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#### Abstract

Losses in distribution transformers are estimated as $30 \%$ of overall transmission and distribution losses. It is further estimated that the losses in all of the world's electrical distribution systems are about 1715TWh. One-third of these losses occur in the distribution transformers. In this thesis, a mathematical model is done and a new objective function is proposed for minimization of losses in a distribution transformer. This thesis presents a loss-reduced optimal design of three phases, 200kVA, 15/0.4kV, 50 Hz , oil-immersed, core type distribution transformer. Two optimization techniques namely, Brute force search and Genetic algorithm are used in MATLAB® to obtain an optimum design of a distribution transformer that has minimum losses which met the requirements and constraints. The loss of a distribution transformer designed using both algorithms is compared, and then a comparison is made with transformer manufacturers' used design based on analytical method. The results from the optimization algorithm show that the design reduces the total losses on the existing distribution transformer selected for the study from $2,756.50 \mathrm{~W}$ to $1,994.14 \mathrm{~W}$ by 762.36 W , thus representing a percentage reduction of $27.66 \%$. If this saving is applied to the existing 49, 200kVA distribution transformers of the Jimma town route of the case study area, the saving will be 37,355.64W. If the optimally designed transformer is to be implemented on a larger scale across the electrical distribution networks of Ethiopia, the magnitude of savings would be huge. Moreover, the designed distribution transformer using the optimization algorithm has a slightly increased material cost, but it has the lowest total cost. Thus, the design is cost-effective.


Keywords: Brute force search algorithm; Distribution transformer; Losses; Optimal design; Total cost
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## CHAPTER ONE

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Electrical power systems utilize several voltage levels using transformers to transfer voltages and connect parts of the power system with different voltage levels. One of these voltage transformations is being performed in the key component called a distribution transformer. A transformer that takes primary voltage and steps down it to a secondary distribution circuit is called a distribution transformer. A distribution transformer reduces the primary voltage to the utilization voltage [1].

Losses in distribution transformers are estimated as $30 \%$ of overall transmission and distribution losses. The efficiency of a typical distribution transformer is over 97\%, which seems that it is satisfactory. But, this means that up to $3 \%$ of all electrical power generated is wasted in the transformer. These losses are far from negligible, and anything that can be done to reduce them has the potential to deliver huge savings [2].

The losses of the transformer consist of no-load losses and load losses. No-load losses are constant and appear throughout the lifetime of a transformer, while load losses vary and are only significant under higher load conditions [3], [4].

Earlier the design of a distribution transformer was usually based on traditional methods and techniques that have been found by the experience of design engineers. However this results in a very complex electrical design problem which meant that the process required long time and huge amount of resources were wasted by the experiments carried by the design engineers until a suitable design that meets the user's required specifications such as rated power, primary and secondary voltage rating of winding, impedance value and other requirements are achieved. Thus, an algorithm based transformer design that help to reduce the man hour needed is introduced.

### 1.2 Problem Statement

The total electrical energy use per annual of the world is estimated at 21500 TWh ( 1 TWh is equal to $10^{9} \mathrm{kWh}$ ) and it is further estimated that the losses in all of the world's electrical distribution systems are about 1715 TWh or about $7.97 \%$ of the total electrical energy consumed. About 30-35\% of these losses occur in the distribution transformers.

As a distribution transformer data from EEU, JDS office (as depicted in appendix 1) indicates, here in Jimma town, on a single 200kVA distribution transformer there is a total loss of 2756.5 W . Obtaining an optimum transformer design variables is essential for transformer loss reduction. Reducing a small number of losses from the above-stated value per transformer brings substantial energy savings for the utility.

### 1.3 Objectives of the Study

### 1.3.1 General Objective

The main objective of this thesis is to reduce losses in a distribution transformer using an optimization algorithm.

### 1.3.2 Specific Objectives

$\stackrel{4}{4}$ To study and select the design variables of a distribution transformer that is designed using the analytical method.
${ }^{4}$ To design an optimal distribution transformer that has minimum losses using the Brute force search and Genetic algorithm.
$\stackrel{H}{\Perp}$ To compare the results of an optimally designed distribution transformer using Brute force search and Genetic algorithm with the analytically designed one.
$\stackrel{\Perp}{4}$ To compare the total cost of a distribution transformer obtained using the optimization algorithm with that of the currently used analytical design method.

### 1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of the study is to analyze the losses in the existing distribution transformer and to design a loss-reduced 200kVA distribution transformer using the Brute force search and Genetic algorithm. In addition to this, the economic aspect of the transformer like the material and total cost of the transformer is part of this thesis work.

### 1.5 Significance of the Study

In thesis work, a distribution transformer that has a reduced total loss is designed. This helps the EEU to save a considerable amount of energy and makes the power distribution system more reliable. Moreover, the study helps transformer designers to find the optimal design variables within a few minutes.

### 1.6 Thesis Organization

The thesis work is organized into five chapters.
In chapter two, the basic theoretical background of a distribution transformer is presented and then different kinds of literature related to the title are reviewed.

In chapter three, the methods and materials used in the study are explained. The mathematical formulation of the distribution transformer with consideration of the practical aspects is briefly described. In this chapter, the objective function of the transformer design optimization is included along with all the design variables that will be considered for optimization, and the constraints that need to be satisfied to ensure proper operation of the transformer. The last part of this chapter deals with implementing the algorithms used for the optimization of the transformer design problem.

Detailed discussion and comparison of the results are included in chapter four. In chapter five, the conclusion and recommendation of the work are given.

## CHAPTER TWO

## 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Related Works

The following published papers related to losses minimization of distribution transformers have been reviewed.
A. Alamoudi, I. and M. Al Bulushi (2015) [2] Have built a model of a three-phase distribution transformer to calculate transformer efficiency at different loading conditions on a MATLAB®. A typical 200kVA three-phase distribution transformer was modelled by MATLAB®. From the result, the load losses of this typical distribution transformer working at full load are 2800 W and an efficiency of $98.1 \%$ was found for the distribution transformer working at full load.
M. Mohan and P. K. Singh (2014) [5] Have done electromagnetic model for the 3- phase distribution transformer $250 \mathrm{KVA} 11 / .416 \mathrm{KV}$ core type. This model-based upon using "ANSYS PROGRAM" to obtain lower losses. The results indicated that total losses for noload and load conditions be 590 and 2990W respectively.
R. M. Sah and J. Srivastava (2013) [6] Have calculated losses due to linear load using analytical and simulation method and also have calculated losses due to harmonic load current by analytical method. A three-phase 200 kVA distribution transformer is taken and losses have been calculated under linear load, using two methods. That is the computational method and simulation method. For the simulation method, a Simulink model of the transformer is designed, and finally, both methods has been compared.

Coelho, Leandro Dos S., et al. (2018) [7] Have developed a program for the design of a transformer using MATLAB®. The paper demonstrates a design of a three-phase, oilimmersed natural cooled distribution transformer of any value (power, primary voltage/secondary voltage), and 50 Hz frequency in MATLAB software. The dimensions, as well as active cost, have been reduced in comparison to conventional methods using the same set of constraints.

M. Singh, M. Verma, A. Kanaujia, S. Rai, and A. Soman (2018) [8] Have reduced stray losses in distribution transformer using different materials of clamping. This paper demonstrates the estimation of stray losses and ways to reduce those using different clamping materials in distribution transformer 3 phase 100 kVA .

In the above reviewed literatures attempts have been done to develop systematic transformer design procedures. But, some literatures discuss sub-problem of transformer design rather than discussing the entire transformer design problem. In addition to this some paper does not consider reducing the total loss of the transformer and the attempt made to reduce the total loss is not enough. Generally, it is possible to say that transformer losses can be reduced. The proposed design, under the specific study area, has reduced the losses in the existing distribution transformers and brings substantial energy savings for the EEU.

### 2.2 Transformer Basics

### 2.2.1 Definition of Distribution Transformer

A distribution transformer is a static device that is used to reduce the primary voltage to the utilization voltage by electromagnetic induction from one circuit to another at the same frequency

### 2.2.2 Working Principle of Transformer

A transformer is a very simple static (or stationary) electro-magnetic passive electrical device that works on the principle of Faraday's law of induction by converting electrical energy from one value to another. Mutual induction between two or more winding is responsible for transformation action in an electrical transformer. Faraday's Laws of Electromagnetic Induction (second law) states that the magnitude of EMF induced in the coil is equal to the rate of change of flux that linkages with the coil. The flux linkage of the coil is the product of the number of turns in the coil and flux associated with the coil [1].


Figure 2.1 Working principle of transformer

### 2.2.3 Transformer Main Parts

A transformer has two main parts, which are: magnetic core and windings.

### 2.2.3.1 Transformer Core

Transformers are mainly classified depending on the construction of the core. There are two main types of classifications, core, and shell-type. In the core-type transformer, the windings are wrapped around the core forming a cylindrical-shaped coil while in the shell type the transformer core surrounds the windings [9].
The advantage of using the core type arrangement is that the leakage flux is reduced. The shell type arrangement is commonly used for very highly rated power transformers. The core type arrangement with a stacked core is used for the transformer design in this thesis. Ideally, a core with a circular cross-sectional area will have the maximum flux carrying capacity, however, this is not practically possible to construct as a vast number of different lamination widths will be needed. [9].


Figure 2.2 Core steps
Transformer cores are made of thin layers usually known as laminations of electrical sheets. The material used for the production of these sheet laminations is mostly CRGO steel with some percentage of silicone content and cut into a range of thicknesses that fall between 0.23 to 0.46 mm .


Figure 2.3 CRGO magnetic core

For the CRGO core type, the maximum value of flux density used in practice is in the region of 1.5 T , because at a flux density value close to or exceeding 1.9 T saturation in the core can be guaranteed.

A stacking factor is a correction number included to take into consideration the space lost between sheet laminations. The surface of every side of the lamination is provided with an oxide coating insulation layer. The stacking factor has a value less than one, but the closer it is to one the better is the material's stacking factor, and this can be done by using thicker laminations in the core. However, this will in turn significant effect on the eddy current losses in the core, and will increase by a ratio of square the thickness of the lamination. For this reason, thinner sheet laminations are preferable to reduce the eddy current losses although this will reduce the stacking factor.

The core building factor is a certain ratio used to increase the ideal core losses assumed by the designer. This percentage increase takes into account all the different factors that will add to the ideal losses in the core such as the gap between different laminations, especially at the corners of these laminations. Losses also increase because of the slitting and cutting of sheets which lead to burrs in the sheets.

### 2.2.3.2 Transformer Windings

For the transformer design layered winding construction is implemented for the high voltage side as this type of winding is mostly used on the primary side of distribution transformers. The Primary winding of a transformer produces magnetic flux when it is connected to an electrical source. The secondary winding of a transformer is also called output winding. The flux, produced by primary winding, passes through the core and will link with the secondary winding.


Figure 2.4 Sectional view of distribution transformer HV and LV windings

$$
8 \mid \mathrm{Page}
$$

### 2.2.4 Losses in Transformers

Like every machine, transformers also cannot work without energy losses. The transformer has no moving parts and so the mechanical losses are absent in it. Transformer losses are classified as load and no-load losses [1].

### 2.2.4.1 No-load Losses

No-load losses (also called iron loss or core loss) are constant and occur 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, regardless of the load, from the term no-load losses. They are present in the transformer core whenever the transformer is energized.

### 2.2.4.2 Load - Losses

Load-losses (also called copper losses or short-circuit losses) occur in the resistance of the winding of the transformer when it carries the load current. The total loss of copper in the transformer is obtained by adding both primary and secondary copper losses. Load losses vary according to the transformer loading [1].

### 2.2.5 The efficiency of a Transformer

The efficiency of a transformer is reflected in power (wattage) loss between the primary (input) and secondary (output) windings. An ideal transformer is $100 \%$ efficient because it delivers all the energy it receives. For a transformer operating with a constant voltage and frequency with a very high capacity, the efficiency may be as high as $98 \%$. The efficiency, $\eta$ of a transformer is given as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { Efficiency, } \eta=\frac{\text { Output power }}{\text { Input power }} \times 100 \%  \tag{2.1}\\
\quad=\frac{\text { Input power }- \text { Losses }}{\text { Input power }} \times 100 \% \\
\eta=1-\frac{\text { Losses }}{\text { Input power }} \times 100 \%
\end{gather*}
$$

Here: Input, Output, and losses are all expressed in units of power.

## CHAPTER THREE

## 3 METHODOLOGY OF TRANSFORMER DESIGN OPTIMIZATION

### 3.1 Study Area Description

### 3.1.1 Location

Jimma town is the largest town in South-Western Ethiopia. It is surrounded by the Jimma zone. It has a longitude and latitude of $36^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{E}, 7^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ respectively. Jimma has an area of 50.52 square kilometers. Specifically, the selected distribution transformer for the study is located at a longitude and latitude of $36^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 44.65^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 7^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 27.24^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}$ respectively.


