2. Fair			
	other colleague?	3. Poor			
502	Doing high loaded work?	1. Never 2. Sometimes			
		3.Always			
503	Thermal condition	1. Comfortable			
		2. Non comfortable			
Therr	Thermal condition based on the following result				
Does the air feel warm or hot?					
Does the temperature in the workplace fluctuate during a normal working day?					
Is then	Is there a heat source in the environment?				
Is there any equipment that produces steam?					
Is the	workplace affected by external weather condition	tions?			
Is cold	d or warm air blowing directly into the worksp	ace?			
Can e	Can employees make individual alteration to their clothing in response to				
the thermal environment?					
Is wor	Is work rate moderate to intensive in warm or hot conditions?				
Quest	Questions to measure job stress (Q 504-511)				

No			Job str	ess sc	ore			
	Questions		Never	Rar	el So	me	Often	Very
				у	tin	nes		Often
504	Conditions at work are unpleas	ant or	1	2	3		4	5
	sometimes even unsafe							
505	I feel that my job is negatively	affecting my	1	2	3		4	5
	physical or emotional wellbein	g						-
506	I have high loaded work to do	and/or too	1	2	3		4	5
507	many unreasonable deadlines	· ·	1	2			4	5
507	I find it difficult to express my	opinion or	1	2	3		4	2
	superiors	is to my						
508	I feel that job pressures interfe	re with my	1	2	3		4	5
500	family or personal life	ie with my	1	2	5		-	5
509	I have adequate control or input	it over my	5	4	3		2	1
	work duties	j		-				
510	I receive appropriate recognition	on or rewards	5	4	3		2	1
	for good performance							
511	I am able to utilize my skills ar	nd talents to	5	4	3		2	1
	the fullest extent at work							
	Final score							
Ques	tions to measure job satisfaction	on (Q 512-52)	1)					
No	Questions	Job satisfac	tion scor	e				
		Very	Dissat	tisf	Neutra	Sa	tisfied	Very
		dissatisfied	ied		1			satisfied
512	I receive recognition for a job	1	2		3	4		5
	well done.							
513	I feel close to the people at	1	2		3	4		5
<u> </u>	work.	1			2			_
514	I feel good about working at	1	2		3	4		5
515	L feel secure about my job	1	2		3	1		5
516	I believe management is	1	2		3	4		5
510	concerned about me	1			5	-		5
517	On the whole. I believe work	1	2		3	4		5
	is good for my physical	_			-			-
	health							
518	My wages are good	1	2		3	4		5
519	All my talents and skills are	1	2		3	4		5
	used at work							
520	I get along with my	1	2		3	4		5
	supervisors							
521	I feel good about my job	1	2		3	4		5
	Final score						I	

QUESTIONNAIRE AFAN OROMO VERSION

Maqaan koo ______ jedhama. Kanan asitti argame barataa digirii lammaffaa muummee saayinsii fayyaa kan ta'e Gulummaa Ittaanaa bakka bu'uudhaani. Ani qaama garee qorannoo kana gaggeesu keessaa tokko yommuun ta'u, dhukkubbii maashaa fi lafee akkasumas waantoota isaan wal qabatan irratti odeeffannoo tokko isin gaafachuufi.

Seensa: dhukkubbiin maashaa fi lafee maashaa, ribuu, lafee, narvii fi hidda dhiigaa hubuu danda'a. dhibeen kun hojiin walqabatee rakkoo hawwaasa bal'aati. Hojjettoonni baankii rakkoo kanaaf baay'inaan saaxilamoodha.

Mata-duree qorannoo: Faffaca'insa dhukkubbii maashaa fi lafee hojiin dhufuu fi waantoota isaan walqabatan irratti hojjettoota baankii magaalaa Jimmaa gidduutti kan adeemsifamu

Kaayyoo qorannichaa: faffaca'insa dhukkubbii maashaa fi lafee hojiin dhufuu fi waantoota isaan wal qabatan adda baasuuf.

Faayidaa: bu'aan kallachaa nama dhuunfaaf kennamu hin jiru garuu dhibbantaa dhibee kanaafi waantoota isaan wl qabatan adda baasuun bakka hojii mijeessuuf ni fayyada.

Miidhaa: qorannoo kana irratti hirmaachuun miidhaa tokko illee hin qabu.