Figure 3.1 Map of the study area and location of the distribution transformer


Figure 3.2 Location of the Transformer from the Google Earth

### 3.1.2 Jimma Town Distribution System and Selected Distribution Transformer

There are two transformers in Jimma's old distribution substation. Transformer 1 and Transformer 2 have different voltage levels at their low voltage sides. The two transformers, installed at the Jimma distribution substation, are step-down transformers that reduce the incoming 132 kV from Gilgel Gibe 1 substation to 15 and 33 kV . The voltage at a 15 kV level is distributed to five feeders of the Jimma town. Line routes are:
$\stackrel{4}{\wedge}$ Kochi line
(4) Jimma University (main campus) line
${ }^{4}$ City (Merkato) line
( ) KittoFurdisa (around JIT) line
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Agriculture campus line
In the town line route there are 200 distribution transformers; among these 49 are 200 kVA distribution transformers ( 42 are $15 / 0.4 \mathrm{kV}$ and 7 are $33 / 0.4 \mathrm{kV}$ ) (as depicted in appendix 2 ). Thus, the distribution transformer 200kVA, $15 / 0.4 \mathrm{kV}$ found at Jimma town, Ginjo Guduru Kebele around Mars number 4, installed more recently, is selected for the study. The reason for choosing the 200 kVA rating is that it is greater in numbers among other ratings installed in the town.

### 3.2 Data Collection

The data presented by transformer manufacturer EEG-PEMI and the data from the study area presented by EEU, JDS office are used as secondary data for this thesis. The secondary data found from the above-mentioned two areas (as depicted in appendix 1) are shown in tables 3.1 and 3.2 below.

Table 3.1 DT secondary data from EEG-PEMI and EEU Jimma distribution office

| No. | Specifications | Values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | EEG-PEMI and EEU, Jimma |
| 1 | Serial No. | 20003088 and 20003140 |
| 2 | Connection of HV/LV | Delta/Star |
| 3 | Number of phases | 3 |
| 4 | Frequency, Hz | 50 |
| 5 | Rated continuous power, kVA | 200 |
| 6 | Primary voltage (HV side) in V | 15000 |
| 7 | Secondary voltage (HV side) in V | 400 |
| 8 | Core material grade | 27 M 4 |
| 9 | Percentage impedance | 4.23 |
| 10 | LL in W | 2417.60 |
| 11 | NLL in W | 338.90 |
| 12 | Total Loss in W | 2756.20 |
| 13 | Efficiency in $\%$ | 98.64 |

Table 3.2 Distribution transformer design variables from EEG-PEMI

| No. | Design Variables | Values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Secondary number of turns | 42 |
| 2 | Core diameter in mm | 145 |

### 3.3 Methodology

The procedural steps which are followed to realize and complete this thesis work are portrayed in figure 3.3.


Figure 3.3 Methodology flow chart

### 3.4 Optimization of a Distribution Transformer Design

This section will list and discuss the characteristics of a distribution transformer, design variables that will be included in the design optimization tool to reach the needed design, the objective function to find the optimal design that has the minimum losses, and finally, the design constraints that are needed to ensure that obtained design functions with all the required operational characteristics.

### 3.4.1 Characteristics of a distribution transformer

Table 3.3 characteristics of a distribution transformer

| CNo | DT design parameters | Variable type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Number of iterations |  |
| 2 | Flat order | Design variable |
| 3 | Flat width |  |
| 4 | Flat thickness |  |
| 5 | Flat cross-section area |  |
| 6 | Current density of flat wire | Constraint |
| 7 | LT layer/canal |  |
| 8 | Secondary number of turns | Design variable |
| 9 | Diameter of core | Design variable |
| 10 | Flux density |  |
| 11 | Volt per turn |  |
| 12 | Area of core |  |
| 14 | LT turns per layer | Design variable |
| 15 | LT canals |  |
| 16 | LT mechanical height |  |
| 17 | LT number of layers |  |
| 18 | LT coil thickness |  |
| 19 | LT outer diameter |  |
| 20 | Coil height |  |
| 21 | HT mechanical height |  |
| 22 | N_HT max |  |
| 23 | HT copper order | Design variable |


| 24 | HT active diameter |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | HT total diameter |  |
| 26 | HT cross-sectional area |  |
| 27 | Current density round |  |
| 28 | HT turns per layer |  |
| 29 | HT turns per the last layer |  |
| 30 | HT number of layers |  |
| 31 | Insulation between layers |  |
| 32 | HT layer per canal |  |
| 33 | HT canals |  |
| 34 | HT coil thickness |  |
| 35 | LT inner diameter |  |
| 36 | HT inner diameter |  |
| 37 | HT outer diameter |  |
| 38 | E |  |
| 39 | H |  |
| 40 | Core weight |  |
| 41 | Core loss/Kg |  |
| 42 | Total no-load loss | Constraint |
| 43 | H A/m |  |
| 44 | LT mean diameter |  |
| 45 | HT mean diameter |  |
| 46 | LT total length |  |
| 47 | HT total length of mid tap |  |
| 48 | LT copper weight per phase |  |
| 49 | HT copper weight per phase |  |
| 50 | LT R20 |  |
| 51 | HT R20 |  |
| 52 | LT R75 |  |
| 53 | HT R75 |  |
| 54 | LT copper loss |  |


| 55 | HT copper loss |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 56 | LT eddy loss |  |
| 57 | HT eddy loss |  |
| 58 | LT con. loss |  |
| 59 | HT con. loss |  |
| 60 | HT load loss | Constraint |
| 61 | LT load loss |  |
| 62 | Total copper losses | Constraint |
| 63 | Total load-losses with strays | Objective function |
| 64 | Reactance of LT |  |
| 65 | Calculated value of $\mathrm{Z}_{1} \%$ |  |
| 66 | Tolerance value of $\mathrm{Z}_{2} \%$ |  |
| 67 | Total transformer losses |  |
| 68 | Cost of losses |  |
| 69 | Core material costs |  |
| 70 | Copper material costs |  |
| 71 | Total material costs |  |
| 72 | Total transformer costs |  |
| 73 | Length of HT coil copper |  |
| 74 | Weight of LT copper in $3 \emptyset$ | Weight of HT copper in $3 \emptyset$ |
| 75 | Total weight of copper |  |
| 76 |  |  |

### 3.4.2 Design Variables

A number of the design variables have to be optimized for the objective function to reach accepted designs. These design variables are:
${ }^{4}$ Secondary number of turns
$\stackrel{\wedge}{ }{ }^{4}$ Core diameter
(4) Flat order
$\stackrel{4}{\wedge}$ LT turns per layer
$\stackrel{\Perp}{ }{ }^{\Perp}$ HT copper order

### 3.4.3 Objective Function

The main goal of experienced designers is to come up with an appropriate design that barely satisfies the operating requirements and characteristics requested by the customer. Conventional methods are normally used resulting in several designs that satisfy the customer's request; however, these designs will have different losses and any manufacturer tends to keep the losses as low as possible. The priority upon which the optimal design is selected is known to be the objective function of the design.

In this thesis, transformer design optimization techniques are used with the objective function of minimizing the total losses.

Therefore, the objective function is:
Minimize $T L=\Sigma(N L L+L L)$
Where TL is total losses in a distribution transformer
NLL is no-load loss of a distribution transformer in W
LL is load loss of a distribution transformer in W

### 3.4.3.1 No-load loss

The no-load loss of a distribution transformer is calculated by:
$N L L=W_{C} \times B F \times L o s s / k g$
Where,
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the weight of the core
BF is a building factor
Loss/kg is a specific loss

### 3.4.3.2 Load loss

The load loss in the transformer is given by:
$L L=$ ohmic losses + stray losses
Where,
LL is load loss in ad distribution in W
Further, the ohmic loss is given by:
Ohmic losses $=I_{H V}^{2} R_{H V}+I_{L V}^{2} R_{L V}$
Where,
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ is primary (HV side) current per phase in A
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{HV}}$ is HV winding resistance per phase in $\Omega$
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{LV}}$ is primary ( LV side) current per phase in A
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{LV}}$ is LV winding resistance per phase in $\Omega$
It is known, the primary (HV side) current per phase is given by:
$I_{H V}=\frac{1000 \times k V A}{3 \times V_{P}}$
Where,
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}$ is the primary voltage in V
It is also known that the HV winding resistance per phase is given by:

Where, $\rho_{\text {Copper }}$ is the resistivity of electrolytic copper

### 3.4.4 Design constraints

Although each design variable is chosen arbitrarily from within a given range, the combination of the variables for the transformer's complete design has to adhere to all the operational constraints.

### 3.4.4.1 Load and No Load Losses

The total loss in a transformer is equal to the sum of the no-load and load losses. Manufacturers are forced to have designs with a limited amount of these losses, the constraint for the maximum losses are:
$N L L \leq N L L_{\text {max }}$
$L L \leq L L_{\text {max }}$

### 3.4.4.2 Current Density (Cd)

The current density in the copper conducting winding is usually forced below a specific reasonable value during the transformer design process. High values for current density must be avoided because this will result in an abnormal rise in the windings temperature gradient, and this will in turn not only require higher costs for maintaining a suitable cooling system, but also eventually result in transformer loss of life due to the aging and breaking down of the insulation material used for the windings. The transformer user usually defines HV and LV constraints for the current density as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C d_{\min } \leq C d \leq C d_{\max } \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4.4.3 Percentage Impedance (\% Z )

Transformer designers aim to achieve an optimal design that operates within the limits of the minimum and maximum specified transformer impedance value. For this reason, the main objective of a transformer designer is to obtain the best possible compromise between very low levels of impedance which in turn limits the fault current to a tolerable magnitude, and a high level of the impedance value that can be dealt with without the need of excessive system regulation. This puts pressure on manufacturers to have the smallest possible range of impedance values for their transformers. Manufacturers usually abide by international standards by which a very tight tolerance is allowed based on the standard. A tolerance of $\pm$ $10 \%$ is accepted according to the IEC60076 standard. The impedance value constraints can be expressed as the following:
$\% Z_{\text {min }} \leq \% Z_{G} \leq \% Z_{\text {max }}$
$\% \mathrm{Z}_{\text {min }}$ is the minimum accepted impedance $=0.900 \times \% \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{G}}$ as per IEC
$\% \mathrm{Z}_{\text {max }}$ is the maximum accepted impedance $=1.100 \times \%_{\mathrm{G}}$ as per IEC
In which $\% \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{G}}$ is the guaranteed impedance value requested by the customer.
The formula commonly used for calculating percentage resistance is as follows:
$R(\%)=\frac{L L(\text { in } k W)}{k V A} \times 100$
Where,
LL is Load Loss (W)
kVA is the rating of transformer, input data
Percentage impedance is the vector sum of percentage reactance and percentage resistance and is represented as:
$Z(\%)=\sqrt{(X \%)^{2}+(R \%)^{2}}$
As per IEC standard percentage of short circuit impedance at rated current for the rated power up to 630 kVA distribution transformers is $4 \%$. For a distribution transformer with two windings, when the percentage of short circuit impedance value is less than $10 \%$ tolerance of $\pm 10 \%$ of the declared value is allowed [10].

### 3.4.4.4 Efficiency

The efficiency at rated load and unity power factor is calculated:
$\% \eta=\frac{k V A}{k V A+N L L \operatorname{in} k W+L L \text { in } k W} \times 100 \%$
$\eta_{\text {min }} \leq \eta_{\text {transformer }}$

### 3.4.4.5 Turns ratio

The design should satisfy the transformer turns ratio

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N_{P}}{N_{S}}=\frac{V_{P}}{V_{S}}=\frac{I_{S}}{I_{P}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.5 Algorithms used for design optimization of a distribution transformer

In this section the two algorithms namely, BFSA and GA that are used for the transformer design optimization process are discussed.

### 3.5.1 Brute Force Search Algorithm

A Brute force search algorithm is a general problem-solving technique that computes all possible candidates for the solution and checks whether each candidate satisfies the problem's statement.

The algorithm is simple to implement and it will always find a solution if it exists, the method is also used when the simplicity of implementation is more important than speed. A Brute force search algorithm is a guaranteed way to find the correct solutions to a problem because it tests every possible candidate as an answer.
A brute force search algorithm is mostly selected due to its wide applicability, simplicity, and to yield reasonable results. Its weakness is its time complexities grow exponentially with problem size [12].

The transformer design algorithm using the BFSA for optimization is described in the following flow chart.


Figure 3.4 BFSA optimization flow chart

### 3.5.2 Genetic Algorithm

A genetic algorithm is a powerful heuristic optimization technique that operates on a population to return the optimum solution from that population. The basic idea is to maintain a population of candidate solutions by which survival of the fittest is achieved after engaging this population with selective pressure tests favouring only the better-fit candidates. To achieve the survival of the fittest requirement several operators need to be used; first, the selection operator selects possible strings according to the constraints, in which every string is a solution. Then crossover operator, in which the fitter strings are crossed over to obtain a new set of solutions with better fitness values after inhering good properties from the parent strings.

Finally, the mutation operator is used to mutate chromosomes enhancing better characteristics in the offspring that are not found in the parent strings. This can be better clarified by the following steps.
$\xrightarrow{4}$ Create initial population from prior values
${ }^{4}$ ) Evaluate fitness of possible solutions and select
$\stackrel{\Perp}{ }$ Crossover solutions to obtain fitter offspring
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ })$ New features produced in offspring by mutation
${ }^{4}$ Select fitter generation and repeat until required fitness is achieved
The genetic algorithm optimization's first step is the generation of a random pool of solutions. This method is done by setting an initial input as the start benchmark for the process, along with the design variables boundaries. To make sure that the saved offspring is of better fitness, the selection operator compares each solution of the total population by the best-fit individual and the represented by the objective function value.

The next step is a crossover of the fitter solutions; this is done selectively interbreeding members of the population in pairs to produce offspring. The fitter a member of the population the more likely it is to produce offspring. So basically the main use of these genetic operators is to facilitate the breeding process that results in offspring inheriting properties from their parents. The offspring are evaluated and placed in the population, possibly replacing the weaker members of the last generation. Thus, the search mechanism consists of three steps: evaluation of the fitness of each chromosome, selection of the parent chromosomes, and applications crossover and then mutation to the parent chromosomes. Finally, the stopping criteria must be included for the optimization process, and this could be when no significant improvement in the produced solution fitness is observed, or in the case where the maximum number of iterations has been achieved. The survival of the fittest principle ensures that the overall quality of solutions increases as the algorithm progress from one generation to the next.

The Genetic Algorithm is used in MATLAB to obtain an optimal distribution transformer design that has the lowest total losses and satisfies all the required operation characteristics and constraints.

The transformer design algorithm using the GA for optimization can be better understood from the following flow chart.


Figure 3.5 GA optimization flow chart
The execution of the algorithm can be listed as follows:
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Assign the fitness for the population
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ The selection process in which individuals are chosen from the population
${ }^{4}$ ) Crossover of individual pairs within each subpopulation
${ }_{4}^{4}$ The upper and lower bounds of the decision variables need to be strictly considered to avoid having new individuals outside that range.
$\stackrel{\Perp}{\triangleleft}$ Reinsertion of best offspring to replace worst individuals
${ }_{4}{ }^{4}$ Most fit individuals are selected for migration between subpopulations
This process is repeated over again until the stopping criteria are reached either by exceeding the number of iterations or reaching the time limit or the fitness limit is achieved, and the fittest result is returned.

### 3.6 Implementation of algorithms for transformer design optimization

In this section, the actual implementation of the program for the design process is developed using the mathematical model of the transformer design. The section lists the steps of the implemented program and will briefly explain it starting from the data entry depending on the transformer characteristics until the final step which is the calculation of the total losses and costs of the transformer.

### 3.6.1 Input data

The data entry stage of the program is the first step in which the required transformer characteristics are set, most of these characteristics are provided by the customer, and the manufacturer only sets the standards to be used which could differ from one manufacturer to another.

Below are lists for the data entry for a three-phase core type oil immersed three-phase distribution transformer.

$$
\stackrel{\text { ransformer rating in kVA }}{ } 200
$$

$\stackrel{\Perp}{\Perp}$ Rated frequency in Hz 50
${ }^{4}$ Primary voltage in volts 15000
${ }^{4}$ Secondary voltage in volts 400
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Connection of HV side Delta
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Connection of LV side Star
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Maximum current density allowed in A/mm2 4
$\stackrel{\Perp}{\wedge}$ Knee point of the CRGO steel in Tesla 1.9
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Over excitation percentage in $\% \quad 10$
${ }^{4}$ ) Minimum flux density value in Tesla 1.5
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Secondary minimum number of turns in no. 1
${ }^{\wedge}$ Secondary maximum number of turns in no. 100
(4) Minimum core diameter in mm 70
$\stackrel{y}{4}$ Maximum core diameter in mm 300
${ }^{4}$ Number of steps for the iron core in no. 7
$\stackrel{\Perp}{\square}$ Thickness of Si-steels sheets in mm 0.27
$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Stacking factor for Si-steels sheets 0.97
$\stackrel{\Perp}{\Perp}$ Building factor for core assembly $\quad 1.15$

$$
\stackrel{\Perp}{\wedge} \text { Guaranteed/allowed impedance value in } \%
$$

$\stackrel{4}{4}$ Insulation paper thickness used between layers of wire coils ..... 0.15

The way in which the input data is entered into the optimization algorithm is given below.

| Command Window <br> enter the KVA of the transformer $\qquad$ 200 <br> enter the frequency in Hz [50] $\qquad$ 50 <br> enter line to line voltage of the high tension side in KV [15] $\qquad$ 15 <br> enter line to line voltage of the low tension side in $V$ [400] $\qquad$ 400 <br> enter [0] for star or [1] delta connections at the high tension side [1] 1 <br> enter [0] for star or [1] delta connections at the low tension side [0] 0 <br> enterthe max current density allowed [4] $\qquad$ 4 <br> enter the knee point of the CRGO steel in Tesla [1.9] $\qquad$ 1.9 <br> enter the over excitation percentage [10\%] $\qquad$ 10 <br> enter the Bmin value in Tesla [1.5]__1.5 <br> enter the minimum no. of secondary turns [1] $\qquad$ 1 <br> enter the maximum no. of secondary turns [100] $\qquad$ 100 <br> enter the minimum core diameter [70] $\qquad$ 70 <br> enter the maximum core diameter [300] $\qquad$ 300 <br> enter the desired number of steps for the iron core [7] $\qquad$ 7 <br> enter the the thickness of Si-steels sheets,press enter for [.27] $\qquad$ .27 <br> enter the the stacking factor for Si-steels sheets, press enter for [.97] $\qquad$ .96 enter the the building factor for core assembly, press enter for [1.15] $\qquad$ 1.15 <br> press [0] if you do not have all steps,otherwise press [enter] $\qquad$ <br> enter the order of not availables in [__] <br> enter the order of not availables in [__] <br> enter the order of not availables in [__] <br> enter as nubmer, the desired design tolerance of the value of $2 \%$, press ENTER for 4 <br> enter the insulation paper thickness to be used between layers of round wire coils, press ENTER for[.15]. <br> What $2 \%$ do you want to use : 1:using IR, IX , 2 :using VI, VR 2, 3 : Both of two 2 must be in range <br> $f_{\checkmark}$ enter the no. of desired way of $2 \%$ calculation, or press ENTER for option no 1 |
| :---: |
|  |  |

Figure 3.6 Input data to the algorithm

### 3.6.2 Lower and upper bounds

The lower and upper bounds of design variables are fixed based on practical manufacturability range and availability on market. The table below displays the lower and upper bounds of the design variables.

Table 3.4 Design variables lower and upper bounds

| No. | Design Variables | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Secondary number of turns | 1 | 100 |
| 2 | Core diameter | 70 | 300 |

### 3.6.3 Transformer Design Constraints

Every design has to comply with a set of constraints according to standards to ensure a proper and safe operation of the transformer.
Table 3.5 lists the constraints used for the design of the 200 kVA transformer.
Table 3.5 Design constraints for 200kVA distribution transformer

| No. | Design constraints | Values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Current density A/mm ${ }^{2}$ | $\leq 4$ |
| 2 | Maximum allowed load loss in W | $<2700$ |
| 3 | Maximum allowed no load loss in W | $<600$ |
| 4 | Guaranteed percentage of impedance in $\%$ | $3.6 \leq \mathrm{Z} \leq 4.4$ |
| 5 | Efficiency in $\%$ | $\geq 98$ |
| 6 | $\frac{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}}=\frac{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{S}}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{P}}}$ |  |

### 3.6.4 Process of design optimization

Next is the optimization process that takes place looking for an optimal transformer design. The process starts at the lower bound initial values and searches within the range of the upper and lower bounds using the specified non-linear inequality constraints.

### 3.6.5 Total losses calculation

After the optimal design variables are obtained, the no-load loss and load loss for the distribution transformer to be designed are calculated. Finally, a total loss is calculated by the summation of the no-load loss and load loss.

## CHAPTER FOUR

## 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this chapter, the results of the optimal transformer design found by implementing the Brute force search algorithm are presented and briefly discussed. Additionally, a comparison is made between the optimal design obtained using the algorithms and the analytical design obtained from the transformer manufacturer company.

### 4.1 Design optimization outputs using algorithms

The following figures shows the algorithm outputs of optimal design variables in which the distribution transformer loss is minimized and operational constraints are met.