Qoranno kana irratti hirmaachuuf ykn hirmaachuu dhiisuuf mirga guutuu qabdu. Maqaan waan hin barreeffamnneef icciitiin nama dhuunfaa eegamaadha. Waliin dubbichi daqiiqaa 15-20 fudhachuu danda'a.Waa'ee qorannoo kanaa odeeffannoo dabalataa argachuuf lakkoofsa bilbilaa armaan gadiin argachuu dandeesu.

Qorataa: Gulummaa Ittaanaa:- 0917707303

Gorsaa 1ffaa: Asfaw Gerbi (Ph.D. fellow):- 0924490573

Gorsaa 2ffaa: Mengistu Ayele (MSc):- 0929046717

Annex II: guca heyyemamummaa agarsiisu

qorannoo kana irratti hirmaachuuf heyyemamoodhaa? eeyyee lakkii

ani qorannoon kun kaayyoon isaa maal akka ta'e addaan baasee hubachuudhaan isa irratti

hirmaachuufis ta'ee dhiisuudhaa mirga guutuu qabaachuukoo beekuudhaan fedha kootii qorannoo kana

irratti hirmaachiuuf heyyemamaa/heyyemantuu ta'uukoo nan mirkaneessa

malattoo hirmaataa	guyyaa
--------------------	--------

maqaafimallattoo ogeessa odeeffannoo funaanee_____ mall_____

maqaafimallattoo to'ataa qorannichaa______mall._____

Annex 4: Questionnaire- Afan Oromo Version

Koodii

Maqaa baankii _____

Kutaa 1ffaa: odeeffannoo dhuunfaa fi maatii

Maaloo erga hubannoon dubbistee booda deebii sirriitti si ibsu filadhu

Lakk.	Gaaffiilee	Deebii	Irra tari
101	Saala	1. dhiira	
		2. dhalaa	
102	Umurii	waggaadhaan	
	Haala fuudhaa fi	1. kan fuudhe/heerumte	
103	heerumaa	2. Kan hin fuune/heerumne	
		3. wal hiikaniiru	
		4. Gaa'ela dura waliin jiraatu	
		5.kan du'aan gargar ba'an	
104	Sadarkaa barumsaa	1. Digirii jalqabaa	
		2. Digirii 2ffaa	
		3. Kan biroo	
105	Miindaa ji'aan		
106	Muuxannoo hojii		
	waggaadhaan		

Kutaa 2ffaa: Gaaffiin kun rakkoowwan fayyaa hojiin wal qabatee namatti dhufan kan akka dhukkubbii mormaa, ceekuu, dugda gara olii, dugda gara gadii, luqqeettuu/tafa, ciqilee ,jilba, koronyoo miilaa fi buusaa harka adda baasuuf ta'a. Fakkiin armaan gadii kun kutaa qaamaa gaaffii 201-209tti jiru agarsiisa.



Yoo 'Eeyyee' jette lakk. 1tti mari yoo 'Lakki' jette immoo lakk. 2tti mari

Lakk.	Ji'oota 12n darban keessaa dhukkubbiin maashaa, lafee fi buusaa kutaa qaamaa				
	armaan gadii irratti sitti dhaga'amee beekaa?				
	_	-			
201	Morma ?		1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
202	Ceekuu/gateettii?	Mirgaa	1. Eeyyee2. Lakki		
		Bitaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
203	Dugda gara olii?		1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
204	Ciqilee?	Mirgaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
		Bitaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
205	Dugda gara gadii?		1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
206	Buusaa	Mirgaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
	harkaa/Harka?	Bitaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
207	Luqqeettuu?	Mirgaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
		Bitaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
208	Jilba ?	Mirgaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
		Bitaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
209	Koronyoo/kibirii?	Mirgaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
		Bitaa	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		

Maaloo yoo dhukkubbii maashaalee, lafee fi buusaa kutaa qaama kee keessaa hin qabdu ta'e illee gaaffii kana osoo hin deebisiin bira hin darbiin

Kutaa 3ffaa: Gaaaffiin kun odeeffannoo nama dhuunfaa dhukkubbii maashaa, lafee fi buusaa qaamaa fiduu danda'an madaaluuf qophaa'e