Figure 4.1 shows the outputs from the BFSA that describes the minimum total losses of the transformer. The figure also shows the characters of the minimum total loss.

```
Command Window
    min_total_loss =
        1.994133261374044e+03
    Watt
    Char_of_min_total_10ss=
        1.0e+06 *
    Columns 1 through 6
    0.018885000000000
    Columns 7 through 12
    0.000002000000000
    Columns 13 through 18
                            0.0.000015000000000 0.000001000000000 0.000288000000000 0.000002000000000
    Columns 19 through 24
    0.000239200000000 0.000320000000000 0.000280000000000

Figure 4.1 Outputs of algorithm - minimum total losses

Figure 4.2 shows the characters of the minimum total loss in the transformer from column 25 to column 66.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Command Window} & (1) \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Columns 25 through 30} & \(\wedge\) \\
\hline & 0.000003294000000 & 0.000007793113276 & 0.000000570304099 & 0.000084000000000 & 0.000024000000000 & 0.000021000000000 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Columns 31 through 36} \\
\hline & 0.000000150000000 & 0.000097000000000 & 0.000001000000000 & 0.000078424000000 & 0.000203000000000 & 0.000259200000000 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Columns 37 through 42} \\
\hline & 0.000416048000000 & 0.000429000000000 & 0.000334000000000 & 0.000656204100674 & 0.000000793423424 & 0.000598744860197 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Columns 43 through 48} \\
\hline & 0.000383876508770 & 0.000221100000000 & 0.000337624000000 & 0.017365153392718 & 1.721478897716700 & 0.000015539755535 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Columns 49 through 54} \\
\hline & 0.000125217642772 & 0.000000002974076 & 0.000003808272143 & 0.000000003616804 & 0.000004631277911 & 0.000904200959029 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Columns 55 through 60} \\
\hline & 0.000274446098401 & 0.000030753885559 & 0.000119162022497 & 0.000013051016677 & 0.000000105634353 & 0.000948005861266 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Columns 61 through 66} \\
\hline \(f_{\sim}^{x}\) & 0.000393713755251 & 0.001341719616517 & 0.001395388401177 & 0.000000038561580 & 0.000003900930220 & 0.000004000000000 & \(\checkmark\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Figure 4.2 Characters of the min from column 25 to column 66
Figure 4.3 shows the characters of the minimum total loss in the transformer from column 67
to column 78.


Figure 4.3 Characters of the min from column 67 to column 78

Figure 4.4 shows the time elapsed by the algorithm in the transformer design optimization process.


Figure 4.4 Time elapsed
Table 4.1 shows the outputs of the algorithm from column 1 to column 12 that describes all characteristics of the optimized distribution transformer where total loss is minimum.

Table 4.1 Outputs of the algorithm from column 1 to column 12


Table 4.2 shows the outputs of the algorithm from column 13 to column 25 that describes all characteristics of the optimized distribution transformer where total loss is minimum.

Table 4.2 Outputs of the algorithm from column 13 to column 25


Table 4.3 shows the outputs of the algorithm from column 26 to column 38 that describes all characteristics of the optimized distribution transformer where total loss is minimum.

Table 4.3 Outputs of the algorithm from column 26 to column 38


Table 4.4 shows the outputs of the algorithm from column 39 to column 51 that describes all characteristics of the optimized distribution transformer where total loss is minimum.
Table 4.4 Outputs of the algorithm from column 39 to column 51


Table 4.5 shows the outputs of the algorithm from column 52 to column 64 that describes all characteristics of the optimized distribution transformer where total loss is minimum.

Table 4.5 Outputs of the algorithm from column 52 to column 64


Table 4.6 shows the outputs of the algorithm from column 65 to column 76 that describes all characteristics of the optimized distribution transformer where total loss is minimum.

Table 4.6 Outputs of the algorithm from column 65 to column 76


The results of the design variables from the analytically designed transformer and optimal transformer design variables found using the BFSA and GA are shown in table 4.7.

Table 4.7 Comparison of design variables
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline No. & Design variables & Analytical & BFSA & GA \\
\hline 1 & Secondary number of turns & 42 & 25 & 34 \\
\hline 2 & Core diameter & 145 & 197 & 201 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4.8 Comparison of losses, percentage of impedance, and efficiency
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline No. & Parameters & Analytical & BFSA & GA & Standard \\
\hline 1 & No load loss(W) & 338.90 & 598.74 & 599.14 & \(<600\) \\
\hline 2 & Load loss(W) & 2417.60 & 1395.40 & 1490.50 & \(<2700\) \\
\hline 3 & Total loss(W) & 2756.50 & 1994.14 & 2089.64 & \(<3300\) \\
\hline 4 & Efficiency (\%) & 98.64 & 99.01 & 98.96 & \(\geq 98\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The design output shows that the design variables using the analytical method are different from that of using the optimization algorithm.

Regarding the losses on the existing distribution transformers, a 338.90 W no-load loss and a 2417.60 W load loss thus, a total loss of 2756.50 W were reported, this is an approved test report confirmed by the manufacturer EEG-PEMI by taking a sample test value of 200kVA distribution transformer delivered to the customer ( as depicted in appendix 1). The designed transformer using the BFSA has a no-load loss of 598.74 W and a load loss of 1395.40 W , thus, a total loss of 1994.14 W . The calculated percentage of short circuit impedance value \(3.90 \%\) is acceptable as it is in the range of ( \(3.6 \%\) to \(4.4 \%\) ) as stated on IEC 60076-1.

Figure 4.5 shows a comparison of the different designs for the total losses of the distribution transformer. The analytical design has the highest total losses. The BFSA and GA optimized designs have noticeably lower losses.


Figure 4.5 Losses comparison

Further, the optimally designed transformer has an efficiency of \(99.01 \%\) which is higher than the transformer designed analytically.


Figure 4.6 Efficiency comparison
In terms of energy saving, the optimal design using BFSA can bring \(6,678.27 \mathrm{kWh} /\) year \((0.76236 \mathrm{~kW} * 8760 \mathrm{~h} /\) year \()\) savings per a single transformer.


Figure 4.7 Energy saving comparison

From the results above it can be found that BFSA is the most suitable method because of:
Its timing is competitive to other methods timing.
\(\stackrel{\Perp}{\Perp}\) It grants the optimum solution.
\({ }^{4}\) It doesn't need any additional toolboxes to run.
\({ }^{4}\) It offers a lot of information; returns not only the optimum solution but also all possible accepted designs.

\subsection*{4.2 Cost Estimation}

\subsection*{4.2.1 Material Cost of the Transformer designed using analytical method}

The material cost (taking only major costs) of the designed distribution transformer using the analytical method is calculated in table 4.9

Table 4.9 Material cost of the designed transformer using analytical method
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Material type & Core material & Copper material \\
\hline Weight & 370.73 Kg & 516.574 Kg \\
\hline Price & \(156.83 \mathrm{Birr} / \mathrm{kg}\) & \(470.56 \mathrm{Birr} / \mathrm{kg}\) \\
\hline Total price & \(58,141.15 \mathrm{Birr}\) & \(243,079.06 \mathrm{Birr}\) \\
\hline Total Material Cost & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\(\mathbf{3 0 1 , 2 2 0 . 2 1 ~ B i r r}\)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Therefore, the designed transformer using the analytical method has a total material cost (by taking only major costs) of \(301,220.21\) Birr. The price does not include a few direct, indirect materials, and labour costs.

\subsection*{4.2.2 Total losses cost of the transformer designed using analytical method}

The total losses cost of the transformer designed using the analytical method is calculated:
\[
\begin{equation*}
L C=(A * N L L)+(B * L L) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

Where,
LC is Losses cost
A factor \(=\) Cost of no load losses in Birr/W, In this case \((\mathrm{A}=6.3 * 47.00=296.10)\) [14]
\(B\) factor \(=\) Cost of load losses in Birr/W, In this case \((B=1.8 * 47.00=84.60)\) [14]
1 USD = 47.00 Birr
NLL = No load losses in W
LL = Load losses in W

From the manufacturer EEG-PEMI given a sample test result certificate for 200kVA distribution transformer, the no-load loss is 338.90 W and the load loss is 2417.60 W . Hence, the total owning cost will be:
\(\mathrm{LC}=(296.10 * 338.90)+(84.60 * 2417.60)\)
\(=100,348.29+204,528.96\)
= 304, 877.25 Birr

\subsection*{4.2.3 Total owning cost of the transformer designed using analytical method}

The total owning cost is used to determine the money (Birr) value of the losses over the life of the transformer.
Total owning cost calculation [15]
\(T O C=M C+L C\)
Where,
TOC is the total owning cost
MC is the material cost of the transformer
LC is Losses cost
From the above calculation, material cost is \(301,220.21\) Birr, and losses cost is \(304,877.25\) Birr. Thus, the total owning cost of the designed transformer using the analytical method is calculated as follows:

TOC \((\) Analytical DT \()=301,220.21\) Birr \(+304,877.25\) Birr
= 606,097.46 Birr
In Figure 4.3 a comparison of the different designs method for the 200 kVA distribution transformer is displayed in which the bars refer to the material, losses, and total costs. The analytical design method used by the transformer manufacturer shows to have the highest losses and total cost.


Figure 4.8 Cost comparison
Although the distribution transformer from the manufacturer EEG-PEMI has the lowest material cost, the designed distribution transformer using an optimization algorithm has the lowest total owning cost. Thus any customer who is willing to purchase the transformer should consider the total owning cost of that transformer rather than considering only the material cost. Transformer purchasing cost should be based on the total owning cost.

\section*{CHAPTER FIVE}

\section*{5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS}

\subsection*{5.1 Conclusion}

This thesis considers analysis and design which gives all the acceptable solutions, design dimensions and performance parameters of a loss minimized distribution transformer. This thesis paper presented the analytical and algorithm-based design results regarding the reduction of distribution transformer losses. From the BFSA optimal design output, the no-load loss is 598.74 W and the load loss is 1395.40 W . The results from the optimization algorithm show that the design reduces the total losses on the existing distribution transformer selected for the study from 2756.50 W to \(1,994.14 \mathrm{~W}\) by 762.36 W , thus representing a percentage reduction of \(27.66 \%\). If this saving is applied to the existing \(49,200 \mathrm{kVA}\) distribution transformers of the Jimma town route of the case study area, the saving will be \(37,355.64 \mathrm{~W}\). To put \(37,355.64 \mathrm{~W}\) savings into context: \(37,355.64 \mathrm{~W}\) is equivalent to 2,490 units of 15 W fluorescent light bulbs enough for 623 small houses (with 4 bulbs per house). In terms of energy saving, applying the design on the 49 distribution transformers can bring \(327,235.40 \mathrm{kWh} /\) year \((0.76236 \mathrm{~kW} * 49 * 8760 \mathrm{~h} /\) year \()\). Note that, this is the saving associated with replacing only \(49,200 \mathrm{kVA}\) distribution transformer units. If the designed transformer is to be implemented on a larger scale across the electrical distribution networks of Ethiopia, the magnitude of savings would be huge. Moreover, the designed distribution transformer using an optimization algorithm has a slightly increased initial cost, but it has the lowest total owning cost. Thus, the design is cost-effective.

\subsection*{5.2 Recommendations}

This thesis work does not include the design of the body/tank of the transformer. Thus, it is recommended to be included in future work for complete transformer design. The design is new and has not been tested earlier in service, thus it is further recommended if a proto-type transformer will be manufactured and the practical test would be made before undertaking commercial production.
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\section*{7 APPENDICES}

\section*{Appendix 1: Secondary data of distribution transformer from EEG-PEMI}

 Etho-ensineerug Goup Potrer Equipment Manutacturing lidusuy
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Reting & 200KVA & Scrial Number: & 20003038 & Date:12/10/2013 \\
\hline Pri rated vettage & 15 kV & Pri.feted & 7.70 A & Type of rooling: ONAN \\
\hline Sre. rated votiage & 400 V & Sec. ratrd current: & 289 A & \\
\hline Vecter krezp: & DVN5 & Frequency & sostz. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

3.WINDING RESISTANCE MEASUREMENT AT ANBIENT TENHERRTURE ( \(\Omega\) )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Amb.temp.... & Tap no & UV & VW & UW \\
\hline IIv resistance & 3 & 14.8 & 14.8 & 14.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline - Phave & Rated voltage (v) & Current (A) & Lasses(w) & \% Noload current \\
\hline Urh & 403.63 & 1.67 & 80.6 & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{0.54\%} \\
\hline Vph & 400.91 & 1.35 & 101.8 & \\
\hline w ph & 356.15 & 1.58 & 156.5 & \\
\hline Average & 400.24 & 1.53 & 338.9 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{S. LOADLOSS (TAP3) AT AMIBIENT TEMPERATURE TEM \({ }^{6} \mathrm{C}\)} \\
\hline Phave & voltage (v) & Rated Current (A) & Losses( n ) & \% Impedance \\
\hline UPh & 637.09 & 7.70 & 802.4 & \multirow{4}{*}{6.23\%} \\
\hline \(V \mathrm{ph}\) & 630.65 & 7.79 & 909.9 & \\
\hline w \(\mathrm{p}^{5}\) & 643.76 & 7.65 & 705.3 & \\
\hline Atcrage & 637.17 & 7.71 & 2417.6 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{6. SEPARATE SOURCE POWER FREQUANCY WITHSTAND TEST} \\
\hline Test No. & Test & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Applied } \\
\text { Voltage(KV) } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & Duration (Sce) & Remark \\
\hline 1 & D/n ItV winding stiv wlading connectrd to the tank 8 earth & 38 & 60 & Withstood \\
\hline 2 & Wal.V winding a IIV winding cunnecied to the tank \& earth & 3 & 60 & Wishsiood \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

(Source: Ethio-Engineering Group, Power Equipment Manufacturing Industry)

\section*{Appendix 2: Western Region Distribution System Transformer Data (Quantity)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{28}{|c|}{Western Region Wire Business II Network Data (Trafo)} \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{No.} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Region} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Distric Name} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{25 KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{50KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{100KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{200KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{315KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{400KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{500KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{630KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{800KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1250KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1600KVA} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2000KVA} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Total} \\
\hline & & & 33KV & 15 KV & 33KV & 15 KV & 33KV & 15KV & 33 KV & 15KV & 33KV & 15KV & 33KV & 15 KV & 33KV & 15KV & 33 KV & 15 KV & 33KV & 15 KV & 33KV & 15KV & 33KV & 15KV & 33KV & 15KV & \\
\hline 1 & \multirow{26}{*}{} & Jimma-1 & 6 & 12 & 16 & 8 & 16 & 8 & 7 & 23 & 1 & 12 & & 2 & & & & 3 & & 3 & & 10 & & & & & 81 \\
\hline 2 & & Jimma-II & 2 & 36 & & 16 & & 12 & & 19 & & 35 & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & 119 \\
\hline 3 & & Asendabo & & & 26 & & 22 & & 9 & & 4 & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & 62 \\
\hline 4 & & Sokoru & 3 & 7 & 5 & 22 & 7 & 25 & 1 & 14 & & 12 & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & 97 \\
\hline 5 & & Toba & 2 & 3 & 10 & 16 & 18 & 4 & & 5 & & 3 & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & 62 \\
\hline 6 & & Agaro & 1 & 9 & 14 & 67 & 10 & 23 & 3 & 20 & & 31 & & & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & 180 \\
\hline 7 & & Bedele & & 8 & 5 & 5 & 12 & 13 & 5 & 13 & & 12 & & & & & & 3 & & & & 1 & & 2 & & & 79 \\
\hline 8 & & Gera & 2 & & 15 & & 11 & & 3 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 32 \\
\hline 9 & & Gatira & 6 & & 5 & & 11 & & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & 25 \\
\hline 10 & & Chora & 5 & 1 & 8 & 3 & 18 & 5 & 8 & 4 & 5 & 2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 59 \\
\hline 11 & & Chewaka & 1 & & 6 & & 7 & & 5 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 19 \\
\hline 12 & & Arjo & 12 & 7 & 6 & 4 & 13 & 8 & 6 & 2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 58 \\
\hline 13 & & Sire & 8 & & 5 & , & 3 & & 4 & & 8 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 29 \\
\hline 14 & & Gutin & 7 & & 35 & & 35 & & 2 & & 5 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 84 \\
\hline 15 & & Gida & 4 & & 42 & & 29 & & 6 & & 7 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 88 \\
\hline 16 & & Nekemt & 13 & 28 & 21 & 13 & 41 & 38 & 10 & 30 & 5 & 30 & & & & & & 6 & & 2 & & 2 & & & & & 239 \\
\hline 17 & & Kiremu & 1 & & 41 & & 13 & & 9 & & 2 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 66 \\
\hline 18 & & Bonga & 1 & 8 & 20 & 23 & 20 & 24 & 1 & 13 & 3 & 6 & & & & & & & & & & 4 & & & & & 123 \\
\hline 19 & & Chena & 5 & & 8 & & 28 & & 3 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 44 \\
\hline 20 & & Oda & 6 & & 2 & & 12 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 21 \\
\hline 21 & & Daka & 5 & & 5 & & 7 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 17 \\
\hline 22 & & Mizan & 10 & 28 & 50 & 38 & 42 & 35 & 9 & 18 & 2 & 24 & & & & 1 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & 258 \\
\hline 23 & & Teppi & 3 & 10 & 8 & 15 & 16 & 32 & 3 & 6 & & 8 & & & & & & & & & & 3 & & & & & 104 \\
\hline 24 & & Meti & 4 & & 11 & 2 & 13 & 7 & 1 & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 39 \\
\hline 25 & & Dimtu & & 5 & & 7 & & 4 & & 5 & & 5 & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 26 \\
\hline 26 & & Limmu & 14 & & 26 & & 27 & & 19 & & 3 & & 2 & & 1 & & & & & & & & & & & & 92 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Total} & 121 & 162 & 390 & 239 & 431 & 238 & 116 & 173 & 47 & 180 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 17 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 20 & & & & & 2149 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(Source: EEU, Jimma district distribution system office)

\section*{Appendix 3: Matlab Source code for design optimization of a distribution transformer}

\section*{Brute force search algorithm source code}
clear
clc
format long
\(\mathrm{P}=\operatorname{input}(\) 'enter the KVA of the transformer \(\qquad\) ');
\(\mathrm{ff}=\) input('enter the frequency in Hz [50] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty(ff)==1
\(\mathrm{ff}=50\);
end
Vhtl= input('enter line to line voltage of the high tension side in KV [15] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty \((\mathrm{Vhtl})==1\)
Vhtl=15;
end
Vltl= input('enter line to line voltage of the low tension side in V [400] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty \((\) Vltl \()==1\)
Vltl=400;
end
Cht=input('enter [0] for star or [1] delta connections at the high tension side [1] ');
if isempty \((\) Cht \()==1\)
Cht=1;
end
Clt=input('enter [0] for star or [1] delta connections at the low tension side [0] ');
if isempty \((\mathrm{Clt})==1\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}=0\);
end
cd_max=input('enterthe max current density allowed [4] ');
if isempty \((\) cd_max \()==1\)
cd_max \(=4\);
end
Ihtl=P*1000/(Vhtl*1000*sqrt(3));

Iltl=P*1000/(Vltl*sqrt(3));
if \(\mathrm{Cht}==0\)
Ihtp=Ihtl; \% the current on phase \(=\) the current online
Vhtp=Vhtl/(sqrt(3));
else
Vhtp=Vhtl;
Ihtp=Ihtl/(sqrt(3));
end
if \(\mathrm{Clt}==0\)
Iltp=Iltl; \% the current on phase \(=\) the current online
Vltp=Vltl/(sqrt(3));
else
Vltp=Vltl; Iltp=Iltl/(sqrt(3));
end
knee=input('enter the knee point of the CRGO steel in Tesla [1.9] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty(knee)==1
knee=1.9;
end
ovex=input('enter the over excitation percentage [10\%] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty \((\) ovex \()==1\)
ovex=10;
end
Bmax=knee/(1+(ovex/100)); \% firt constraint
Bmin=input('enter the Bmin value in Tesla [1.5]__');
if isempty(Bmin)
Bmin=1.5;
end
\(\mathrm{Na}=\) input('enter the minimum no. of secondary turns [1] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty \((\mathrm{Na})==1\)
\(\mathrm{Na}=1\);
end
\(\mathrm{Nb}=\) input('enter the maximum no. of secondary turns [100] \(\qquad\) _);
if isempty \((\mathrm{Nb})==1\)
\(\mathrm{Nb}=100\);
end
\(\mathrm{Nlt}=\mathrm{Na}: \mathrm{Nb} ; \%\) no of low tension turns (( high ampere ))
VperT=Vltp./Nlt;
\(\mathrm{Da}=\) input('enter the minimum core diameter [70] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty \((\mathrm{Da})==1\)
Da=70;
end
\(\mathrm{Db}=\) input('enter the maximum core diameter [300] \(\qquad\) ');
if isempty \((\mathrm{Db})==1\)
Db=300;
end
\(\mathrm{Dc}=\mathrm{Da}: \mathrm{Db} ; \%\) possible core dia
\(\mathrm{nn}=\) input('enter the desired number of steps for the iron core [7]___ ');
if isempty(nn)
\(\mathrm{nn}=7\);
end
th=input('enter the the thickness of Si-steels sheets,press enter for [.27]___ ');
if isempty(th)==1
th=.27;
end
SFactor=input('enter the the stacking factor for Si -steels sheets, press enter for [.97] \(\qquad\) '); if isempty(SFactor)==1

SFactor=.97;
end
\(\mathrm{Bf}=\) =input('enter the the building factor for core assembly,press enter for \([1.15]_{\ldots} \quad\) _ ');
if isempty \((\mathrm{Bf})==1\)
\(\mathrm{Bf}=1.15\);
end
```