Lakk.	Gaaffilee	Deebii	Irra tari
301	Dheerinni kee meeqa?	cm	
302	Ulfaatinni kee kiilogiraamaan meeqa?	kg	
303	Sochii qaamaa yoo xiqaate torbeetti yeroo lama daqiiqaa 30f ni hojjettaa?	1.Eeyyee 2.lakki	▶ 305
304	Yoo deebiin kee gaaffii 303 'Eyyee' ta'e, yeroo hagam?	1.Torbeetti yeroo lama 2.Torbeetti yeroo sadii ol	
305	Tamboo xuuxxaa?	1. Eeyyee 2. Lak ki →	307
306	Yoo 'eeyyee' jette gaaffii 305, yeroo hagam? Tamboo meeqa?	1 guyyaa/torbee 2tamboo	
307	Alkoolii dhugdaa?	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki	309
308	Yoo deebiin kee 'eeyyee' ta'e gaaffii 307, dhugaatii meeqa torbanitti?		

309	Dhukkuba sirna qaamaa kan birooqabdaa?	1. Eeyyee	2. Lakki	
310	Harki ati yeroo hunda ittiin hojjettu kami?	1. mirgaa	2. Bitaa	
311	Leenjii hubannoo wantoota bakka hojiitti fayyaa kee hubuu danda'an irratti fudhattee beektaa?	1. Eeyyee	2. Lakki	

Kutaa 4ffaa – haala hojii, bakkaa fi akkaataa hojiin wal qabatee dhukkubbii maashaa, lafee fi buusaa qaamaa kan fiduu danda'u ilaalchisee

Gaaffii akkaataa hojii madaalu(Q401-408)				
Lakk.	Gaaffilee	Deebii	Irr a tari	
401	Gosti hojii kee maali?	1.hogganaa 2.maamila tajaajiluu 3.Kan biroo		
401	Yeroon hojii kee walii galatti meeqa?	sa'atii/guyyaa sa'atii/torban		
402	Hojiin kee qaamni kee akka jajallatu ni godhaa?	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
403	Dhaabattee, teessee ykn jallattee sa'atii 2f ykn isaa oliif ni hojjettaa?	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
404	Hojiin kee sochii saffisaa sekondii 30 gadi ni barbaadaa?	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki		
405	Yeroo laaqanaan alatti boqonnaa ni fudhattaa?	1. Eeyyee 2. Lakki-	▶407	
406	Eeyyee yoo jette gaaffii 406, guyyaatti si'a meeqa? Yeroo hagamiif?	guyyaatti daqiiqaa		
407	Gosti barcumaa maalii?	 Dheeressuu fi gabaabsuun kan danda'amu Dheerachuufi gabaabbachuu kan hin dandeenye 		

Kutaa 5ffaa: Naannoo hojii fi xiin-sammuu waliin wal qabatee dhukkubbii maashaa, lafee fi buusaa qaamaa fiduu kan danda'u ilaalchisee

Lak	Gaaffilee	Deebii	Irra
k.			tari
501	Hariiroo hojjettoota si wliin hojjetan waliin	1. Gaarii	
	qabdu akkamiin ibsita?	2. Giddugaleessa	
		3. gadi bu'aa	

502	Hojiin sitti baay'ataa?	Iojiin sitti baay'ataa?		1. tasumaa 2. Darbee darbee				
				3.yeroo hunda				
503	Haalli qilleensaa fi ho'a bakka hojii akkamii ibsita?			1. mijataa 2. hin mijatu				
Haal	li qilleensaa fi ho'aa kan armaan gadii	irratt	i hu	ndaa	a'a		Eey	yee
Ho'i s	sitti dhaga'amaa?							
Haall	i qilleensaa ni jijjiramaa yeroodhaa yero	otti?						
Waan	ti ho'a maddisiisu jira naannoo sana?							
Waan	Waanti hurka maddisiisu jiraa?							
Haall	i qlleens naannoo bakka hojii irratti dhiil	bba ni	uum	aa?				
Qorri	ykn ho'i kallattiin bakka hojii ni argataa	ı?						
Haala	i qilleensaa faana uffata ni jjiirattaa?							
Saffis	sni hojii yeroo ho'aa moo yeroo qorraa d	abala?						
Jeequ	ımsa/muddama sammuu hojiin dhufu	ilaalc	hisee	e (Q	504-51	1)		
Lak			De	ebii				
k	Gaaffilee		Tas	su	Akka	Darbe	Yero	Yero
			ma	a	tasaa	e	0	0
						darbe	baay'	hunda
						e	ee	_
504	Haalli bakka hojii hin mijatu ykn miidhaa		1		2	3	4	5
505	qaba jettee ni yaaddaa'?		1			2		-
505	Hojiin kiyya qaamaa fi xiinsammuu kiyya ni		1		2	3	4	5
506	mildha jette yaaddee beektaa?		1		2	2	1	5
507	Yeroo hojiin baa'inaan sitti kennamu jiraa?		1		$\frac{2}{2}$	3	4	5
307	keef ibsuun sitti ulfaatu ni jiraa?	a	1		Z	5	4	5
508	Hojijn kee jireenva kee fi maatij kee wa	aliin	1		2	3	Δ	5
500	walitti bu'a jettee ni vaaddaa?	411111	1		2	5		5
509	Itti gaafatamummaa kee ni eeggattaa?		5		4	3	2	1
510	Hoiji gaarij hojjetteef beekamtij vkn		5		4	3	2	1
	badhaasa ni argattaa?				-			
511	Dandeettii fi beekumsa qabdu bakka ho	ojiitti	5		4	3	2	1
	itti ni fayyadamtaa?	5						
	Ida'ama walii gala							•
Odee	ffannoo hojiitti gammaduu fi gammad	luu dh	abu	u ila	alchise	e (Q 512-	521)	
Lak	Gaaffilee	Deeb	ii					
k.		Baay	'ee	H	in	Imbaa	Nan	Baay'e
		hin		ga	amma	bsa	gam	en
		gamn	nadu	ı dı	1		mada	gamm
								ada
512	Hojii qixa sirriin hojjetteef beekamtii	1		2		3	4	5
	si kennamutti hagam itti gammadda?							
513	Walitti dhufeenya hojjettota biro	1		2		3	4	5
	waliin qabdutti hagam itti							
	gammadda'?							

514	Dhaabbata kana keessaa hojjechuu keetti hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
515	Icciitiin hojii kee eegamaa ta'uu isaatti hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
516	Ilaalcha hogganaan kee siif qabutti hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
517	Hojiin kun faayyaa qaama keef gaariidha ta'uu isaatti hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
518	Miindaa si kaffalamuuf hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
519	Dandeettii fi beekumsa qabdutti fayyadamuu keetti hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
520	Hariiroo hogganoota kee waliin qabdu hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
521	Hojii keetti hagam itti gammadda?	1	2	3	4	5
	Ida'ama walii gala				•	

አባሪ 1 የመረጃ ወረቀት

የተከበሩ ተሳታፊዎች-ኮድ ቁጥር

ጤና ይስዋልኝ ስሜ - ----- የምርምር ቡድን አባል ነኝ ፤ እና በተዛማጅ ጉዳዮች ላይ ለቃለ መጠይቅ ምላሽዎን የሚጠይቅ በዚህ ጉዳዮችን ለመገምገም የምርምር ቡድን አባል ነኝ ፤ እና በተዛማጅ ጉዳዮች ላይ ለቃለ መጠይቅ ምላሽዎን የሚጠይቅ በዚህ ጥናት ላይ እንዲሳተፉ እጠይቃለሁ ፡፡

የጥናቱ ርዕስ-ከሥራ *ጋ*ር ተዛማጅነት ያለው የጡንቻ ችግር መዛባት እና በደቡብ ምዕራብ ኢትዮጵያ ጅጣ ከተማ የባንክ ሥራተኞች መካከል ተያያዥ ምክንያቶች ፡፡

መግቢያ-የጡንቻና የጡንቻ ስርዓት ሁለት ሥርዓቶችን ያቀፈ የጡንቻ ስርዓት (ስርዓት) ፡፡ እሱ አጥንት ፣ ጡንቻ ፣ መገጣጠሚያ ፣ ጅማትና ጅማት ይ containsል። በጡንቻዎች ፣ በትርችቶች ፣ በመገጣጠሚያዎች ፣ በመገጣጠሚያዎች ፣ አካባቢ ነር ,ች እና የደም ሥሮች ላይ ድጋፍ ያላቸው የጡንቻና የአካል ችግሮች (ኤም.ኤስ.አይ.)) ሰፋ ያለ ብግነት እና ብልሹነት ሁኔታ ተብለው ተገልጻል ፡፡ ከስራ ጋር የተዛመደ የጡንቻ ህመም (WRMSDs) በጣም አስፈላጊ ከሆኑት የህዝብ የጤና ችግሮች አንዱ ነው ፡፡ በባንክ ዘርፎች ውስጥ ያሉ ሥራተኞች ለረጅም ጊዜ የማይቆጠሩ ስራዎች ፣ መጥፎ አኳኋን እና ተደጋጋሚ የኮምፒተር አጠቃቀም ለ WRMSDs የተጋለጡ ይሆናሉ ፡፡