counter=0;
S= 50:10:280;
reply = input('press [0] if you do not have all steps,otherwise press [enter]____ ', 's');
if reply == '0'
nS = input('enter the NOT available step sizes in the form [ ____ ]');
for i= 1: length(nS)
t= S==nS(i);
S(t)=[];
end
end
for ii= 1:length(Dc);
n=nn;
D_CORE=Dc(ii);
s=S/2;
R=Dc(ii)/2;
y=s(s<R);
if n> length(y);
n=length(y);
end
yy=sort(combnk(y,n),2,'descend');
clear f
f(:,2:(n+1))=yy(:,1:n);
f(:,1)=f(:,1)+R;% entering the first column of R
[g,k]=size(f);
a(g)=0;
for j=1 :g
for i=2:k
att=4*f(j,i).*((R^2-f(j,i).^2).^0.5 - (R^2-f(j,i-1).^2).^0.5);
a(j)=a(j)+att;
end
end

```
\([\operatorname{arxx}, \mathrm{M}]=\max (\mathrm{a}) ; \%\) value and order of best area achievable core_corners=0;

Ac=arxx*SFactor/1000000;
for \(\mathrm{jj}=1\) :length(VperT);
\(\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{VperT}(\mathrm{jj}) /(4.44 * \mathrm{Ac} * \mathrm{ff}) ;\)
if \(\mathrm{B}>=\) Bmin
if \(\mathrm{B}<=\mathrm{Bmax}\)
counter \(=\) counter +1 ;
T (counter,: \()=[\mathrm{Nlt}(\mathrm{jj})\) Dc(ii) B VperT(jj) Ac core_corners];
end
end
end
clear y a yy s Y YY x xx qx X qX
end
exist_T=exist ('T');
if exist_T \(==1\)
proceed \(1=1\);
else
proceed \(1=0\);
end
clear foil flat round cooling_cannels ins_paper tol_Z ins_th
foil(:,[2 3])=[400 0.3
3500.37
3700.6
3400.72
4000.72
4150.95

4001
5170.8
4201.2
4601.5
5501.4

5002
600 2];
foil(:,1)=(1:length(foil));
foiledit=input('enter the order of not availables in [__]');
foil(foiledit,:)=[];
foil(:,4)=foil(:,2).*foil(:,3); \%area
flat(:,,[2 3])=[5 2
62.6
8.22 .5
5.254
10.52 .6
8.24 .6
8.44 .6
16.45
14.56
18.8 5.4];
flat(:, 1)=(1:length(flat));
flatedit=input('enter the order of not availables in [__]');
flat(flatedit,:)=[];
flat(:,4)=flat(:,2).*flat(:,3);
roundss(:,[2 3])=[0.72 0.97
0.750 .82
0.951 .04
11.08
1.251 .333
1.31 .385
1.71 .791
1.81 .916
2.242 .333
2.42 .518
```

2.52.631
2.652.7613
2.82.9015
2.93.026
3.153.294
3.553.6575
3.753.861
4.111];
roundss(:,1)=(1:length(roundss));
roundedit=input('enter the order of not availables in [__]');
roundss(roundedit,:)=[];
roundss(:,4)=(pi *(roundss(:,2)).^2)/4.; %area
if Vhtl==15
if P}<=5
max_no_load_loss=225;
max_load_losses=1150;
mean_Z=4;
elseif P}<=10
max_no_load_loss=290;
max_load_losses=1650;
mean_Z=4;
elseif P}<=20
max_no_load_loss=600;
max_load_losses=2700;
mean_Z=4;
elseif P<=300
max_no_load_loss=650;
max_load_losses=3550;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif P<=500
max_no_load_loss=1100;

```
```

max_load_losses=5500;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif P}<=75
max_no_load_loss=1300;
max_load_losses=7800;
mean_Z=6;
elseif P}<=100
max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=10500;
mean_Z=6;
elseif P<=1500
max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=17000;
mean_Z=6;
elseif P<=2000
max_no_load_loss=1700;
max_load_losses=22100;
mean_Z=6;
else
display('You have to enter some values manually')
max_no_load_loss=input('input the maximum allowable NO LOAD LOASSES ');
max_load_losses=input('input the maximum allowable FULL LOAD LOASSES ');
mean_Z=input('input the Granteed impedance value %');
end
end
if Vhtl==33
if }\textrm{P}<=5
max_no_load_loss=225;
max_load_losses=1150;
mean_Z=4;
elseif P<=100

```
```

max_no_load_loss=340;
max_load_losses=1550;
mean_Z=4;
elseif P<=200
max_no_load_loss=600;
max_load_losses=2700;
mean_Z=4;
elseif P}<=30
max_no_load_loss=650;
max_load_losses=3550;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif P<=500
max_no_load_loss=765;
max_load_losses=5500;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif P<=750
max_no_load_loss=1000;
max_load_losses=7800;
mean_Z=6;
elseif P<=1000
max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=10500;
mean_Z=6;
elseif P<=1500
max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=17000;
mean_Z=6;
elseif P<=2000
max_no_load_loss=1700;
max_load_losses=22100;
mean_Z=6;

```
else
display('You have to enter some values manually')
max_no_load_loss=input('input the maximum allowable NO LOAD LOASSES ');
max_load_losses=input('input the maximum allowable FULL LOAD LOASSES ');
mean_Z=input('input the Granteed impedance value \(\%\) ');
end
end
tol_Z=input('enter as nubmer, the desired design tolerance of the value of \(\mathrm{Z} \%\), press ENTER for 4 ');
if isempty \((\) tol_Z \()==1\)
tol_Z=4;
end
if Vltl <= 2000 \%distance between low tension coil and the core
lt_core=6;
else
lt_core=8;
end
if Vhtl <=11 \% clearances
lt_ht=8;
ht_ht=10;
coil_yoke=5;
cooling_canals_d=3.1;
hrht=16;
hrlt=14;
elseif Vhtl <=22
lt_ht=10;
ht_ht=12;
coil_yoke=7;
cooling_canals_d=6.1;
hrht=20;
hrlt=16;
```

else
lt_ht=12;
ht_ht=20;
coil_yoke=11;
cooling_canals_d=6.1;
hrht=20;
hrlt=16;
end
% as pessimistic situation : putting worse of found
% using the catalouge values
cl=[[0.1 0.00417
0.20.0156
0.30.0337
0.40.0581
0.50.0887
0.60.125
0.70.168
0.80.216
0.90.271
10.38
1.1 0.41
1.20.488
1.30.575
1.40.675
1.50.792
1.6 .935
1.7 1.15
1.81.5
1.9 1.86];
% polynomials of core losses interpolation
prc=7;

```
cll=polyfit(cl(:,1),cl(:,2),prc);
\% assigning the value suitable loss function to the rows of Flat based on
\% the core diameter
\% the costs in Birr currency
NLLC=296.10;
LLC=84.60;
Si_St=156.83;
\(\mathrm{Cu}=470.56\);
ins_th= input('enter the insulation paper thickness to be used between layers of round wire coils, press ENTER for[.15]__');
if isempty(ins_th)==1
ins_th=.15;
end
tic
if proceed \(1==1\)
\% flat low tension side
clear sharp_e Tnew Layer_lt mech_lt TperL_lt
flat_lt=flat;
[Rowz,Columnz]=size(flat_lt);
sharp_e(Rowz,1)=0;
for \(\mathrm{u}=1\) :Rowz
if flat_lt(u,3) <1.6
sharp_e \((\mathrm{u}, 1)=.5\);
elseif flat_lt \((u, 3)<2.24\)
sharp_e \((\mathrm{u}, 1)=.65\);
elseif flat_lt (u,3) <3.35
sharp_e \((\mathrm{u}, 1)=.8\);
else
sharp_e(u,1)=1;
end
end
```