ዓላማ የዚህ ጥናት ዓላማ በደቡብ ምዕራብ ኢትዮጵያ 2019 ጅማ ከተማ ውስጥ ከሥራ ጋር ተያያዥነት ያላቸው የአካል ጉዳቶች መዛባት እና ተያያዥ ሁኔታዎችን መገምገም ነው ፡፡

የጥናቱ ጥቅም-ለመሳተፍ ቀጥተኛ ጥቅም የለም ግን የጥናቱ ግኝት የችግሩን ስፋት ለማወቅ እና እርስዎን ጨምሮ ለሁሉም የባንክ ስራዎች የስራ ሁኔታ ለማሻሻል ጠቃሚ ነው ፡፡

የጥናቱ ስጋት-ጥናቱ ለተሳታፊዎች ምንም ዓይነት አደጋ የለውም ፡፡

የተሳታፊው መብት-መሳተፍ እና አለመሳተፍ ሙሉ መብትዎ ነው እናም ተሳታፊዎች በማንኛውም ጊዜ ከጥናቱ መውጣት ይችላሉ ፡፡

ምስጢራዊነት-ስምህ በዚህ ፎርም አይፃፍም እናም ለእርስዎ የተሰጠው መረጃ በሙሉ በሚስጢር የተጠበቀ ይሆናል ፡፡

የቃለ መጠይቁ ጊዜ ይህ ቃለ መጠይቅ ከ15 - 20 ደቂቃ ይወስዳል

ለመቀጠል የአንተ ፈቃድ ሊኖርኝ ይችላል?

1. አዎ ከሆነ ፤ በቃለ መጠይቁ ይቀጥሉ

2. የለም ከሆነ ፣ ለሚፈጽመው ተሳታፊ / እሱ ውድቅ ያደረንበትን ምክንያት በመጻፍ ይዝለሉ ፡፡

የሚያነ*ጋግ*ረው ሰው-የበለጠ መረጃ ከፈለጉ እና ስለዚህ ጥናት ከፈለጉ ከፈለጉ ዋና መርጣሪውን እና አማካሪውን በሚከተለው አድራሻ ማነ*ጋ*ባር ይችላሉ ፡፡

መርማሪ ሚስተር ጉሱማ ኤታና ፣ ምባይል: 0917707303

ዋና አማካሪ-አቶ አስፋው ገርቢ (ፒ.ዲ. ባልደረባ) ጀዩ; ምባይል: 0924490573

ተባባሪ አማካሪ መንግስቱ አየለ (ኤምሲሲ); ምባይል: 0929046717

የተረጋገጠ የፈቃድ ቅጽ

በጥናቱ ለመሳተፍ ፈቃደኛ ነዎት? አዎ አይ

የዚህን ሰነድ ይዘቶች እና የምርምር ፕሮጀክቱን ተፈጥሮ እንደተረዳሁ በዚህ አረ*ጋግ*ጣለሁ ፤ እናም በምርምር ፕሮጀክቱ ውስጥ በፈቃደኝነት ለመሳተፍ ሙሉ ፈቃድዬን እሰጣለሁ። በማንኛውም ጊዜ ከፕሮጄክቱ ለመላቀቅ እራሴ ነኝ።

የተሳታፊ ቀን ፊርማ ______ የመረጃ ስብሳቢው ስም እና ፊርማ______ ቀን

የተቆጣጣሪው ስም እና ፊርማ ቀን			
	የተቆጣጣሪው ስም እና ፊርማ	φ'	b

Annex 5: Questionnaire-Amharic version

ኮድ፡_____

የባንክስም_____

ከፍልአንድ፡*ማህ*በራዊናስነህዝባዊ*መ*ጠይቆች

ተ.ቁ	ጥያቄወች	መልሶች	ይሻንሩ
101	ጾታ	1. ወንድ 2. ሴት	
102	እድሜበአመት		
105	የጋብቻሁኔታ	1. <i>ያነ</i> ባ/ች 4. የምተባት 2. <i>ያ</i> ላነባ/ች 5. ሌላካለይ <i>ነ</i> ለጽ 3. የተፋታች	
106	የትምህርትሁኔታ	1. መጀመሪያዲባሪ 2. ሁለተኛዲባሪ 3. ሌላ	
107	ወርሀዊደመወዝ		
108	የስራልምድ		