flat_lt(:,4)=flat_lt(:,4)+(pi-4)*sharp_e.^2; % real area
cdlt=Iltp./(flat_lt(:,4));
flat_lt(:,5)=cdlt;
t= flat_lt(:,5)>cd_max;
flat_lt(t,:)=[];
if isempty(flat_lt)~=1
counter=1;
[Trs,Tcs]=size(T);
for i=1:Trs
for j = 1:T(i,1);% so: j= excatly as all possible TperL values
Tnew(counter,:)=T(i,:);
TperL_lt(counter,1)=j;
counter=counter+1;
end
end
Tnew(:,7)=TperL_lt;
flat_lt(:,6)=floor((flat_lt(: , 3).*(flat_lt(: ,5)).^2).\100); % no of layers between cooling canals
t= flat_lt(:,6)<=0;
flat_lt(t,6)=1;
clear TT
Stn=size(Tnew);
Sflat_lt=size(flat_lt);
TT((Stn(1)*Sflat_lt(1)),(Stn(2)+Sflat_lt(2)+1))=0;
counter=0;
mech_lt(Stn(1)*Sflat_lt(1),1)=0;
Layer_lt(Stn(1)*Sflat_lt(1),1)=0;
for i=1:Sflat_lt(1)
for j= 1:Stn(1)
counter=counter+1;
TT(counter,:)=[flat_lt(i,:), Tnew(j,:), 0];
TT(counter,14)=ceil(ceil(TT(counter,7)./TT(counter,13))./TT(counter,6))-1;

```
mech_lt(counter, 1\()=(\mathrm{TT}(\) counter,2)+.4).*TT(counter, 13); \%with the insulation paper
Layer_lt(counter,1)=ceil(TT(counter,7)./TT(counter,13)); \%NO. of layers at Low Tension
if TT (counter, 14)==0
TT(counter, 14)=1; \%no of cooling canals
end
end
end
TT=[TT mech_lt Layer_lt]; \%TT now has 16 elements
ins_lt=.6; \% constant for flats \(.2 \mathrm{X} 2+.1 \mathrm{X} 2\)
TT(:,17)= TT(:,16).* TT(:,3)+ TT(:,16).*ins_lt+TT(:,14).*cooling_canals_d; \%thickness of low
tension coil
\(\mathrm{TT}(:, 18)=\mathrm{TT}(:, 8)+2^{*} \mathrm{TT}(:, 17)+\mathrm{lt} \_\)core; \(\%\) outer diameter of low tension coil
\(\mathrm{TT}(:, 19)=\mathrm{TT}(:, 15)+2 *(\mathrm{hrlt}) ; \%\) coil height at the low tension
if isempty(TT)==1
proceed=0;
else
proceed \(=1\);
end
\% coilh_ht=coilh_lt;
\% mech_ht=coilh_ht-2*hrht;
N_ht=round((TT(:,7)*Vhtp*1000/ceil(Vltp))); \% mean no of high tension turns
Nx_ht=round(N_ht*1.05); \% no of high tension turns at last tap
\(\mathrm{TT}(:, 20)=\mathrm{TT}(:, 19)-2 *\) hrht; \% mechanical height of the high tension
\(\mathrm{TT}(:, 21)=\mathrm{Nx} \_\)ht; \% no of high tension turns at last tap inserted in the final solution matrix
else
proceed=0;
end
else
proceed=0;
end
if proceed \(==1\)
\% round high tension side
round_ht=roundss;
round_ht(:,4)=.25*pi*round_ht(:,2).^2;
cdht=Ihtp./round_ht(:,4);
round_ht(:,5)=cdht;
\(\mathrm{t}=\) round_ht(:,5)>cd_max;
round_ht(t,:)=[];
if isempty(round_ht) \(==0\)
round_proceed=1;
[rTT,cTT]=size(TT);
[rround_ht,cround_ht]=size(round_ht);
clear TTT
TTT(rTT*rround_ht,cTT+cround_ht)=0;
counter=0;
for \(\mathrm{j}=1\) :rTT
for \(\mathrm{i}=1\) :rround_ht
counter=counter +1 ;
TTT (counter,:)=[TT(j,:), round_ht(i,:)];
end
end
TTT(:,27)=floor(TTT(:,20)./TTT(:,24))-1; \% turns per layer
\(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{TTT}(:, 27)<1\);
\(\operatorname{TTT}(\mathrm{t},:)=[]\);
TTT(:,28) \(=\bmod (\mathrm{TTT}(:, 21), \operatorname{TTT}(:, 27))\); \% turns per last layer
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 29)=\operatorname{ceil}(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 21) . / T T T(:, 27))\); \% no of layers
TTT(:,30)= ins_th*ceil(( (4*TTT(:,27).*TTT(:,10)./8500 ) - ( TTT(:,24)-TTT(:,23)
)) ( \(2 / 3\) )/ins_th) ; \% insulation between layers
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 31)=\) floor \(\left(\left(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 23) .^{*}(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 26)) \wedge^{\wedge}\right) . \backslash 100\right) ; \%\) no of layers between cooling canals
\(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{TTT}(:, 31)<=0\);
TTT \((\mathrm{t}, 31)=1\);
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 32)=\operatorname{ceil}(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 29) . / \operatorname{TTT}(:, 31))-1 ; \%\) no of canals
\(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{TTT}(:, 32)<=0\);
\(\operatorname{TTT}(\mathrm{t}, 32)=1 ; \% \mathrm{~min}\) number of cooling canals \(=1\)
TTT \((:, 33)=T T T(:, 29) . * T T T(:, 24)+T T T(:, 29) . * T T T(:, 30)+T T T(:, 32) . *\) cooling_canals_d; \% thickness of HT coil
else
round_proceed=0;
end
else
round_proceed=0;
end
if round_proceed==1
\% No load losses ::: core losses
TTT \((:, 34)=T T T(:, 8)+\) lt_core; \(\%\) inner dia of low tension coil
TTT(:,35)=TTT(:,18)+2*lt_ht; \% inner dia of high tension coil
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 36)=\operatorname{TTT}(:, 35)+2 * \operatorname{TTT}(:, 33) ; \%\) outer dia of high tesion coil
\%Note:
\(\%\) the 2 mm cylinder is not considered because it is included in the ht_ht clearance
\(\% \mathrm{E}=\) distance between two following limbs in the core "between centers"
TTT(:,37)=ceil(TTT(:,36)+ht_ht); \%=E
TTT(:,38)=ceil(TTT(:,19)+2*coil_yoke); \% H
TTT \((:, 39)=((\operatorname{TTT}(:, 11) . *(3 * T T T(:, 38)+2 * T T T(:, 8)+4 * T T T(:, 37)) / 1 \mathrm{e} 3)) * 7650 ; \%\) core weight
TTT(:,40)=polyval(cll,TTT(:,9)); \% w/Kg losses of core
TTT \((:, 41)=T T T(:, 39) . * T T T(:, 40) * B f ; \%\) core losses
\% eleminating the violanting combinations
\(\mathrm{t}=(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 41))>\) max_no_load_loss;
TTT(t,:)=[];
if isempty \((\) TTT \()==0\)
R_to_Z=1;
TTT \((:, 42)=T \mathrm{TT}(:, 7) . *\) Iltp./TTT(:,2); \% \(\mathrm{H}==\) ampere/ axial meter
\% mean lenght of low tension coil
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 43)=(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 34)+\operatorname{TTT}(:, 34)+2 * T T T(:, 17)) / 2\);
\%mean length of high tension coil
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 44)=(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 35)+\operatorname{TTT}(:, 35)+2 * T T T(:, 33)) / 2\);
\%total length of low tension coil
TTT(:,45)=pi*TTT(:,43).*TTT(:,7);
\%total length of high tension coil at normal operation __the middle tap
TTT(:,46)=pi*TTT(:,44).*round(TTT(:,21)/1.05);
\%weight of low tension coil per phase
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 47)=T T T(:, 45) . * T T T(:, 4) * 8890 / 1 \mathrm{e} 9\);
\%weight of high tension coil per phase with the max no of turns
TTT \((:, 48)=(\mathrm{pi} * T T T(:, 44) . * T T T(:, 21)) . * T T T(:, 25) * 8890 / 1 \mathrm{e} 9\);
\% R20 for low tension
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 49)=(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 45) . / \mathrm{TTT}(:, 4))^{*} .00001724\);
\% R20 for high tension
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 50)=(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 46) . / \mathrm{TTT}(:, 25))^{*} .00001724\);
\% R75 for low tension
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 51)=T T T(:, 49) *(234.5+75) /(234.5+20)\);
\% R75 for high tension
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 52)=\operatorname{TTT}(:, 50) *(234.5+75) /(234.5+20)\);
\% copper resistance losses at low tension
TTT(:,53)=3*(Iltp^2)*TTT(:,51);
\% copper resistance losses at high tension
TTT(:,54)=3*(Ihtp^2)*TTT(:,52);
\% eddy losses at low tension coil
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 55)=\left(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 3) .^{\wedge}\right) . *\left(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 16) .^{\wedge} 2\right) . * T T T(:, 53) / 1 \mathrm{e} 5 ;\)
\% eddy losses at high tension coil
TTT(:,56)=(TTT(:,23).^4).*(TTT(:,29).^2).*TTT(:,54)/1e5;
\%connection losses at low tension coil
\(\operatorname{TTT}(:, 57)=\operatorname{Iltl} * T T T(:, 53) / 2 \mathrm{e} 4\);
\%connection losses at high tension coil
TTT(:,58)=Iht1*TTT(:,54)/2e4;
\%load losses at low tension

TTT(:,59)=TTT(:,53)+TTT(:,55)+TTT(:,57);
\%load losses at high tension
TTT \((:, 60)=\mathrm{TTT}(:, 54)+\mathrm{TTT}(:, 56)+\mathrm{TTT}(:, 58)\);
\% total copper losses
TTT \((:, 61)=(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 59)+\mathrm{TTT}(:, 60))\);
\%total load lossses with included stray losses
TTT(:,62)=(TTT(:,61))*1.04;
t=TTT(:,62)>max_load_losses;
TTT(t,:)=[];
end
if isempty(TTT)==1
R_to_Z=0;
end
else
R_to_Z=0;
end
if R _to_ \(\mathrm{Z}==1\)
\(\% \mathrm{Z}\) is to be calculated in two diferent ways
\% The first is
\(\% \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{I} * \operatorname{sqrt}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{X}^{\wedge} 2\right) / \mathrm{E} * 100 \%\)
\(\% \mathrm{R}\) : at \(75 \mathrm{C}::\) already found
\(\% \mathrm{X}:(2 \mathrm{pi})^{\wedge} 2 * \mathrm{u} 0 * \mathrm{f}^{*} \mathrm{~V} * \mathrm{I} /\left(\mathrm{h} *(\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{N})^{\wedge} 2\right) *(\mathrm{R} 1 * \mathrm{~d} 1 / 3+\mathrm{R} 2 * \mathrm{~d} 2 / 3+\mathrm{Rm} * \mathrm{~g})\)
clear Last h R1 R2 g Rm X_Reactance R751t R75ht R751t_total Z1 Kk Zz dm VI VR Z2
\(\mathrm{h}=\left(\left(.5^{*}(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 20)+\mathrm{TTT}(:, 15))\right)+(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 36)-\mathrm{TTT}(:, 34)) . /(2 * \mathrm{pi})\right)^{*} 1 \mathrm{e}-3 ;\)
\(\mathrm{R} 1=(.5 * \mathrm{TTT}(:, 34)+.5 * \mathrm{TTT}(:, 17))^{*} 1 \mathrm{e}-3\);
R2=(.5*TTT(:,35)+.5*TTT(:,33))*1e-3;
\(\mathrm{g}=.5 * 1 \mathrm{e}-3 *(\mathrm{TTT}(:, 35)-\mathrm{TTT}(:, 18))\);
\(\mathrm{Rm}=.5^{*}((\mathrm{TTT}(:, 18) * 1 \mathrm{e}-3)+\mathrm{g})\);
TTT(:,63)=((4*(pi)^2)*(4*pi*1e-7)*ff*Vltp*Iltp./((TTT(:,10).^2).*h))
.*(R1.*TTT(:,17)/3000+R2.*TTT(:,33)/3000+Rm.*g); \% X Reactance
X_Reactance=TTT(:,63);
```

R751t=TTT(:,51);
R75ht=TTT(:,52);
R75lt_total=R75lt.*(TTT(:,45)/1000)./(TTT(:,4)/1000000);
R_resistance=(R75lt+(R75ht/((Vhtp*1000/Vltp)^2)))*Iltp/(Vltp);
%Method 1
Z1=100*sqrt(X_Reactance.^2+R_resistance.^2);
% Method 2
Kk=1-(TTT(:,17)+lt_ht+TTT(:,33))./(10* pi*.1*TTT(:,15));
Zz=(lt_ht/10)+((TTT(:,17)+TTT(:,33))/30);
dm=(lt_ht+TTT(:,18))/10;
VI=Iltp*TTT(:,7).*Kk.*Zz.*dm./(806*.1*TTT(:,15).*TTT(:,10));
VR=(TTT(:,62)+TTT(:,41))/(10*P); % uses a the total losses as in Sudatraf excel files
Z2=sqrt(VI.^2+VR.^2);
% Method 3
% Z= Iltp * sqrt(R75lt.^2 + X_Reactance.^2)./Vltp * 100;
if zs==1
Z2=mean_Z;
end
if zs==2
Z1=mean_Z;
end
TTT(:,64)=Z1;
TTT(:,65)=Z2;
Last=TTT;
t=Last(:,64)>(1+tol_Z/100)*mean_Z;
Last(t,:)=[];
if isempty(Last)==0
t=Last(:,64)<(1-tol_Z/100)*mean_Z;
Last(t,:)=[];
if isempty(Last)==0
% To make the two types of Z in the range of stds as below

```
```

t=Last(:,65)>(1+tol_Z/100)*mean_Z;
Last(t,:)=[];
if isempty(Last)==0
t=Last(:,65)<(1-tol_Z/100)*mean_Z;
Last(t,:)=[];
if isempty(Last)==0
Last(:,66)=Last(:,62)+ Last(:,41); % Total TR losses
Last(:,67)=LLC*Last(:,62)+ NLLC*Last(:,41); %Cost of losses
Last(:,68)=Si_St*Last(:,39); % Core material Costs
Last(:,69)=Cu*(3*Last(:,47)+3*Last(:,48));% Copper material costs
Last(:,70)=Last(:,68)+Last(:,69); % Total materials cost si-st core and copper
Last(:,71)=Last(:,70)+Last(:,67); % Total Costs(Materials cost + Losses cost)
%Total length of high tension coil
Last(:,72)=pi*Last(:,44).*Last(:,21);
% Total weight of lt copper
Last(:,73)=3*Last(:,47);
% Total weight of Ht copper
Last(:,74)=3*Last(:,48);
% Total weight of copper in TR
Last(:,75)=Last(:,73)+Last(:,74);
Last(:,76)=1;
Last(:,77)=0;
R_Final=1;
else R_Final=0;
end
else R_Final=0;
end
else R_Final=0;
end
else R_Final=0;
end

```
else
R_Final=0;
end
clear
clc
design
stds
lt_flat
ht_round_2
losses_round_2
display('What Z\% do you want to use : 1:using IR,IX , 2:using VI,VR Z, 3: Both of two Z must be in range')
zs=input('enter the no. of desired way of Z\% calculation, or press ENTER for option no 1');
if isempty(zs)
zs=1;
end
Z_round_2
clc
if R_Final==0
Last=0;
display('NO SOLUTION FOUND')
end
ord= size(Last);
order \(=\{1: \operatorname{ord}(1)\}^{\prime}\);
Last=[order,Last];
openvar('Last')
[min_total_loss, raw_order]=min(Last(:,67));
min_total_loss;
Char_of_min_total_loss= Last(raw_order,:);
[best_cost,raw_order]=min(Last(:,72));
best_cost;
```

Char_of_best= Last(raw_order,:);
time_end2=toc;
time_elapsed_Min_Sec=mod(time_end2,60);
clear
clc
design
stds
lt_flat
ht_round_2
losses_round_2
display('What Z% do you want to use : 1:using IR,IX ,2:using VI,VR Z, 3: Both of two Z must
be in range')
zs=input('enter the no. of desired way of Z% calculation,or press ENTER for option no 1');
if isempty(zs)
zs=1;
end
z_round_2
clc
if R_Final==0
Last=0;
display('NO SOLUTION FOUND')
end
ord= size(Last);
order=[1:ord(1)]';
Last=[order,Last];
openvar('Last')
[min_total_loss, raw_order]=min(Last(:,67));
min_total_loss
display('Watt')
Char_of_min_total_loss= Last(raw_order,:)
[best_cost,raw_order]=min(Last(:,72));

```
best_cost;
display('Birr');
Char_of_best= Last(raw_order,:);
time_end2=toc;
time_elapsed_Min_Sec=mod(time_end2,60)

\section*{Genetic algorithm source code}

Rated_Power_KVA = 100;
Frequency_HZ=50;
Line_Voltage_high_tension= 11;
Line_Voltage_low_tension= 433;
Connection_high_tension \(=1 ; \% 1\) for delta, 0 for star
Connection_low_tension=0;\% 1 for delta, 0 for star
max_current_densit=4;
Line_current_high_tension=Rated_Power_KVA*1000/(Line_Voltage_high_tension*1000*sqrt( 3));

Line_current_low_tension=Rated_Power_KVA*1000/(Line_Voltage_low_tension*sqrt(3));
if Connection_low_tension==0
phase_current_low_tension=Line_current_low_tension;
\(\%\) the current on phase \(=\) the current on line
phase_Voltage_low_tension=Line_Voltage_low_tension/(sqrt(3));
else
phase_Voltage_low_tension=Line_Voltage_low_tension;
phase_current_low_tension=Line_current_low_tension/(sqrt(3));
end
if Connection_high_tension==0
phase_current_high_tension=Line_current_high_tension; \% the current on phase \(=\) the current on line
```

phase_Voltage_high_tension=Line_Voltage_high_tension/(sqrt(3));

```
else
phase_Voltage_high_tension=Line_Voltage_high_tension;
phase_current_high_tension=Line_current_high_tension/(sqrt(3));
end
LT_No_Turns=s(1);
Core_Diameter=s(2);
Flat_Order=s(3);
Round_order=s(4);
LT_Turn_per_layer=s(5);
knee_point_Si_Steel=1.9;
over_exciting_perentage \(=10\);
max_B_allowed=knee_point_Si_Steel/(1+(over_exciting_perentage/100)) ; \% firt constraint
min_B_allowed=1.5;
Stacking_factor=.96;
Building_factor \(=1.15\);
available_Si_Steel_sizes \(=[50,60,70,80,90,100,110,120,130,140,150,160,170,180,190,200,210,2\)
20,230,240,250,260,270,280];
n_NO_Core_steps=7;
Thickness_Si_Steel_sheets=0.27;
insulator_thickness_LT_layers=.6;
HT_insulator_thickness=.15;
\%Area_full_set;
tol_z=2;
if Line_Voltage_high_tension==15
if Rated_Power_KVA<=50;
max_no_load_loss=225;
max_load_losses=1150;
mean_Z=4;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=100
max_no_load_loss=290;
```

max_load_losses=1650;
mean_Z=4;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=200
max_no_load_loss=600;
max_load_losses=2700;
mean_Z=4;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=300
max_no_load_loss=650;
max_load_losses=3550;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=500;
max_no_load_loss=1100;
max_load_losses=5500;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=750
max_no_load_loss=1300;
max_load_losses=7800;
mean_Z=6;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=1000
max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=10500;
mean_Z=6;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=1500;
max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=17000;
mean_Z=6;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=2000;
max_no_load_loss=1700;
max_load_losses=22100;
mean_Z=6;
end

```
end
if Line_Voltage_high_tension==33
if Rated_Power_KVA \(<=50\)
max_no_load_loss=225;
max_load_losses=1150;
mean_Z=4;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA \(<=100\)
max_no_load_loss=340;
max_load_losses=1550;
mean_Z=4;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=200
max_no_load_loss=600;
max_load_losses=2700;
mean_Z=4;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA \(<=300\)
max_no_load_loss=650;
max_load_losses=3550;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=500
max_no_load_loss=765;
max_load_losses=5500;
mean_Z=4.75;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=750
max_no_load_loss=1000;
max_load_losses=7800;
mean_Z=6;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=1000;
max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=10500;
mean_Z=6;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=1500;
```