ክፍል ሁለት: ባለፈው12 ወራት ውስጥ በስራምክንያት የሚከሰቱ የጡንቻና የመገጣጠሚያ አካሊት ህመም መጠን ለማወቅ የተዘጋጀ መጠይቅ ኖርዲክ ስታንዳርድ (Q201-209).



No	ባለፈው 12 ወራት ወ ኢጋጥምት	ስዋ በጡንቻና በአዋንት ላ	\ይ አስቸ <i>ጋሪ</i> የሆነ ህመም(መቆርጠም፤መደንዘዝ) ስሜት
201	አንነት		1. አዎ2. የለም
202		በቀኝ	1. አዎ2. የለም
	ትካሻ	በግራ	1. አዎ2. የለም
203	የላይኛው ወንብ		1. አዎ2. የለም
204	ክርን	በቀኝ	1. አዎ2. የለም
		በግራ	1. አዎ2. የለም
205	የታቾኛው ወንብ		1. አዎ2. የለም
206	የእጅአንጓ	በቀኝ	1. አዎ2. የለም
		በግራ	1. አዎ2. የለም
207	ዳሌና መቀመጫ	በቀኝ	1. አዎ2. የለም
		በግራ	1. አዎ2. የለም
208	ጉልበት	በቀኝ	1. አዎ2. የለም
		በግራ	1. አዎ2. የለም
209	<i>እግር/አ</i> ልቦ	በቀኝ	1. አዎ2. የለም
		በግራ	1. አዎ2. የለም

በየትኛውም የሰውነትዎ ክፍል ውስጥ ችግር ቢያጋጥመዎትም እንኳን እባክዎን ይህ የጥያቄ ክፍል መልስ መስጠት አለበት

ክፍል ሶስት: የግለሰብ ሁኔታን የተመለከቱ ጥያቄወች

ተ.ቁ	ዋያ ቄወ ች	መልሶች	ይሻንሩ
301	ስንት ሴንትሜትር ይረዝማሉ??	ሴ. <i>ሜ</i>	
302	የሰውነትዎ ክብደት ስንት ኪሎግራም ይመዝናል?	ኪ. <i>ግ</i>	
303	የአካል ብቃት እንቅስቃሴ ያደርጋሉ?	1. አዎ 2. አላደር ግም →	ፐያቄ305
304	ለተራቁጥር 303 መልሰዎ አዎ ከሆነ በየሳምንቱ ለምንያህል		
	ቀናት ቢያንስ ለ30 ደቂቃ ስፖርት ይሰራሉ?	ቀናት	
305	ትምባሆ /ሲጃራ ያጨሳሉ ?	1. አዎ 2. አላጨስም	ተያቄ307
306	ለተራቁጥር 305 መልሰዎ አዎ ከሆነ በሳምንቱ ለምንያህል	1ቀን በሳምንት	
	ቀን ና ምን ያህል ሺጃራ (በባኮ ወይም በነጠላ)ያጨሳሉ ?	2ሲጃራ	
307	አልኮል ይጠጣሉ ?	1. አዎ 2. አልጠጧም ▶	·
308	ለ 307		
309	አጠቃሲይ የጤና ቸግር <i>መ</i> ኖሩን ከጡንቻ ውጭ?	1. አዎ 2. የለም	
310	ለስራ የሚጠቀሙት ቀኝ እጅነው ወይስ ባራእጅ	1. ቀኝእጅ 2. ግራእጅ	
311	ስለምቹ የአቋቋምና የአሰራርዘዴ ስልጠና ወስደው ያው,ቃሎ	1. አዎ 2. አይ	

502	በመደበኛ ስራዎ ሊይ ከፍተኛ የስራ ጫና አጋጥሞት	1. በፍፁም	2. አንዳንዴ		
	ያው,ቃሌ?	3. ሁሌ ጊዜ			
503	የሥራ ቦታ ጤንነት ሁኔታ	1. ምቹ	2. ምቾት የ/	ነውም	
	የሙቀት ሁኔታ መገምገሚያ ነጥቦች(በአጣራጮቹ ላ	ይ ምልክት ያድረጉ	·)	አዎ	
	ቀዝቃዛ ወይም ሙቀት ያለው የአየር ንብረት በሳሎኑ ወ	ስጥ ይሰማወታል ፤	?		
	በ ውስጥ ያለው የሙቀት መጠን የሥራ ቦታ ተለዋዋጭነ	ት ሀ			
	መደበኛ የስራ ቀን?				
	ሙቀት ሊያመነጭ የሚቶል ነገር አለ ?				
	እስቲም ሊፈጥር የሚቸል መሳሪያ አለ ?				
	የሥራ ቦታው ተጽዕኖ አለው የውጭ የአየር ሁኔታ ሁኔታዎች?				
	ቀዝቃዛ ወይም ሙቅ አየር ይነፋል በቀጥታ ወደ የስራ ቦ;				
	- beffet - b				
	ሰራተኞት ሙቀትን ለመከላከል አለባበሳቸውን ያስተካክ	ላሉ ?			
	የስራ ክብደት <i>ሙቅ</i> በሆነ አየር ይጨምራል ?				
የስራ ደ	፦ብርት የተ መሇከቱ ጥያቄዎች (ጥያቄ504-511)				
ተ.ቁ		የስራ ድብርት <i>ጫ</i> ሆ	ኪያዎች		

መልስ

1.ጥጐ

2. መጠነኛ

ይሻገሩ

ክፍል 5፡ ከስራ አካባቢና ከስነልቦና *ጋ*ር ተያያዥነት ያላቸው ጥያቄወች

ከሌላ የሥራ ባልደረባዎ *ጋ*ር ያለዎትን ግንኙነት እንዴት

ጥያቄዎች

ይገልፃሉ?

ተ.ቁ

501

የአካል [,]	በቃት እንቅስቃሴዎችን ለመለካት ጥያቄዎች (Q401-406)		
No	<i>ጥያቄዎ</i> ች	ሊሆን የሚችል ምርጫ	ዝለል
401	የሥራ ምድብዎ ወይም ኃላፊነትዎ ምንድነው?	1 ሥራ አስኪያጅ 2 2. የደንበኞች <i>ግ</i> ል <i>ጋ</i> ሎት 3 ሌላ	
401	ጠቅላላ የስራ ሰዓታት።	ሰዓታት / ቀን ሰዓታት / ሳምንት	
402	ሥራዎ መታጠፍን ወይም እርስዎን በማዞር ያካትታል?	1. አዎ 2. አይ	
403	በተመሳሳይ ቦታ ለ 2 ሰዓታት ያህል ይቆማሉ (ቆሞ ፣ መታጠፍ ፣ ቁጭ ብሎ ፣ ተንበርክኮ ፣ ወዘተ)?	1. አዎ 2. አይ	
404	ሥራዎ ከ 30 ሴኮንዶች <i>ጋ</i> ር እንቅስቃሴን መድገም ይጠይቃል?	1. አዎ 2. አይ	
405	በምሳ ሰዓት ውስጥ ሳይካተቱ በቀን ሥራዎ ውስጥ ዕረፍትን ይወስዳሉ?	1. አዎ 2. አይ	▶ 407
406	መልስዎ አዎ ከሆነ በጥያቄ 406 ፤ በቀን ውስጥ ስንት ጊዜ እና ስንት ጊዜ እረፍት ይወስዳሉ?	በቀን ውስጥ ደቂቃ	
407	ስራ በመደበኛነት የመቀመጫ ወንበር ሁኔታ ምን ይመስሊሌ	1. ተንቀሳቃሽ(ከፍ ዝቅ ማሇት የሚችሌ) 2. ቋሚ (ከፍ ዝቅ ማሇት የማይችሌ)	