max_no_load_loss=1650;
max_load_losses=17000;
mean_Z=6;
elseif Rated_Power_KVA<=2000;
max_no_load_loss=1700;
max_load_losses=22100;
mean_Z=6;
end
end
if Line_Voltage_low_tension <= 2000 %distance between low tension coil and the core
LT_Core_clearance=6;
else
LT_Core_clearance=8;
end
if Line_Voltage_high_tension <=11 % clearances
LT_HT_clearance=8;
HT_HT_clearance=10;
Coil_Yoke_clearance=5;
cooling_canals_diameter=3.1;
Side_Ring_clearance_HT=16;
Side_Ring_clearance_LT=14;
elseif Line_Voltage_high_tension <=22
LT_HT_clearance=10;
HT_HT_clearance=12;
Coil_Yoke_clearance=7;
cooling_canals_diameter=6.1;
Side_Ring_clearance_HT=20;
Side_Ring_clearance_LT=16;
else
LT_HT_clearance=12;
HT_HT_clearance=20;

```

Coil_Yoke_clearance=11;
cooling_canals_diameter=6.1;
Side_Ring_clearance_HT=20;
Side_Ring_clearance_LT=16;
end
core_losses_chart=[0.1 0.00417
0.20 .0156
0.30 .0337
0.40 .0581
0.50 .0887
0.60 .125
0.70 .168
0.80 .216
0.90 .271
10.38
1.10 .41
1.20 .488
1.30 .575
1.40 .675
1.50 .792
1.6 .935
1.71 .15
1.81 .5
1.9 1.86];
\% polynomials of core losses interpolation
prc=7;
cll=polyfit(core_losses_chart(:,1),core_losses_chart(:,2),prc);
\% assigning the value suitable loss function to the rows of Flat based on
\% the core diameter
\% the costs in Birr currency
No_Load_loss_Cost=296.10;

Load_loss_Cost=84.60;
Si_Steel_cost=156.83;
Copper_cost=470.56;
flat=[5 2.6514 .5
62.6 .814 .5
8.22 .5 . 814.5
5.254114 .5
10.52 .6 .814 .5
8.24 .6114 .5
8.44 .6114 .5
16.45114 .5
14.56114 .5
18.85 .414 .5 ];
\% Area flat
flat(Flat_Order,5)=(flat(Flat_Order,1).*flat(Flat_Order,2))+(pi-4)*(flat(Flat_Order,3).^2);
\%Flat_Order integer from 1 - length (flat)
Flat_wire_Section_area=flat(Flat_Order,5);
Flat_wire_Current_density=phase_current_low_tension/Flat_wire_Section_area;
price_cu_lt_per_kg=flat(Flat_Order,4);

0.750 .8214 .5
0.951 .0414 .5
11.0814 .5
1.251 .33314 .5
1.31 .38514 .5
1.71 .79114 .5
1.81 .91614 .5
2.242 .33314 .5
2.42 .51814 .5
2.52 .63114 .5
2.652 .761314 .5
2.82 .901514 .5
2.93 .02614 .5
3.153 .29414 .5
3.553 .657514 .5
3.753 .86114 .5
44.111 14.5];
rounds(Round_order, 4\()=(\mathrm{pi} *(\) rounds(Round_order,1)).^2)/4.;
\%Round_order integer from 1 - length (round)
Round_wire_Section_area=rounds(Round_order,4);
price_cu_ht_per_kg=rounds(Round_order,3);
Round_wire_Current_density=phase_current_high_tension/Round_wire_Section_area;
\% integer from 1 to 100
Voltage_per_Turn=phase_Voltage_low_tension/s(1);
best_AREA=A_Areas(Core_Diameter-69,n_NO_Core_steps-3);
Core_Cross_Section_Area=best_AREA*Stacking_factor/1000000;
B=Voltage_per_Turn/(4.44*Core_Cross_Section_Area*Frequency_HZ);
LT_No_layer_between_cooling_canals=floor((flat(Flat_Order,2).*(Flat_wire_Current_density.^
2). 1100 ));
\%no_layer_between_cooling_canals
if LT_No_layer_between_cooling_canals < = 0
LT_No_layer_between_cooling_canals = 1 ;
end
LT_No_cooling_canals=ceil(ceil(LT_No_Turns./LT_Turn_per_layer)./LT_No_layer_between_c ooling_canals)-1; \% Qty. of cooling canals
if LT_No_cooling_canals <= 0
LT_No_cooling_canals \(=1\);
end
LT_mechanical_height=(flat(Flat_Order,1)+.4).*LT_Turn_per_layer;
LT_No_layer=ceil(LT_No_Turns./LT_Turn_per_layer); \% NO. of layers at Low Tension

LT_coil_Thickness=LT_No_layer*
flat(Flat_Order,2)+LT_No_layer*insulator_thickness_LT_layers+LT_No_cooling_canals.*cooli ng_canals_diameter; \%thickness of low tension coil

LT_outer_diameter = Core_Diameter + 2* LT_coil_Thickness+LT_Core_clearance; \% outer diameter of low tension coil
LT_coil_height= LT_mechanical_height + 2* (Side_Ring_clearance_LT); \% coil height at the low tension

HT_No_turns_middle_tap=round((LT_No_Turns*phase_Voltage_high_tension*1000/ceil(phase _Voltage_low_tension))); \%mean no of high tension turns

HT_No_turns_last_tap=round(HT_No_turns_middle_tap*1.05); \%no of high tension turns at last tap

HT_mechanical_height=LT_coil_height \(-2 *\) Side_Ring_clearance_HT; \% mechanical height of the high tension

HT_Turn_per_layer=floor(HT_mechanical_height./rounds(Round_order,2))-1; \% turns per layer HT_Turn_per_last_layer \(=\bmod \left(H T \_N o \_t u r n s \_l a s t \_t a p, H T \_T u r n \_p e r \_l a y e r\right) ; ~ \% t u r n s ~ p e r ~ l a s t ~\) layer

HT_No_layer=ceil(HT_No_turns_last_tap/HT_Turn_per_layer);
\% no of layers
HT_total_insulator_thickness_layers =HT_insulator_thickness
*ceil(((4*HT_Turn_per_layer.*Voltage_per_Turn./8500) -(rounds(Round_order,2)-
rounds(Round_order, 1)))*(2/3)/HT_insulator_thickness) ; \%insulation between layers
HT_No_layer_between_cooling_canals=floor((rounds(Round_order,1).*(Round_wire_Current_ density).^2).\100); \% no of layers between cooling canals
if HT_No_layer_between_cooling_canals<=0
HT_No_layer_between_cooling_canals=1;
end
HT_No_cooling_canals =ceil(HT_No_layer./HT_No_layer_between_cooling_canals)-1; \% no of canals
if HT_No_cooling_canals <=0
HT_No_cooling_canals \(=1 ; \%\) min number of cooling canals=1
end

HT_coil_Thickness=HT_No_layer.*rounds(Round_order,2)+HT_No_layer.*HT_total_insulator _thickness_layers+HT_No_cooling_canals.*cooling_canals_diameter; \% thickness of HT coil LT_inner_diameter =Core_Diameter+LT_Core_clearance; \% inner dia of low tension coil HT_inner_diameter =LT_outer_diameter+2*LT_HT_clearance; \%inner dia of high tension coil HT_outer_diameter =HT_inner_diameter+2*HT_coil_Thickness ; \%outer dia of high tesion coil \(\mathrm{E}=\) ceil(HT_outer_diameter+HT_HT_clearance); \% = E
\(\mathrm{H}=\) ceil(LT_coil_height+2*Coil_Yoke_clearance); \% H
Core_weight \(=((\) Core_Cross_Section_Area. \(*(3 * \mathrm{H}+2 *\) Core_Diameter \(+4 * \mathrm{E}) / 1 \mathrm{e} 3)) * 7650 ; \%\) core weight

Core_loss_per_kg =polyval(cll,B); \% w/Kg losses of core
Core_total_loss=Core_loss_per_kg*Core_weight*Building_factor; \% core losses
\% mean dia of low tension coil
LT_mean_diameter=(LT_inner_diameter+LT_outer_diameter)/2;
\%mean dia of high tension coil
HT_mean_diameter=(HT_inner_diameter+HT_outer_diameter)/2;
\%total length of low tension coil
LT_total_wire_length_per_phase=pi*LT_mean_diameter.*LT_No_Turns;
\%total length of high tension coil at normal operation __the middle tap
HT_total_wire_length_per_phase_middle_tap=pi*HT_mean_diameter.*HT_No_turns_middle_t ap;
\%total length of high tension coil at \(\qquad\) the Last tap
HT_total_wire_length_per_phase_Last_tap=pi*HT_mean_diameter.*HT_No_turns_last_tap; \%weight of low tension coil per phase
LT_copper_weight_per_phase=LT_total_wire_length_per_phase.*Flat_wire_Section_area*8890 /1e9;
\%weight of high tension coil per phase with the max no of turns
HT_copper_weight_per_phase_Last_tap=HT_total_wire_length_per_phase_Last_tap.*Round_w ire_Section_area*8890/1e9;
\% R20 for low tension
LT_R20=(LT_total_wire_length_per_phase./Flat_wire_Section_area)*.00001724;
\% R20 for high tension

HT_R20=(HT_total_wire_length_per_phase_middle_tap./Round_wire_Section_area)*. 0000172
4;
\% R75 for low tension
LT_R75=LT_R20*(234.5+75)/(234.5+20);
\% R75 for high tension
HT_R75=HT_R20*(234.5+75)/(234.5+20);
\% copper resistance losses at low tension
LT_Resistance_losses=3*(phase_current_low_tension^2)*LT_R75;
\% copper resistance losses at high tension
HT_Resistance_losses=3*(phase_current_high_tension^2)*HT_R75;
\% eddy losses at low tension coil
LT_eddy_losses=(flat(Flat_Order,2).^4).*(LT_No_layer.^2). \({ }^{*}\) LT_Resistance_losses/1e5;
\% eddy losses at high tension coil
HT_eddy_losses=((rounds(Round_order,1).^4).*(HT_No_layer.^2).*HT_Resistance_losses)/1e5 ;
\%connection losses at low tension coil
LT_connection_losses=Line_current_low_tension*LT_Resistance_losses/2e4;
\%connection losses at high tension coil
HT_connection_losses=Line_current_high_tension*HT_Resistance_losses/2e4;
\%load losses at low tension
LT_Load_losses=LT_Resistance_losses+LT_eddy_losses+LT_connection_losses;
\%load losses at high tension
HT_Load_losses=HT_Resistance_losses+HT_eddy_losses+HT_connection_losses;
\(\%\) total copper losses
sum_Load_Losses= (LT_Load_losses+HT_Load_losses) ;
\%total load lossses with included stray losses
Total_Load_Losses=sum_Load_Losses*1.04;
\%Method 1
\(\mathrm{h}=\left(.5^{*}\right.\) (HT_mechanical_height+LT_mechanical_height)+(HT_outer_diameter-
LT_inner_diameter)./(2*pi) \()^{*} 1 \mathrm{e}-3\);
R1 \(=(.5 *\) LT_inner_diameter+. \(5 *\) LT_coil_Thickness \() * 1 \mathrm{e}-3\);

R2=(.5*HT_inner_diameter+. \(5 *\) HT_coil_Thickness)* \(1 \mathrm{e}-3\);
\(\mathrm{g}=.5^{*} 1 \mathrm{e}-3 *\) (HT_inner_diameter-LT_outer_diameter);
\(\mathrm{Rm}=.5^{*}((\mathrm{LT}\) _outer_diameter* \(1 \mathrm{e}-3)+\mathrm{g})\);
Reactance \(=\left(\left(4^{*}(\mathrm{pi})^{\wedge} 2\right) *\left(4^{*} \mathrm{pi}^{*} 1 \mathrm{e}-\right.\right.\)
7)*Frequency_HZ*phase_Voltage_low_tension*phase_current_low_tension./((Voltage_per_Tur n. \(\left.\left.\left.{ }^{\wedge} 2\right) . * \mathrm{~h}\right)\right) . *\left(\mathrm{R} 1 . * L T \_c o i l \_T h i c k n e s s / 3000+\mathrm{R} 2 . * \mathrm{HT}_{1}\right.\) coil_Thickness/3000+Rm.*g); \% X

Reactance
Resistance=(LT_R75+(HT_R75/((phase_Voltage_high_tension*1000/phase_Voltage_low_tensi on)^2)) )*phase_current_low_tension/(phase_Voltage_low_tension);

Zpercentage \(=100^{*}\) sqrt(Reactance. \({ }^{\wedge} 2+\) Resistance. \({ }^{\wedge} 2\) );
\%Method 2
\(\mathrm{Kk}=1\) -
(LT_coil_Thickness+LT_HT_clearance+HT_coil_Thickness)./(10*pi*.1*LT_mechanical_height );
\(\mathrm{Zz}=\left(\mathrm{LT} \_H T\right.\) _clearance/10)+((LT_coil_Thickness+HT_coil_Thickness)/30);
dm=(LT_HT_clearance+LT_outer_diameter)/10;
VI=phase_current_low_tension*LT_No_Turns.*Kk.*Zz.*dm./(806*.1*LT_mechanical_height.*
Voltage_per_Turn);
VR=(Total_Load_Losses+Core_total_loss)/(10*Rated_Power_KVA);
Zpercentage2=sqrt(VI^2+VR^2);
\%total length of high tension coil
HT_total_wire_length_last_tap=pi*HT_mean_diameter.*HT_No_turns_last_tap;
Total_losses=Core_total_loss+Total_Load_Losses; \% total TR losses
losses_cost=Load_loss_Cost*Total_Load_Losses+No_Load_loss_Cost*Core_total_loss; \%Cost of losses
core_cost=Si_Steel_cost*Core_weight; \% core material Costs
copper_cost=( \(3 *\) price_cu_lt_per_kg*LT_copper_weight_per_phase+ \(3 *\) price_cu_ht_per_kg*HT _copper_weight_per_phase_Last_tap);\% copper costs

Total_material_cost=core_cost+copper_cost; \% total materials cost si-st and copper
TR_Total_cost=(Total_material_cost+losses_cost); \% TOTAL COSTS MATERIALS + LOSSES
\% total weight of lt copper
LT_total_copper_weight=3*LT_copper_weight_per_phase;
\% total weight of Ht copper
HT_total_copper_weight=3*HT_copper_weight_per_phase_Last_tap;
\% total weight of copper in TR
TR_total_copper_weight=HT_total_copper_weight+LT_total_copper_weight;
format long
available_Si_Steel_sizes=[50 60708090100110120130140150160170180190200210
220230240250260270 280];
minimum_core_D=70;
maximum_core_D=300;
A_Areas(maximum_core_D-minimum_core_D+1,7)=0;
A_Areas(:,1)=[minimum_core_D:maximum_core_D]'
r_row=1;
c_counter=1
for Core_Diameter=minimum_core_D:maximum_core_D
c_column=2;
for n_NO_Core_steps= 5:10
best_AREA=coresteps_sub_prog(Core_Diameter,available_Si_Steel_sizes,n_NO_Core_steps);
A_Areas(r_row,c_column)=best_AREA;
c_column=c_column+1;
c_counter=c_counter+1
end
r_row=r_row+1;
end
function [C,Ceq]= conns_flat_round(s)
\(\mathrm{s}=\) round \((\mathrm{s})\);
formulae_tr_design_flat_round;
C1=B-max_B_allowed;
C2= min_B_allowed-B;
C3 = Round_wire_Current_density-max_current_densit;
```

C4= Flat_wire_Current_density-max_current_densit;
C5= Total_Load_Losses-max_load_losses;
C6= Core_total_loss-max_no_load_loss;
C7=-1*Zpercentage +(1-tol_z/100)*mean_Z;
C8=Zpercentage - (1+tol_z/100)*mean_Z;
C9=-1*Zpercentage2 +(1-tol_z/100)*mean_Z;
C10=Zpercentage2 - (1+tol_z/100)*mean_Z;
C11=s(5)-s(1);
C=[C1,C2,C3,C4,C5,C6,C7,C8,C9,C10,C11]';
Ceq=[];
end
function TR_Total_cost=designee_flat_round(s)
s=round(s);
formulae_tr_design_flat_round;
clear
format long
tic
n=5;
opts = gaoptimset('StallGenLimit',500,'TolFun',1e-100,'Generations',300);
[ga_x,fval_ga,flag,outpuuts]=ga(@designee_flat_round,n,[],[],[],[],[1 70 1 1 1 ],[100 300 10 18
100],@conns_flat_round,[1 }234\mathrm{ 5],opts)
s=ga_x;
formulae_tr_design_flat_round;
toc

```

\section*{Appendix 4: Sample outputs of transformer design optimization algorithm}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{MATLAB Production Server * R2015a * bin *} \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{EV Variables - Last \(0 \times\)} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{14}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Last X \\
18940x78 double
\end{tabular}}} & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(\wedge\)}} \\
\hline 1 & 1 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 73 & 110 & 1.7253 & 3.1636 & 0.0083 & & \\
\hline 2 & 2 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 71 & 111 & 1.7165 & 3.2527 & 0.0085 & & \\
\hline 3 & 3 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 72 & 111 & 1.6926 & 3.2075 & 0.0085 & & \\
\hline 4 & 4 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 72 & 111 & 1.6926 & 3.2075 & 0.0085 & & \\
\hline 5 & 5 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 70 & 112 & 1.7029 & 3.2991 & 0.0087 & & \\
\hline 6 & 6 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 71 & 112 & 1.6790 & 3.2527 & 0.0087 & & \\
\hline 7 & 7 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 71 & 112 & 1.6790 & 3.2527 & 0.0087 & & \\
\hline 8 & 8 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 72 & 112 & 1.6556 & 3.2075 & 0.0087 & & \\
\hline 9 & 9 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 68 & 113 & 1.7184 & 3.3962 & 0.0089 & & \\
\hline 10 & 10 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 69 & 113 & 1.6935 & 3.3470 & 0.0089 & & \\
\hline 11 & 11 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 70 & 113 & 1.6693 & 3.2991 & 0.0089 & & \\
\hline 12 & 12 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 71 & 113 & 1.6458 & 3.2527 & 0.0089 & & \\
\hline 13 & 13 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 71 & 113 & 1.6458 & 3.2527 & 0.0089 & & \\
\hline 14 & 14 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 67 & 114 & 1.7119 & 3.4469 & 0.0091 & & \\
\hline 15 & 15 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 67 & 114 & 1.7119 & 3.4469 & 0.0091 & & \\
\hline 16 & 16 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 67 & 114 & 1.7119 & 3.4469 & 0.0091 & & \\
\hline 17 & 17 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 68 & 114 & 1.6867 & 3.3962 & 0.0091 & & \\
\hline 18 & 18 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 69 & 114 & 1.6623 & 3.3470 & 0.0091 & & \\
\hline 19 & 19 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 70 & 114 & 1.6385 & 3.2991 & 0.0091 & & \\
\hline 20 & 20 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 71 & 114 & 1.6155 & 3.2527 & 0.0091 & & \\
\hline 21 & 21 & 8 & 16.4000 & 5 & 81.1416 & 3.5577 & 1 & 71 & 114 & 1.6155 & 3.2527 & 0.0091 & \(\checkmark\) & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & > & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