ክፍል አራት - ከሥራ *ጋ*ር ተያያዥነት ካለው የጡንቻ የአካል ችግር *ጋ*ር የተዛመደ ergonomics ምክንያት

	ዋያቄዎት 		በፍፁም		አሌፎ አሌፎ	አንዳ	አንዳንይ		ሁሌጊ ዜ
504	የስራ ቦታ ሁኔታዎች ደስ የማይለና አንዳንዴ ደህንነቱ ያሌተጠበቀ ነው		1		2 3			4	5
505	ስራዬ አካሊዊና ስነ-ሌቦናዊ ጉዳት እያደረሰብኝ ነው ብሇው ያስባለ?		1		2	3		4	5
506	ብዙ የስራ ጫና አሇብኝ ብሇው ያስባለ?		1		2	3		4	5
507	ስሇ ስራ ሁኔታዎ ሇአሇቆቾ ሇመናገር ይከብደኛሌ ብሇው ያስባለ?		1		2	3		4	5
508	የስራ ጫናው ከግሌና ቤተሰብ ህይወቴይ <i>ጋ</i> ጫሌ ብሇው ያምናለ?		1		2	3		4	5
509	የስራ ድርሻዬን በአግባቡ ማከናወንና መቆጣጠር እችሊሇሁ ብሇው ያምናለ?		5		4	3		2	1
510	በጥፉ ሇሰራሁት ስራ እውቅና/ሽሌማት ይሰጠኛሌ		5	5 4		3		2	1
511	ቸልታዬና ክህልቴ በስራዬ በደንብ እጠቀማሇሀ ብሇው ያምናለ ?	ክሀልቴ በስራዬ በደንብ እጠቀማሇሁ ምናለ ?		4		3	3 2		1
	አጠቃሳይ ውጤት								
የ ስራእርካታንየተመለከቱጥያቄዎች (Q 512-521)									
ተ.ቁ	ጥያቄዎች	የ ስራ	የ ስራ እርካታ መለኪያ						
		በጣም አረካህ	ኑም	አረ	ካሁም	ገለልተኛ	7	ረክቻለሁ	በጣም እረክቻ ለሁ
512	በጥሩ ሁኔታ ለሰሩት ስራ በተሰጥዎት እውቅና ምንያህል እረከተዋል ?	1		2		3	4	ļ	5
513	ከስራ ባልደረቦቸዎ ባለወት ቅርበት ምንያህል እረከተዋል?	1		2		3	4	ŀ	5
514	እዚህ በመስራትዎ ምንያህል እረክተዋል?	1		2		3 4		-	5
515	በስራ ደሀንነት ምንያህል እረክተዋል ?	1		2		3 4			5
516	የስራ አመራሩ ሰሇኔ ጥሩ ያስባሌ ብሇው ያስባለ?	1		2		3 4		Ļ	5
517	በአጢቃላይ ስራ በሚሰጠው አካላዊ ጤንነቴ ምንያህል እረክተዋል ?	1		2		3 4		ŀ	5
518	በሚከፈልዎት ደመወዝ ምንያህል እረከተዋል ?	1		2		3 4		ŀ	5
519	ቸሎታና ክህሎትዎን በመጠቀምዎ ምንያህል እረከተዋል ?	1		2		3	3 4		5
520	ከተቆጣጣሪዎቼ ና ከአሰሪወች <i>ጋ</i> ር ባለዎት ስምምነት ምንያህል እረከተዋል?	1		2		3	4	ļ	5
521	በስራዎ ደስተኝነት ምንያህል እረክተዋል?	1		2		3	3 4		5
	አጠቃላይ ውጤት						·		
DECLARATION

I, the undersigned, declare that this thesis is my original work has not been presented for a degree in this university or any other and that all sources of materials for the thesis have been fully acknowledged.

Name: GULUMA ETANA (BSc) Signature: _____ Date_____ Name of the institution: JIMMA UNIVERSITY This thesis has been submitted for examination with my approval as university advisor Name and signature of principal advisor Name: Mr. ASFAW GERBI (BSc, MSc, Asst. prof.) Signature _____ Date_____ Name and signature of co-advisor Name: Mr. MENGISTU AYELE (BSc, MSc) Signature _____ Date_____

As member of the Examining Board of the final MSc. Open Defense, we certify that we have read and evaluated the thesis prepared by Guluma Etana and examined the candidate. We recommend that the thesis be accepted as fulfilling the thesis requirement for the Degree of Master of Science in Clinical Anatomy.

Name of Chairperson	Signature	Date
Name of Internal Examiner	Signature	Date
Name of External Examiner	Signature	Date